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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the first phase of a detailed analytical study of the combined heat, mass, and momentum transfer processes that occur between a liquid stream and a concentric, higher velocity, annular gas stream when both the liquid stream and the annular gas stream are confined in an axisymmetric constant pressure, converging channel or an axisymmetric constant area, variable pressure channel.

The study began with an effort to determine whether the liquid stream entering the mixing section of an ejector-like device remained intact in a jet or atomized. The conclusions were that for the range of variables of interest the probability was that there was no significant jet breakup. Possible entrainment of liquid by the concentric gas stream has been neglected at this time.

Having established an analytical model based on the assumption that the liquid stream remains intact, the basic equations were numerically solved for a variety of conditions. Using the axial distance required to condense the vapor from the concentric gas stream on to the liquid jet as a measure of performance, (the shorter the better), it was found that:

1. A constant pressure converging mixing section is more effective than a constant area mixing section.
2. Increasing the number of liquid jets (constant total jet flow area) shortened the mixing section.
3. Increasing the Mach number of the gas stream at the mixing section inlet shortened the mixing section.
4. The amount of noncondensable present in the gas stream (from 20 to 50 percent by weight) did not significantly affect the distance required for condensation but did affect the shape of the mixing section.
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### NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>cross sectional flow area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>nozzle discharge coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;D&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>drag coefficient for spherical drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;g&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>speed of sound in gas stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, C&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>calculation constants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>number of liquid jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>equivalent diameter for gas flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;g&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>diameter of mixing section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D'&lt;sub&gt;g&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>binary diffusion coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>calculation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Fanning friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, F'&lt;sub&gt;g&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>shear force from friction or momentum exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>conversion factor, 32.174 lbm-ft/lbf-sec&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g'&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>conversion factor, 4.17 x 10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; lbm-ft/lbf-hr&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h&lt;sub&gt;G&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient from bulk gas to gas-liquid jet interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient from gas-liquid jet interface to bulk liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>conversion factor, 778.26 ft-lbf/Btu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;g&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>ratio of specific heats of bulk gas mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;G&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>mass transfer coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>mixing section or liquid jet length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>molecular weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;nc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>log mean noncondensable partial pressure difference between the bulk gas mixture and the gas-liquid jet interface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( p \)  
static pressure in mixing section

\( q \)  
heat flux

\( Q \)  
total heat transfer from bulk gas to bulk liquid

\( r \)  
radius

\( Re \)  
Reynolds number

\( R_g \)  
gas constant

\( R' \)  
universal gas constant

\( s \)  
entropy

\( Sc \)  
Schmidt number

\( S_{1}, S_{1c} \)  
surface area at phase interface

\( S' \)  
Sutherland constant (see Appendix F)

\( t \)  
thickness of annular gas nozzle at mixing section inlet plane

\( t^{*} \)  
property calculation parameter (see Appendix F)

\( U \)  
overall heat transfer coefficient

\( v \)  
specific volume

\( V \)  
velocity

\( V' \)  
relative velocity

\( w \)  
mass flow rate

\( We \)  
Weber number

\( X \)  
flowing mass quality of two-phase mixture

\( X_v \)  
mole fraction of vapor in gas mixture

\( Z \)  
ratio of Weber number to Reynolds number

Greek Letters

\( \alpha \)  
property calculation parameter (see Appendix F)

\( \beta \)  
half-angle of mixing section divergence

\( \gamma \)  
half-angle of liquid jet divergence

\( \Delta \)  
difference

\( \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_b \)  
calculation constants

\( \epsilon/k \)  
molecular potential well depth

\( \lambda \)  
wavelength of liquid jet disturbances
\( \mu \) viscosity
\( \rho \) mass density
\( \sigma \) molecular collision diameter
\( \sigma_1 \) surface tension
\( \tau \) shear stress from friction or momentum exchange
\( \phi \) mass flow rate ratio of vapor to gas mixture
\( \omega \) mass flow rate ratio of liquid phase to gas phase
\( \omega_{\text{vol}} \) volumetric flow rate ratio of liquid phase to gas phase

Subscripts
- \( o \) refers to stagnation state
- \( 1 \) refers to mixing section inlet state or the initial state of each increment of mixing section length
- \( 2 \) refers to the end state of each increment of mixing section length
- \( 12 \) refers to total increment of mixing section length between states 1 and 2
- \( b \) refers to liquid jet breakup
- \( c \) refers to condensate from condensation of vapor
- \( d \) refers to liquid drops
- \( f \) refers to saturated liquid state
- \( fg \) refers to changes of state in vaporization
- \( F \) refers to final liquid drop conditions
- \( g \) refers to saturated vapor state or to condensable plus noncondensable gas mixture
- \( i \) refers to gas-liquid interface
- \( I \) refers to initial liquid drop conditions
- \( j \) refers to liquid jet
- \( L \) refers to liquid phase or liquid jet
- \( m \) refers to momentum exchange
- \( nc \) refers to noncondensable gas component
p
refers to constant pressure process

r
refers to relative value between gas and liquid phases

sat
refers to saturation condition

v
refers to condensable vapor component

w
refers to mