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Volume IV - The H-Plane Radiation Pattern of Horn Antennas

J. S. Yu
R. C. Rudduck
Diffraction theory is used to formulate the $H$-plane radiation pattern of a horn antenna. Excellent agreement between computed and measured patterns is achieved by considering contributions from both the principal $H$-plane and $E$-edge diffractions.

The pattern is computed by superposition of various diffracted rays which are described by wedge diffraction as given in Appendix A. This technique is demonstrated to be accurate and practical without employing aperture integration techniques.
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THE H-PLANE RADIATION PATTERN OF HORN ANTENNAS

I. INTRODUCTION

The overall radiation pattern in the E-plane of a horn antenna has been successfully calculated[1] by using diffraction theory (in Appendix A) developed by Sommerfeld and Pauli. The techniques employed are successive evaluation of diffracted fields at various wedges and algebraic superposition of the various fields to form the total pattern. In this report the same techniques are employed to predict the radiation patterns in the H-plane of a horn antenna.

The excitation in the H-plane of the antennas must be treated differently from that in the E-plane; for the H-plane the primary source of radiation is the two plane waves (shown in Fig. 1) illuminating wedges A and B. The diffracted waves at A and B can be solved by Pauli's solutions. But interactions between the diffracted waves cannot be described accurately without including the higher-order terms of Eq. (A-3) given by Pauli in Appendix A. This limitation occurs for wide-angle wedges at A and B in which the distance between A and B is less than one wavelength. Instead of considering the higher-order terms in Pauli's solution, a new diffraction coefficient is developed at the end of Appendix A for this purpose.

In 1962, Kinber[2] used mode theory to solve the propagation modes inside the antenna horn and the solutions were used for illumination intensities in both E- and H-planes. In our present problem the solutions of mode theory are avoided by simply evaluating the diffracted waves at A and B. This makes possible a unique description of the problem by diffraction theory. In 1963, diffraction theory had been used by Peters and Rudduck[3] to study radiation mechanisms in the H-plane of a horn antenna. Experimentally they showed how the diffracted fields from E-edges (i.e., edges of the two horn walls which are perpendicular to the E-fields) can be eliminated from the principal H-plane pattern by applying RAM(Radar Absorber Materials) to the horn edges. Analytically, Ohba[4] used diffraction theory in the same year to compute the H-plane pattern of a corner reflector excited by a dipole source. No completely computed pattern is given, but excellent agreement is confirmed with measured patterns. It was pointed out that disagreement in the back-lobe region results from neglecting the diffracted fields from E-edges. In view of the
significant contribution from the E-edge diffracted fields, we shall include this in the final H-plane patterns. To show the accuracy of predictions by diffraction theory, the problem will be formulated to compute a complete pattern which can be easily compared with experimental results.

II. RADIATION MECHANISMS

Propagation of electromagnetic waves inside a rectangular waveguide supporting the TE_{10} mode can be illustrated\(^5\) by a simple physical picture of plane waves. The H-plane (i.e., the principal plane to which the H-field is parallel) of a horn antenna fed by the waveguide is shown in Fig. 1. The dotted lines represent three equiphase planes of the two
component-plane-waves. The arrowed solid lines represent the ray paths of the propagating $\text{TE}_{30}$ mode. The purpose here is to investigate the radiation characteristics of the horn antenna excited by the guide at the throat $AB$.

The problem is a two-dimensional one in the principal H-plane of the antenna. The geometry shown in Fig. 1 is symmetrical with respect to $\theta = 0$, and this property of symmetry is used to simplify the following discussions. By the diffraction theory reviewed in Appendix A, two wedges, A and B, at the joint between the guide and the horn are said to be illuminated by the incident plane waves. Cylindrical waves are diffracted from A and B; the diffracted rays from A are shown in Fig. 2. Each of the diffracted waves from A and B illuminates the opposite wedge and causes higher-order diffractions. This process of interaction between A and B continues indefinitely with successively decreasing illumination intensities, which are denoted coupling coefficients. The coupling coefficient between A and B can be formulated in closed form to obtain the resultant waves diffracted from A and B.

Fig. 2. Wedge A illuminated by one of the component-plane-waves in the guide.
Consider wedge A in Fig. 3; the diffracted rays in the region /SAB are reflected back into the guide while those in the region /FAB propagate forward to the horn. The former portion is responsible for mismatch between the guide and the horn. The latter portion may further be divided into several regions. In the region /FAC, four successive wavefronts are shown in solid curves to represent the portion of the wave which propagates directly to the far-field zone. The other portion (shown in dotted curves) is reflected by the wall BG. The reflected portion can be represented by the image formed by A. The image is shown at point 1; also only the portion shown in solid curves propagates to the far-field. The portion of the image-wave shown by dotted curves from point 1 is reflected by the wall AF, and a second image is formed at point 2. The last portion of the diffracted wave from A is now totally radiated to the far-field zone by the horn antenna. By the property of symmetry, two other symmetrical images can be constructed for the diffracted waves from wedge B. Consequently, four images formed by A and B can be constructed and all together six segments of cylindrical waves are

\[ AF = BG = \rho_H \]
\[ AQ + \delta Q = \rho_g \]
\[ GQF = 2 \theta_H \]
\[ AB = a_0 \]
\[ FG = b = c_0 + 2 \rho_H \sin \theta_H \]

Fig. 3. The diffracted waves from wedge A and the two image-waves from Images 1 and 2.
radiated from the interior of the antenna. Figure 4 shows the three segments from the lower half of the antenna. The discontinuities of the segmented cylindrical waves are all compensated by introducing higher-order diffractions.

\[
BF = \rho_0 \quad BA = \sigma_0 \\
F1 = \rho_1 \quad A1 = \sigma_1 \\
F2 = \rho_2 \quad A2 = \sigma_2 \\
\angle ABF = \angle BAG = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H - \psi_0 \\
\angle FIG = 2 \theta_H - \psi_0 + \psi_0
\]

Fig. 4. Geometry of the lower-wall image-waves.

As the diffracted waves from A and B and their image-waves propagate outward, the wedges F and G are illuminated by these waves. As a consequence, more diffracted waves are induced at F and G which contribute to the total radiation pattern. In Fig. 3, F and G are shown illuminated by a ray from image I and A, respectively. If all possible illuminations are properly taken into account, the resultant diffraction at F and G can be formulated. The diffracted waves at F and G also illuminate each other and a closed-form interaction can be obtained. The images formed in the horn walls by F and G are formulated in Appendix B.
The mechanisms of radiation of the horn antenna have been expressed in terms of diffraction theory. The total radiation pattern is the superposition of the four diffracted waves from wedges A, B, F, and G and all the image-waves formed in the horn walls. It has been shown[3] that the diffracted fields from E-plane edges of the horn also contribute to the principal H-plane pattern. This contribution is also formulated in the following section.

III. FORMULATION OF SOLUTION

The two plane waves illuminating A and B in Fig. 1 are in phase and equal in magnitude. The distance between A and B is denoted \( a_0 \) and the incident angle can be obtained from Fig. 2 as

\[
\psi_g = \cos^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{k} = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2a_0} \right). \tag{1}
\]

The direction of field-point referred to wedge A is

\[
\psi_A = \pi + \theta. \tag{2}
\]

The wedge angle \( \pi - \theta_H \) shown in Fig. 2 is set equal to \( (2-n_A)\pi \), resulting in the value of \( n_A \) given by

\[
n_A = 1 + \frac{\theta_H}{\pi}. \tag{3}
\]

If the illuminating plane waves are assumed to have unit intensity, the solutions of diffracted waves from wedge A can be obtained from Eq. (A-4) as

\[
D_{Ag}(\theta) = K_{A1} \left[ \left( \frac{n_A}{K_{A2}} \right)^{-1} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{n_A}{K_{A2}} \right)^{-1} \right], \tag{4}
\]
\[ K_{A1} = n_A^{-1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{n_A} \right), \]

and

\[ K_{A2} = \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{n_A} \right), \]

where the cylindrical wave factor

\[ (2\pi kr)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[ -j \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + kr \right) \right] \]

to the far-field point is suppressed because only angular dependence is of interest. The angles used for the incident and reflected terms are obtained by

\[ \psi^i = \psi_A - \psi_g = \pi + \theta - \psi_g \]

and

\[ \psi^r = \psi_A + \psi_g = \pi + \theta + \psi_g, \]

where the superscripts \( i \) and \( r \) replace the signs \( (\dagger) \) in Appendix A to indicate that the \( s \)'s are for the incident and reflected terms. By the property of symmetry, the diffracted waves from wedge B can be obtained by replacing \( \theta \) in Eq. (4) by \( (-\theta) \) as

\[ D_{Bg}(\theta) = D_{Ag}(-\theta) = K_{A1} \left[ \left[ K_{A2} - \cos \left( \frac{\pi - \psi_g - \theta}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} - \left[ K_{A2} - \cos \left( \frac{\pi + \psi_g - \theta}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \]

\[ n_B = n_A \]

\[ K_{B1} = K_{A1}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad K_{B2} = K_{A2}^* \]
Only portions of the two diffracted waves obtained in Eqs. (4) and (5) propagate directly to the far field. These portions are schematically shown as solid-curved wavefronts in Figs. 3 and 4; the portions of the waves reflected by horn walls are shown in dotted curves. Before discussing reflections of the waves, it is first convenient to treat interactions between A and B. At the boundary $\theta = \pi/2$ or $\theta = -\pi/2$, the diffracted wave from A illuminates B with an intensity $D_A \theta = -\pi/2$.

Similarly, A is illuminated by B by $D_B \theta = +\pi/2$. Using Eqs. (4) and (5), the coupling coefficient between A and B is obtained as

$$C'_{AB} = D_A \left( \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} \right) = D_B \left( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$$

$$= K_{A1} \left[ \left[ K_{A2} - \cos \frac{1}{n_A} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_A \right) \right]^{-1} \right. - \left. \left[ K_{A2} - \cos \frac{1}{n_A} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \psi_A \right) \right]^{-1} \right].$$

Assuming that the diffractions from A and B are the same as those obtained by illuminating each other with uniform waves of intensity $D'_{AB}$, the diffracted waves consequently induced at A and B may be obtained from Eqs. (A-3) as

$$D'_{AB}(\theta) = C'_{AB} \left[ v_B \left( a_{0}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta, n_A \right) - v_B \left( a_{0}, \frac{3\pi}{2} + \theta, n_A \right) \right],$$

$$D'_{BA}(\theta) = D'_{AB}(-\theta),$$

and

$$\phi_{AB}^{i} = \psi_A + \frac{\pi}{2} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta & \text{if} \; \theta < \pi/2 \\ \frac{3\pi}{2} + \theta & \text{if} \; \theta > \pi/2 \end{array} \right..$$

In Eq. (7) the incident angle from B to A is equal to $\pi/2$, and again the property of symmetry is used. The solutions in Eqs. (7) are 2nd-order diffractions which produce 3rd-order diffractions at A and B. The 2nd-order coupling coefficient can be obtained as
where the triple-subscript is used to indicate that wedge A is illuminated by B at which the diffracted waves are induced by $C'_{BA}$ from A. If the process of interactions between A and B is indefinitely continued, the resultant coupling coefficient can be obtained in closed form as

$$C_{AB} = C_{BA} = \frac{C'_{AB}}{1 - C_{ABA}},$$

which is evaluated by using Eqs. 6) and (b). As a result, the total diffracted waves resulting from the interactions have the same form of solutions as in Eq. 7, with $C'_{AB}$ replaced by $C_{AB}$.

Combination of the diffracted waves in Eqs. 4) and 5) and that caused by the interactions between A and B can finally be written as

$$D_A(\theta) = D_A - D_{AB} = K_{A1} \left[ \left\{ K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi - \psi + \theta}{n_A} \right\}^{-1} \right.$$

$$\left. - \left\{ K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi + \psi + \theta}{n_A} \right\}^{-1} \right]$$

$$+ C_{AB} \left[ v_B \left( a_0, \frac{\pi + \theta}{2}, n_A \right) - v_B \left( a_0, \frac{3\pi + \theta}{2}, n_A \right) \right]$$

$$- \left( \theta_H + \psi_0 \right) \leq \theta \leq \theta_H$$

and

$$D_B(\theta) = D_A(-\theta),$$

$$\theta_H + \psi_0 \geq \theta \geq -\theta_H.$$
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where the regions are specified so that the portions of waves reflected by horn walls are excluded. The values of $D_A$ and $D_B$ are set to zero outside the specified regions. The incidence angle $\psi_{oo}$ can be determined from Fig. 4 as

$$\psi_{oo} = \sin^{-1} \frac{c_0}{p_0},$$

$$c_0 = a_0 \cos \theta_H,$$

and

$$p_0 = (a_0^2 + p_H^2 + 2a_0p_H \sin \theta_H)^{0.5}.$$

The two resultant diffracted waves from A and B have been summarized in Eqs. (10) in which the regions are specified for only those rays which propagate directly to the far field. Since the solutions are symmetrical with respect to $\theta = 0$, the portions of the waves in the regions $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$ for A and $\pi > \theta > 0$ for B reflected by the horn walls can be described similar to the methods in Appendix B. Using the property of symmetry and referring to Fig. 4, the images formed in the lower wall BG can be described in general, as in Eq. (B-8), by replacing $\theta$ in $DA$ in Eqs. (10) by $-2i\theta_H + \theta$:

$$I_{La}(\theta) = (-1)^i [DA(\theta = -2i\theta_H + \theta)]$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3, ------,$$

where the factors $(-1)^i$ are used for each image because the electric field polarization is perpendicular to the plane of study. The number of images formed is determined by the horn geometry. In Figs. 3 and 4, the cylindrical wavefronts are drawn in order to emphasize the dividing regions for the images. For a horn with small $\theta_H$ and long $p_H$, the total extent of the region for each image may generally be expressed as

$$\Delta \theta_i = 2\theta_H - \psi_0(i-1) + \psi_0i,$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3 ------,$$

where
\[
\psi_{oi} = \sin^{-1} \frac{c_i}{\rho_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots;
\]
\[
c_i = a_i \cos(i+1)\theta_H,
\]
\[
a_i = a_{i-1} \cos \psi_H + a_0 \cos \psi_H, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots;
\]
and
\[
\rho_i = \left[ a_i^2 + 2a_i \rho_H \sin(i+1)\theta_H \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

The notations are indicated in Fig. 4 in which \(\psi_{oi}\) are the incident angles of edge \(F\) from various images. Since the waves from \(A\) and \(B\) are reflected by the horn walls only in the region, \(\angle ABF = \angle BAG = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H\) - \(\psi_{oo}\) as shown in Fig. 4, the sum of image regions should not exceed this value. This criterion is used here to determine the number of images; i.e.,

\[
[2\psi_H - \psi_{oo} + \psi_{o1}] + [2\psi_H - \psi_{o1} + \psi_{o2}] + \ldots + [2\psi_H - \psi_{o(i-1)} + \psi_{o1}] \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H - \psi_{oo}
\]
or

\[
(2i+1)\psi_H + \psi_{oi} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

In other words, the number of images is equal to the integer \(h\) which satisfies the condition

\[
(2h+1)\psi_H + \psi_{oh} \leq \frac{\pi}{2},
\]

where the values of the \(\psi_{oi}\) are computed by Eqs. (14). When a set of \(\psi_H\), \(a_0\), and \(\rho_H\) is given, the number of images formed in each horn wall by \(A\) and \(B\) can be determined by Eq. (15). From this information, the image-waves from the horn walls can be written from Eqs. (10) and (12) as

\[
I_{Li}(\theta) = (-1)^i \left[ K_{A1} \left( K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi - \psi_H - 2i\theta_H - \theta}{n_A} \right)^{-1}
\right.
\]

\[
\left. - \left( K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi + \psi_H - 2i\theta_H - \theta}{n_A} \right)^{-1} \right]
\]

\[
\left( K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi + \psi_H - 2i\theta_H - \theta}{n_A} \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\left( K_{A2} - \cos \frac{\pi - \psi_H - 2i\theta_H - \theta}{n_A} \right)^{-1}
\]
+ C_{AB} \left[ v_{B} \left( \alpha_{o} \frac{\pi}{2} - 2i\theta_{H} - \alpha_{n_{A}} \right) - v_{B} \left( \alpha_{o} \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2i\theta_{H} - \alpha_{n_{A}} \right) \right],

\psi_{o(i-1)} - \theta_{H} \leq \theta \leq \psi_{oi} + \theta_{H}

and

I_{U_{i+1}} = I_{L_{i+1}}, - (\psi_{o(i-1)} - \theta_{H}) \geq \theta \geq - (\psi_{oi} + \theta_{H})

i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, h,

where the property of symmetry is used to obtain the image waves from the upper wall. Each term of the image waves in Eqs. (16) is valid only in the properly defined region, otherwise it is identically zero. If

\left[ (2h + 1)\theta_{H} + \psi_{oh} \right] < \frac{\pi}{2},

the regions of the last terms, i = h in Eq. (16), should be modified as

(17) \psi_{o(h-1)} - \theta_{H} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_{o(h-1)} - (2h-1)\theta_{H}, \quad \text{from the lower-wall,}

and

- (\psi_{o(h-1)} - \theta_{H}) \geq \theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_{o(h-1)} - (2h-1)\theta_{H}, \quad \text{from the upper-wall.

Examples of Eqs. (16) and (17) for h=2 may be obtained from Fig. 4.

We have so far described the diffracted waves from A and B, both directly to the far field by Eqs. (10) and indirectly reflected to the far field by Eqs. (16) and (17). When these waves propagate outward, wedges F and G are illuminated and diffracted waves are induced to contribute to the far field. Using Fig. 4 as an example, wedge F is illuminated by B and image 1 but not by image 2. In general, if there are h images formed in the lower wall, wedge F would be illuminated by B and (h-1) images in the directions \theta = \theta_{H} + \psi_{oo} and \theta = \theta_{H} + \psi_{oi}, with i=1, 2, \ldots, (h-1). If the equality in Eq. (15) holds, then F would also be illuminated by the hth image in the direction \theta = \theta_{H} + \psi_{oh} but the intensity is equal to zero. To evaluate coupling coefficients in the mentioned directions, Eqs. (10) can first be used to obtain
\[ C_{FB} = D_B(\bar{u} = u_H + \psi_{oo}) \]
\[ = K_{Al} \left[ K_{A2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi - \psi_{oo} - \psi_g - \theta_H}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} \]
\[ - \left[ K_{A2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi - \psi_{oo} + \psi_g - \theta_H}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} \]
\[ + C_{AB} \left[ v_B \left( \frac{a_o \pi}{2} - \psi_{oo} - \theta_H, n_A \right) - v_B \left( \frac{a_o 3\pi}{2} - \psi_{oo} - \theta_H, n_A \right) \right] \]

which can be seen to be identical to \( C_{Fi} \) shown below with \( i = 0 \). Therefore, coupling coefficients to \( F \) can be written from Eq. (16) in general as

\[ C_{Fi} = I_{Li}(\bar{u} = u_H + \psi_{oi}) \]
\[ = (-1)^i K_{Al} \left[ \left( K_{A2} \cos \frac{\pi - \psi_g - \psi_{oi} - (2i+1)\theta_H}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} \]
\[ - \left( K_{A2} \cos \frac{\pi + \psi_g - \psi_{oi} - (2i+1)\theta_H}{n_A} \right) \right]^{-1} \]
\[ + C_{AB} \left[ v_B \left( \frac{a_o \pi}{2} - \psi_{oi} - (2i+1)\theta_H, n_A \right) - v_B \left( \frac{a_o 3\pi}{2} - \psi_{oi} - (2i+1)\theta_H, n_A \right) \right] \]

\[ i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots (h-1), \]

where \( i = 0 \) is included for \( C_{FB} = C_{F0} \). By symmetry, the coupling coefficients from the upper wall to wedge \( G \) are \( C_{Gi} = I_{Li}(\bar{u} = \theta_H - \psi_{oi}) \), which are identical to \( C_{Fi} \) in Eqs. (18). With these coupling coefficients, the solutions of diffracted waves from \( F \) and \( G \) can be summarized by using Eqs. (A-3).
\[
D'_F = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} C_{Fi} \left[ v_B \left( \rho_i, \pi - \theta_H - \psi_{oi} + \theta, 2 \right) - v_B \left( \rho_i, \pi - \theta_H + \psi_{oi} + \theta, 2 \right) \right],
\]

\[
D'_G = D'_F(-\theta),
\]

\[
\phi^{\dagger}_{Fi} = \psi_F - \psi_{oi} = (\pi - \theta_H + \theta) - \psi_{oi},
\]

and

\[
\phi^{\dagger}_{Gi} = \psi_F + \psi_{oi} = (\pi - \theta_H + \theta) + \psi_{oi},
\]

where \( i = (h-1) \) is set as the last term because the case for \( i = h \) has \( C_{Gh} = 0 \). Each of the diffracted waves \( D'_F \) and \( D'_G \) from \( F \) and \( G \) illuminates the opposite edge, causing a series of interactions, as is the case between \( A \) and \( B \). In a manner similar to Eq. (9), the resultant coupling coefficient between \( F \) and \( G \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
C_{FG} = C_{GF} = \frac{C'_{FG}}{1 - C_{FGF}},
\]

\[
C'_{FG} = D'_F \left( \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} \right) = D'_G \left( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} C_{Fi} \left[ v_B \left( \rho_i, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H - \psi_{oi}, 2 \right) - v_B \left( \rho_i, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H + \psi_{oi}, 2 \right) \right],
\]

and

\[
C_{FGF} = v_B(b, 0, 2) - v_B(b, \pi - 2\theta_H, 2),
\]

where \( C_{FGF} \) is the diffraction coefficient between \( F \) and \( G \). Using \( C_{FG} \), the diffracted waves from \( F \) and \( G \) resulting from the interactions can again be obtained by using Eq. (A-3) as

\[
D_{FG} = C_{FG} \left[ v_B \left( b, \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta, 2 \right) - v_B \left( b, \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\theta_H + \theta, 2 \right) \right],
\]

\[
D_{GF} = D_F(-\theta),
\]

14
\[ \psi_{FG} = \psi_F \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_H \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \psi \]

and

\[ \psi_{FG}^* = \psi_F^* \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_H \right) = \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\psi_H + \psi, \]

where \( \psi_{FG} \) are obtained from Fig. 4. The total diffractions from F and G are then the superposition of Eqs. (19) and (21) as

\[ D_F = D_F^* + D_{FG} \]

\[ h-1 \sum_{i=0} C_{F_i} \left[ v_B(\rho_i, \pi - \theta_H - \psi_{oi}, 2) - v_B(\rho_i, \pi - \theta_H + \psi_{oi} + 0, 2) \right] 
+ C_{FG} \left[ v_B \left( b, \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta, 2 \right) - v_B \left( b, \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\psi_H + \psi, 2 \right) \right], \]

\[ -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \pi \pm \theta_H; \]

and

\[ D_G = D_F(-\theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta > (\pi + \theta_H), \]

where the regions are specified to exclude the portions of waves reflected by horn walls in the regions

\[ - (\pi - \theta_H) < \theta < -\frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } F \text{ and } (\pi - \theta_H) > \theta > \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } G. \]

The portions of the diffracted waves from F and G which are reflected by the horn walls may be described in a manner identical to the image-waves in Appendix B. By Eq. (B-6), the image-waves in the lower and upper horn walls caused by F and G can be obtained from Eqs. (22) as
$$I_{Lm}(\theta) = (-1)^m D_F(\theta = -2m\theta_H - \theta)$$

$$= (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} C_{F1} \left( v_B(\rho_i, \pi - \psi_{oi} - (2m+1)\theta_H - \theta, 2) - v_B(\rho_i, \pi + \psi_{oi} - (2m+1)\theta_H - \theta, 2) \right)$$

$$+ C_{FG} \left[ v_B\left( b, \frac{\pi}{2} - 2m\theta_H - \theta, 2 \right) - v_B\left( b, \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2(2m+1)\theta_H - \theta, 2 \right) \right],$$

$$I_{Um}(\theta) = I_{Lm}(-\theta), \quad \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\theta_H \right] \leq \theta \leq \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - m\theta_H \right];$$

and

$$m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, (p-1),$$

where \( p \) is the number of images formed in the lower or the upper wall, provided the walls FB and GA extend to intersect at \( Q \). The value of \( p \) is the largest integer satisfying the condition

$$p < \frac{\pi}{2\theta_H}.$$

If the ratio \( \pi/2\theta_H \) is not an exact integer, the region defined for the last image, \( i = p \), should be modified as

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \pi - (2m+1)\theta_H \quad \text{from the lower-wall,}$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\theta_H \right] \geq \theta \geq \left[ \pi - (2m+1)\theta_H \right], \quad \text{from the upper-wall,}$$

in which most of the rays propagate into the guide as in Fig. 5. The image-waves given in Eqs. (23), in general, contribute negligibly to the total far-field pattern of typical horn antennas. But the contribution for
small horns is not negligible. For very accurate treatment, the effects of Eqs. (23) may be included, and consequently their illuminations to wedges F and G need to be considered to compensate for discontinuities in Eqs. (23).

From the image regions given in Appendix B or Fig. 5, it can be seen that wedges F and G are illuminated by the lower and upper walls at

\[
0 = \pm \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - m\theta_M \right),
\]
respectively, for each image. By using Eqs. (23) the coupling coefficients can be obtained as

\[
C_{Fm} = I_{Lm} \left( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - m \theta_H \right) = I_{Um} \left( \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} + m \theta_H \right)
\]

\[
= (-1)^m \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} C_{F1} \left[ v_B \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \psi_{Oi} - (m+1) \theta_H, 2 \right) \right. \right.
\]

\[
- v_B \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \psi_{Oi} - (m+1) \theta_H, 2 \right) \left. \right] \right] + C_{FG} \left( v_B (b, -m \theta_H, 2) - v_B (b, \pi + (m+2) \theta_H, 2) \right)
\]

\[
= C_{Gm}
\]

\[
m = 1, 2, 3 \ldots \ldots (p-1).
\]

The value of \( m \) is restricted to \((p-1)\) as the highest because the last image wave \( i=p \) does not exist for most horn antennas. The apparent reason is shown in Fig. 5 in which the diffracted rays giving rise to the last image actually propagate into the waveguide. In fact, for the small horn shown, a portion of the second to the last image does not exist either. Because of the fact that the diffracted-field intensity is very small for low angles of incidence, the contributions of \( I_{Lm}, I_{Um}, \) and \( C_{Fm} \) for the highest values of \( m \) are relatively insignificant. Therefore, a very satisfactory result can usually be obtained by considering the contributions only for \( m = 1 \) to \((p-1)\).

Using \( C_{Fm} \) in Eqs. (25), the diffracted waves from \( F \) and \( G \) illuminated by the image-waves can be obtained as

\[
D_{Fm} (\theta) = C_{Fm} \left[ v_B \left( \alpha_m, \frac{\pi}{2} + m \theta_H + 0, 2 \right) - v_B \left( \alpha_m, \frac{3\pi}{2} - (m+2) \theta_H + 0, 2 \right) \right],
\]

\[
D_{Gm} (\theta) = D_{Fm} (-\theta),
\]

\[
\rho_m = \rho_{(m-1)} \cos \theta + b \cos m \theta_H,
\]

\[
\rho_c = b,
\]
(26) \[ \phi^{m}_{F} = \psi_{F} - \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\phi_{H} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + m^{1}_{H} + \phi \]

(cont)

and

\[ \phi^{r}_{FM} = \psi_{F} + \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\phi_{H} \right) = \frac{3\pi}{2} - (m+2)\phi_{H} + \phi, \]

\[ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots (p-1), \]

where Fig. 5 can be used as reference for the geometry.

The total diffracted waves from F and G are then the sums of \( D_{F}(\phi) \) and \( D_{G}(\phi) \) in Eqs. (22) and \( D_{FM}(\phi) \) and \( D_{GM}(\phi) \) in Eqs. (26). Using subscript \( T \) to denote the total, they are obtained as

\[ (D_{F}(\phi))_{T} = D_{F} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} D_{Fm} \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} C_{Fi}[\nu_{B}(\rho_{i}^{1} - \phi_{H} + \phi_{oi} + \phi, 2) - \nu_{B}(\rho_{i}^{1} - \phi_{H} + \phi_{oi} + \phi, 2)] \]

\[ + C_{FG} \left[ \nu_{B}\left( b, \frac{\pi}{2} + \phi_{H}^{2} \right) - \nu_{B}\left( b, \frac{3\pi}{2} - 2\phi_{H} + \phi_{2} \right) \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} C_{FM} \left[ \nu_{B}\left( \rho_{m}^{1} + m\phi_{H} + \phi, 2 \right) - \nu_{B}\left( \rho_{m}^{1} + m\phi_{H} + \phi, 2 \right) \right], \]

\[ \frac{-\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \]

\[ (D_{G}(\phi))_{T} = (D_{F}(0))_{T}, \]

where \( C_{Fi}, C_{FG}, \) and \( C_{FM} \) are given in Eqs. (18), (20) and (25), respectively.
For typical horn antennas, the diffraction process mentioned above is sufficient to describe the far-field radiation mechanisms. To obtain the total radiation pattern of the horn antenna one may simply superimpose the diffracted waves in Eqs. (10) and (27) and the corresponding images in Eqs. (16) and (23). It is noted that the images of $D_{Fm}$ and $D_{Gm}$ in Eqs. (26) are not included in Eqs. (23).

Before superimposing these waves to obtain the far-field pattern, a phase reference needs to be established. If $F$ is taken as the phase-reference point, then phase-shift factors from $A, B, G,$ and the images are given by

\begin{align*}
\gamma_{FA} &= \text{Exp} \left[ +j2\pi \rho_H \cos \phi_{FA} \right], \\
\gamma_{FB} &= \text{Exp} \left[ +j2\pi \rho_0 \cos \phi_{FO} \right] = \gamma_{F1} \mid_{i=0}, \\
\gamma_{FG} &= \text{Exp} \left[ +j2\pi \rho \cos \phi_{FG} \right], \\
\gamma_{Fi} &= \text{Exp} \left[ +j2\pi \rho_i \cos \phi_{Fi} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, h, \\
\gamma_{Fm} &= \text{Exp} \left[ +j2\pi \rho_m \cos \phi_{Fm} \right], \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, (p-1), \\
\gamma_{Gi} &= \gamma_{F1}(-\theta), \quad \gamma_{Gm} = \gamma_{Fm}(-\theta), \\
\phi_{FA}^i &= \psi_A - \theta_H = \pi - \theta_H + \theta, \\
\phi_{FG}^i &= \psi_A - \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_H \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta, \\
\phi_{Fi}^i &= \psi_A - \psi_{oi} = (\pi - \theta_H + \theta) - \psi_{oi}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, h, \\
\phi_{Fm}^i &= \psi_A - \psi_{om} = (\pi - \theta_H + \theta) - \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1)\theta_H \right), \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + m\theta_H + \theta, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, (p-1),
\end{align*}
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where the distances \( \rho_H, b, \rho_U, \) and \( \rho_M \) are all in terms of wavelengths. The values of \( \psi_{oi} \) are given in Eqs. (14).

Finally, the radiation pattern of the horn antenna can be obtained by using Eqs. (10), (16), (23), (27), and (28) as

\[
(29) \quad J_H(\theta) = D_A Y_{FA} + D_B Y_{FB} + (D_F)_T + (D_G)_T Y_{FG} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [I_{Li} Y_{Fi} + I_{Ui} Y_{FG} Y_{Gi}] + \sum_{m=1}^{P-1} [I_{Lm} Y_{Fm} + I_{Um} Y_{FG} Y_{Gm}], \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,
\]

where each individual term is valid only in the properly defined region and otherwise is set to zero. The phase factors \( Y_{Gi} \) and \( Y_{Gm} \) are those of the image waves from the upper wall referred to \( G \). When they are multiplied by \( Y_{FG} \), the phase reference of the upper wall images is referred to \( F \).

It has been shown[3] that the radiation pattern in the H-plane of a horn antenna is not only due to contributions of H-plane radiation mechanisms, but also to diffracted fields from E-edges. It is assumed that the horn antenna shown in Fig. 6 has a geometrical-optics field distribution in the aperture which is constant in the E-plane and cosine-soidal from the center to the edges in the H-plane. Taking the upper horn wall for illustration, a ray initiated from an assumed source in the waveguide strikes the E-edge with an incident angle \( \beta \) shown. The incident ray-intensity is cosine-soidal from the center along the edge, therefore, if the intensity is assumed to be unity when \( \beta = \pi/2 \), the illumination intensity of the incident ray as a function of \( \theta \) can be written as

\[
(30) \quad X(\theta) = \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \theta \cot \theta_H \right], \quad -\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_H,
\]

where \( \theta \) is in the H-plane. The diffracted rays from the edge form a cone and have a solution involving \( \sin \beta \) [7] as
Fig. 6. Diffracted fields from E-plane.
\[ D = X(\theta) \frac{v_B(\rho_E \cdot \pi - \alpha_E + \alpha, z)}{\sin \beta}, \]

where the angle \( \alpha \) is referred to the principal E-plane of the antennas. The angle \( \beta \) in terms of \( \theta \) is

\[ \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta. \]

Because only two of the diffracted rays shown in Fig. 6 lie in the H-plane, the \( v_B \) factors of Eq. (31) are given by

\[ v_B(\rho_E \sec \theta, \pi - \alpha_E, z), \quad \text{in the forward direction,} \]

and

\[ v_B(\rho_E \lvert \sec \theta \rvert, 2\pi - \alpha_E, z), \quad \text{in the backward direction,} \]

where \( \rho_E \) is the horn length in the principal E-plane. Combining Eqs. (30) to (33), the diffracted fields contribution to the H-plane in the forward and backward directions are written as

\[ D_1 = \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \theta \cot \theta_H \right] \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta} \left[ v_B(\rho_E \sec \theta, \pi - \alpha_E, z) \right], \quad -\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_H, \]

and

\[ D_2 = \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \theta \cot \theta_H \right] \frac{-1}{\cos \theta} \left[ v_B(\rho_E \sec \theta, 2\pi - \alpha_E, z) \right], \quad \pi - \theta_H \leq \theta \leq \pi + \theta_H. \]

where the minus sign is introduced for \( D_2 \) to take into account the three-dimensional polarization properties of the contributions from the E-edges. Also taking into account the diffracted fields from the lower edge of the horn, the total contribution to the principal H-plane can be written as

\[ U_E(\theta) = 2u^*(0) \begin{cases} D_1 Y_{FD_1}, & \theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_H, \\ D_2 Y_{FD_2}, & \pi - \theta_H \leq \theta \leq \pi + \theta_H. \end{cases} \]

where the factor \( u^*(0) \) is the actual geometrical-optics field intensity instead of the unit intensity assumed earlier in Eq. (30). The value of
$u^*(0)$ can be obtained, within the accuracy of the solution in Eq. (29), in the direction of $\theta = 0$ as

$$u^*(0) = 2 \left[ D_A(0) Y_{FA}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{h} I_{Li}(0) Y_{Fi}(0) \right] y_{SF}$$

and

$$y_{SF} = \text{Exp.} \left[ j2\pi \rho_{SF} \cos \theta_H \right]$$

where the factor 2 results from contributions from both walls. The sum of the terms in the bracket has the phase-reference at edge F. The factor $y_{SF}$ is used to refer $u^*(0)$ back to the assumed source $S$ (the intersection of the two Walls perpendicular to E-fields) shown in Fig. 6, so that Eq. (35) is appropriate. The two phase factors in Eq. (35) are used to refer the diffracted rays to point F. They can be obtained by assuming, for convenience of computation, that $FS \approx FQ$ for typical horns. Under this assumption and making use of Figs. 4 and 6, the phase-factors can be obtained as

$$y_{FD_1} = \text{Exp.} \left[ -j2\pi \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \pi \left( -\rho_H + \rho_g \right) \cos \theta_H \tan \theta \sin \theta \end{array} \right\} \right], \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_H \\ \pi - \theta_H \leq \theta \leq \pi + \theta_H \end{array} \right\}$$

where

$$\rho_g = \frac{a_o}{2 \sin \theta_H}$$

If the contributions from the E-edges given in Eq. (35) are considered in the H-plane radiation pattern given in Eq. (29), the total radiation pattern in the principal H-plane may now be written as

$$u_T(\theta) = u_H(\theta) + U_E(\theta)$$

To compute the radiation pattern, only the upper half-plane ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$) needs to be considered. Numerical computations will be discussed in the next section and compared with experimental results.
IV. COMPUTED RESULTS COMPARED WITH MEASURED PATTERNS

The horn antenna used in the experimental measurements is shown in Fig. 7a, in which the waveguide feeding the horn is finite in length and to which is attached an attenuator and a detector. It can be observed from the figure that any possible reflection and diffraction taking place on the surface of the guide and associated structure are not considered in the solutions given in Eqs. (29) and (35) or Eq. (38). Since the guide is finite in length and the diffracted waves from F and G illuminate A and B with zero intensity, the solutions in Eq. (38) can be applied to the approximate model shown in Fig. 7b. In other words, as far as H-plane-diffracted fields are concerned, the total pattern contributed by

![Diagram of horn antenna and model]

Fig. 7. Horn antenna (a) used in measurement and the Model (b) used in computation.
the diffracted ray from edge F and reflected by the guide surface shown in Fig. 7a is replaced by that directly diffracted from G. Using this approximate model, the total far-field pattern in the region \(0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\) can be computed by Eq. (38) as

\[
u_T(\theta) = \nu_H(\theta) + \nu_E(\theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,
\]

where \(\nu_H(\theta)\) is modified from Eq. (29) as

\[
u_H(\theta) = D_A y_{FA} + D_B y_{FB} + (D_F)_T + (D_G)_T y_{FG}
\]

\[+ \sum_{i=1}^{h} [I_{Li} y_{Fi} + I_{U_i} y_{FG} y_{Gi}]
\]

\[+ \sum_{m=1}^{D-1} [I_{Lm} y_{Gm} y_{FG}], \tag{39}
\]

\[
D_A(\theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_H \quad \text{Eqs. (10)},
\]

\[
D_B(\theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_H + \psi_{oo} \quad \text{Eqs. (10)},
\]

\[
D_F(\theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \quad \text{Eqs. (22)},
\]

\[
D_G(\theta) : \begin{cases} 
0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
-(\pi + \theta_H) \leq \theta \leq -\pi
\end{cases} \quad \text{Eqs. (22)},
\]

\[
I_{Li}(\theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_H + \psi_{oi} \quad \text{Eqs. (16)},
\]

\[
I_{U_i}(\theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_H - \psi_{oi} \quad \text{Eqs. (16)},
\]

\[
I_{Lm}(\theta) : \frac{\pi}{2} - (m+1) \theta_H \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - m \theta_H \quad \text{Eqs. (23)},
\]

and \(\nu_E(\theta)\) is modified from Eq. (35) as

\[
u_E(\theta) = u^*(\theta)[2(D_1 y_{FD_1} + D_2 y_{FD_2})]; \tag{40}
\]
The phase-factors in Eqs. (39) and (40) can be obtained from Eqs. (28) and (37), respectively.

Computations of $u_H(0)$ and $u_E(\theta)$ are simple algebraic superpositions if the function $v_B$ can be computed. The diffraction terms $v_B$ of $D_A$, $D_B$, $I_{Li}$, and $I_{UH}$ are computed by making use of Eq. (A-11). The $v_B$ of the rest of the terms in Eqs. (39) and (40) are computed by Eq. (A-10). The pattern computed for the parameters shown in Fig. 8 is plotted for

$$20 \log \left| \frac{|u_T(\theta)|}{|u_T(0)|} \right| .$$

For convenience of comparison, the computed pattern is shown displaced (+5) db above the measured pattern in Fig. 8. Excellent agreement is observed in the region $0 < \theta < 90^\circ$. In the region $90^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ - \Theta_H$, the radiation intensity is below (-50)db. Therefore, the experimental pattern cannot be accurately measured. In the backlobe region, $180^\circ - \Theta_H < \theta < 180^\circ$, two computed patterns are shown. The dotted pattern is obtained by neglecting the contribution $U_E(\theta)$ from E-edges. The calculated pattern including $U_E(\theta)$ gives good agreement with the measured pattern.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Diffraction theory in conjunction with the method of images has been demonstrated to be a successful technique to compute the principal $H$-plane pattern of a horn antenna. The interactions between wedges A and B at the horn throat are successfully described by using a new form of diffraction function $v_B$. This new form of $v_B$ is the series formulation in terms of cylindrical wave functions given in Eq. (A-9). The convergence of this series allows practical and accurate computation of $v_B$ for typical horn antennas.

The contribution $U_E(\theta)$ from the E-edges has been shown to be the primary radiation in the backlobe region. In the main-lobe region, the
Fig. 8. Comparison of patterns.
contribution of $U_E(\eta)$ is unnoticeably small as compared to that of $u_H(\eta)$. The experimental results confirming the above conclusions have been given in Ref. 3.

A corrugated horn of dimensions similar to those given in Fig. 8 has been discovered to have an E-plane pattern almost identical to that of H-plane in the figure. Therefore, by principles of duality, the discovery suggests that the E-plane pattern of a corrugated horn can be solved by treating the corrugated surfaces as magnetic conductors.

It may finally be concluded that the radiation mechanisms of horn antennas described by diffraction theory are new points of views on electromagnetic radiation and propagation. Proper use of the theory ensures solutions for most two-dimensional problems involving perfectly conducting wedges.
APPENDIX A
REVIEW OF DIFFRACTION THEORY

The two-dimensional problem of the electromagnetic field in the neighborhood of a conducting wedge illuminated by a uniform plane wave was first solved by Sommerfeld[9]. The solution for a conducting half-plane (zero wedge angle) was formulated in terms of the Fresnel integral. Subsequently, Pauli[10] formulated the solution for wedges of arbitrary angles in an asymptotic series in which the dominant term is the Fresnel integral. The higher-order terms in Pauli's solution become identically zero for zero wedge angle. Therefore, Pauli's solution is used here for the general case.

Figure A-1 shows the geometry of the wedge used by Pauli to formulate the solution of field intensity at \( P(r, \psi) \) caused by plane-wave illumination. By reciprocity, if the same wedge of a perfectly conducting surface is illuminated by a uniform cylindrical wave from \( S \) shown in Fig. A-1b, the far-field intensity can be written from Pauli's solution as

\[
(A-1) \quad v = v(\rho, \phi^+, n) \pm v(\rho, \phi^-, n),
\]

\[
= v^+ \pm v^-
\]

\[\phi^+ = \psi \mp \psi_0, \text{ and}\]

\[n = 2 - \frac{2\theta_0}{\pi},\]

where the terms \( v^\pm \) represent the incident and the reflected fields, respectively. The sum \( (v^+ + v^-) \) applies when \( S \) is a magnetic line source, and the difference \( (v^+ - v^-) \) applies if \( S \) is an electric line source. The incident and the reflected fields are composed of geometrical optics terms and diffracted terms, as,

\[ v^\pm = (v^*)^\pm + v_B^\pm. \]
(a) Illuminated by a uniform plane wave

Fig. A-1. Geometry of a wedge.
The geometrical optics terms are given by

\[(A-2)\quad (v^*)^\pm = v^*(p, \phi^\pm, n)\]

\[= \begin{cases} 
\text{Exp}[jkp \cos(\phi^\pm + 2\pi nN)], & -\pi < \phi^\pm + 2\pi nN \leq \pi, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}\]

\[N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\]

where the periodicity of the functions is seen to be $2\pi n$. The diffracted terms are given by

\[(A-3)\quad v_B^\pm = v_B(p, \phi^\pm, n)\]

\[= \frac{\text{Exp}(j\frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{n}}{n}\right) \left[2 \left|\cos \frac{\phi^\pm}{2}\right| \text{Exp}(jkp \cos \phi^\pm) \sum_{\phi^\pm} e^{-j\pi^2} d\phi \right.\]

\[+ \left(\text{Higher-order terms negligible for large kp}\right)\]

and

\[a = 1 + \cos \phi^\pm.\]

As $(akp)$ approaches infinity, i.e., as the line source $S$ recedes to the infinity, the solutions in Eq. (32) can be written

\[(A-4)\quad v_B(p, \phi^\pm, u) = \frac{\text{Exp}[-j(\frac{\pi}{4} + kp)]}{\sqrt{2\pi kp}} \left[\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{n}}{n}\right.\]

\[\left.\frac{\sin \pi}{n} - \cos \phi^\pm}{n(\cos \frac{\pi}{n} - \cos \phi^\pm)}\right].\]

For $N=0$ in Eq. (A-2) the geometrical optics terms have discontinuities at the shadow boundary $(\phi^\pm = \pi)$, and the reflection boundary $(\phi^- = \pi)$. At these boundaries, the diffracted terms are given as
where the higher-order terms are negligible for large \((k \rho)\) are not presented. The solutions are essential in ensuring continuity at the boundaries. Since we intentionally make the geometrical optics terms in Eq. (A-2) defined at the boundaries, \(\phi_+ \equiv \pi\), the first equation of Eq. (A-5) should be used to obtain the field intensities at the boundaries. The total far-field of the wedge illuminated by a uniform cylindrical wave shown in Fig. A-1b can now be obtained by using Eqs. (A-2), (A-3), and (A-5) as

\[
(A-6) \quad u(p, \phi, n) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\exp(-j k \rho)}{\sqrt{\rho + \rho^2}} \left[ (v^*)^+ + v_B^+ \right] + \left[ (v^*)^- + v_B^- \right],
\]

where the reflection terms disappear if the wedge is illuminated by the source with \(\psi = 0\). If the source is an electric line element, the value of \(u(p, \phi, n)\) is identically zero for \(\psi = 0\).

The solutions in Eq. (A-6) are valid only in evaluating the far-field intensity which is the main concern of present problem. The diffracted near-field intensities of a conducting half-plane, i.e., \(n = 2\), have been solved by Nomura[11] and used by Ohba for dipole source illumination. Since this solution is in a general form, it can easily serve to illustrate principles of reciprocity. To generalize the solution further, the diffracted near-fields of a wedge illuminated by a line source have been written by Dybdal[12] in a form as follows:

\[
(A-7) \quad v(r, p, \phi^+, n) = \frac{\exp(-j k (r + p - b))}{\sqrt{\rho + \rho^2}} \left[ v_B(b, \phi^+, n) \right]
\]

where the \(v_B\) terms are given in Eq. (A-3). If the field point is in the far-zone, \(v(r, p, \phi^+, n)\) is reduced to
$$v(r, \rho, \phi^\pm, n) = \frac{\text{Exp}[-jkr]}{\sqrt{r}} [v_B(\rho, \phi^\pm, n)] ,$$

which, with the common factor $r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Exp}[-jkr]$ removed, is identical to Eq. (A-3). If the source point recedes to the far-zone, the solutions in Eq. (A-7) are reduced to

$$v(r, \rho, \phi^+, n) = \frac{\text{Exp}[-jk\rho]}{\sqrt{\rho}} [v_B(\rho, \phi^+, n)] ,$$

which, with the common factor $\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Exp}[-jk\rho]$ removed, are identical to the near-field solutions of a wedge illuminated by a uniform plane wave.

If a wedge has its value of $n$ quite different from 2 and is illuminated by a line source located closer than several wavelengths from the wedge, the higher-order terms of $v_B(p, \phi^+, n)$ in Eq. (A-3) should be included to give accurate results. Instead of evaluating higher-order terms, a more convenient form of the solution for diffracted fields may be obtained from the series of cylindrical wave functions and can be written as

$$v_B(p, \phi^+, n) = v(p, \phi^+, n) - v^*(p, \phi^+, n),$$

$$v(p, \phi^+, n) = \frac{1}{n} \left[ J_0(kp) + 2 \sum_{m=1,2, \ldots}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m}{n} J_m(kp) \cos \frac{m}{n} (\phi^+) \right\} \right] ,$$

and

$$v^*(p, \phi^+, n) = \begin{cases} \text{Exp}[jkp \cos(\phi^+ + 2nN\pi)], & -\pi < \phi^+ + 2nN\pi < \pi, \quad N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The quantities $v(p, \phi^+, n)$ and $v(p, \phi^-, n)$ are the incident and reflected terms of the total radiation field. The geometrical-optics terms $v^*(p, \phi^+, n)$ and $v^*(p, \phi^-, n)$ are valid only in the defined regions and are set identically zero otherwise. Writing $v_B$ explicitly as a function of $\rho, \phi,$ and $n,$ we have
\( \nu_B(\rho, \phi^\pm, n) = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) J_m(k\rho) \cos \frac{m}{n} (\phi^+) \right] \)

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{Exp}\left[jk\rho \cos(\phi^+ + 2nN\pi)\right], & -\pi < \phi^+ + 2nN\pi < \pi, \\
N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

It is more convenient to use the Bessel function form to compute \( \nu_B \) when \( \rho \) is small, because in this case the Bessel functions converge rapidly. The angles \( \phi^\pm \) in \( \nu_B \) may be replaced by \( \phi^\pm \) to indicate more clearly that they are the field angles for incident and reflected terms, respectively. The diffraction terms \( \nu_B(\rho, \phi, n) \) are calculated in two ways, depending on the values of \( \rho \) and \( n \). For a wedge of zero wedge angle \( (n = 2) \) or for \( \rho > 1.5\lambda \), the Fresnel integral form of \( \nu_B \) in Eq. (A-3) is used without the higher-order terms and is denoted by

\[
\nu_F(\rho, \phi^\pm, n) = \frac{\text{Exp}\left(j\pi \cdot \sin\frac{\pi}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} n} \left[ \frac{2 \text{Exp}(jk\rho \cos \phi^+)}{\cos \frac{\pi}{n} - \cos \frac{\phi^+}{n}} \right] \left( \frac{e^{-j\tau^2}}{\sqrt{\pi} k\rho} \right) d\tau
\]

\( a = 1 + \cos \phi^\pm \).

For cases in which \( \rho < 1.5\lambda \) and \( n \neq 2 \), the series form of \( \nu_B \) in Eq. (A-9) involving Bessel functions is employed and is denoted by

\[
\nu_D(\rho, \phi^\pm, n) = \frac{1}{n} \left[ J_0(k\rho) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{15} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) J_m(k\rho) \cos \frac{m}{n} (\phi^+) \right] \]

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{Exp}\left[jk\rho \cos(\phi^+ + 2nN\pi)\right], & -\pi < \phi^+ + 2nN\pi < \pi, \\
N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

where the series is summed for \( m/n \leq 15 \) because the Bessel functions of order higher than 15 are vanishingly small\(^{[14]} \) for \( \rho \leq 1.5\lambda \).
APPENDIX B
FAR-FIELD WAVES FROM THE IMAGES
OF LINE SOURCES

Consider line sources parallel to perfectly conducting plane walls. The problem in this Appendix is to obtain the far-field intensity of the image waves from the walls. The problem is a two-dimensional one and the line sources can be either magnetic or electric line sources.

First, a directional line source is shown at point S in Fig. B-1. If a semi-infinite conducting wall is placed with an angle $\theta_o$ with respect to the horizontal reference axis, the image of the line source is formed at point $I$. The line source radiates a directional cylindrical wave to the

\[ v(\theta) = \frac{\rho^2}{Z_0} \sin \theta \cos \theta_o \]

far-field and it is designated as $v(\theta)$. In the presence of the wall, $v(\theta)$ is reflected by the wall in the region $-(\pi + \theta_o) \leq \theta \leq -\pi/2$. The reflected wave is called the image wave from point $I$ and can be described by

\[ v^-(\theta) = v(-\theta - \theta_o) \]

where the superscript minus sign implies the reflection of $v(\theta)$. The total far-field pattern can then be obtained by superposition of the two waves as

\[ I_1(\theta) = v^-(\theta) = v(-2\theta_o - \theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta_o \leq \theta \leq -(\pi - \theta_o) \]
where $y_S$ is the local phase-factor of the image referred to point $S$ and $\rho$ is the distance between the image and the source as shown in Fig. B-1. This result is obtained by removing the common factor $R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \{-jkR\}$, with $R$ referred to $S$. The plus sign applies for a magnetic line source, while the minus sign should be used for an electric line source.

Next, a corner reflector of $2\theta_0$ is shown in Fig. B-2a. Let there be only one line source $v(\theta)$ at A. In the region $-(\pi - \theta_0) < \theta < -\pi/2$, the rays from A are reflected by the lower wall. Only rays in the ray -1 zone (from image -1) are directly reflected to the far-field. The rays in ray -2 zone are reflected twice while those in ray -3 zone are reflected three times inside the reflector. Consequently, three image waves are formed in three distinct regions. The first image wave can be written similar to Eq. (B-1) from Fig. B-2a as

\[ v_1^-(\theta) = v(-2\theta_0 - \theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta_0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0, \]

which is obtained by replacing the $\theta$ of $v(\theta)$ by $(-2\theta_0 - \theta)$. The second image is formed in the upper wall. This image wave can be obtained by replacing the $\theta$ of $v_1^-(\theta)$ by $(+2\theta_0 - \theta)$ as

\[ v_2^-(\theta) = v(-4\theta_0 + \theta), \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} - 3\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta_0. \]

Again replacing the $\theta$ of the above expression by $(-2\theta_0 - \theta)$, the third image wave can be obtained as

\[ v_3^-(\theta) = v(-6\theta_0 - \theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - 4\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \pi - 7\theta_0. \]

This process can be used for any number of images. The number of images is equal to the number of ray zones determined by the highest integer $h$ such that $h < \pi/2\theta_0$. Construction of the images in Fig. B-2a can

\[ (B-2) \quad u(\theta) = v(\theta) + v(-2\theta_0 - \theta) y_S, \]

and

\[ y_S = \exp[-jk\rho \sin(\theta + \theta_0)]. \]
(a) Images due to a line source at A

(b) Images of lower wall due to two symmetrical line sources

Fig. B-2. Geometry of a corner reflector $\frac{\pi}{3} > 2\theta_o > \frac{\pi}{4}$.
be extended to conclude that all the odd-numbered images are formed in the lower wall, while the even-numbered images are in the upper wall. In general, the image waves can be written

\[ v_{1}^{-}(\theta) = \begin{cases} v(-2i\theta_{0} - \theta), & \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{(i+1)\theta_{0}}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_{0} \quad \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ v(-2i\theta_{0} + \theta), & \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{(i+1)\theta_{0}}{2} \right] \geq \theta \geq \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_{0} \right] \quad \text{for } i \text{ even} \end{cases} \]

\[ i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots (h-1). \]

If the ratio of \( \pi \) to \( 2\theta_{0} \) is not exactly an integer, the valid region of the last image should be modified as

\[ v_{h}^{-}(\theta) = \begin{cases} v(-2h\theta_{0} - \theta), & \frac{\pi}{2} - (h+1)\theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - (2h+1)\theta_{0} \quad \text{h odd} \\ v(-2h\theta_{0} + \theta), & \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - (h+1)\theta_{0} \right] \geq \theta \geq \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - (2h+1)\theta_{0} \right] \quad \text{h even} \end{cases} \]

The value of \( 2\theta_{0} \) in Fig. B-2 is larger than \( \pi/4 \) but smaller than \( \pi/2 \). Therefore \( h \) is equal to 3 and the last image is defined by Eq. (B-6) for \( h \) odd.

Figure B-2b shows two symmetrical line sources at A and B from which far-field waves \( v(\theta) \) and \( v(-\theta) \), respectively, are radiated. The images of the source at A are identical to those in Fig. B-2. Because of the symmetrical properties of the assumed line sources and the geometry of the reflector, the images resulting from the source at B are symmetrical to those of A. Consequently, equal numbers of symmetrical images are formed in both walls of the reflector. Making use of this symmetry, the image waves excited by \( v(-\theta) \) from B can be obtained similar to Eq. (B-5) as

\[ v_{h}^{-}(-\theta) = \begin{cases} v(-2i\theta_{0} + \theta), & \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - (i+1)\theta_{0} \right] \geq \theta \geq \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_{0} \right] \quad \text{i odd} \\ v(-2i\theta_{0} - \theta), & \frac{\pi}{2} - (i+1)\theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_{0} \quad \text{i even} \end{cases} \]

\[ i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots (h-1). \]

In case the ratio \( \pi \) to \( 2\theta_{0} \) is not an integer, the last image wave has its defined region as in Eq. (B-6) by interchanging \( h \) odd and \( h \) even.
Comparison of Eqs. (B-5) to (B-7) indicates that all image waves from the lower wall are combinations of $v_i^-(\theta)$ with $i$ odd and $v_i^+(\theta)$ with $i$ even. The other set of combinations gives the image waves from the upper wall. To summarize, the image waves from both walls of the reflector are designated by $(I_L)_i$ and $(I_U)_i$ as follows:

\[(I_L)_i = v^-(\theta) = v(-2i\theta_0 - \theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - (i+1)\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_0, \quad \text{lower wall};\]

\[(I_U)_i = v^-(\theta) = v(-2i\theta_0 + \theta), \quad -\left[\frac{\pi}{2} - (i+1)\theta_0\right] \geq \theta \geq -\left[\frac{\pi}{2} - i\theta_0\right], \quad \text{upper wall};\]

\[i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, h.\]

For the ratio of $\pi$ to $2\theta_0$ not an integer, modifications for $i = h$ are

\[(I_L)_h = v(-2h\theta_0 - \theta), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - (h+1)\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \pi - (2h+1)\theta_0;\]

and

\[(I_U)_h = v(-2h\theta_0 + \theta), \quad -\left[\frac{\pi}{2} - (h+1)\theta_0\right] \geq \theta \geq -\left[\pi - (2h+1)\theta_0\right].\]

In Fig. B-2b, three images in the lower wall are shown. Their far-field intensities can be obtained by setting $h = 3$ in Eqs. (B-8) and (B-9).

Because of symmetry, only the upper-half region $0 < \theta < \pi$ needs to be considered for the radiation pattern of the reflector antenna. In this region, the contributions are from the sources at $A$ and $B$ and the images in the lower wall. Contributions from the last image in the upper wall are possible, if the ratio of $\pi$ to $2\theta_0$ is not an integer. Superposition of all these contributions gives the total far-field $u(\theta)$ as

\[(B-10) \quad u(\theta) = v(\theta) + [v(-\theta)]y_{AB} + \sum_{i=1}^{h} (\pm 1)^i \left[ v(-2i\theta_0 - \theta) \right] y_{Al} + (\pm 1)^h \left[ v(-2h\theta_0 + \theta) \right] y_{AB} y_{Bh},\]
where \((+1)^i\) preceding the image terms are used for magnetic line sources and \((-1)^i\) are used for electric line sources. The phase factors are introduced by taking A as phase-reference:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{AB} &= \exp(-jk\rho_0 \sin \theta), \\
\gamma_{Ai} &= \exp(-jk\rho_i \sin (i\theta_0 + \phi)) , \\
\gamma_{Bh} &= \exp(-jk\rho_h \sin(h\theta_0 - \phi)) , \\
\rho_0 &= AB, \text{ and} \\
\rho_i &= \rho_{i-1} \cos \theta_0 + \rho_0 \cos (i\theta_0).
\end{align*}
\]

The above expressions can be obtained by considering the geometry of Fig. B-2. It is noted that each term in Eqs. (B-10) and (B-11) is set zero outside its defined region.
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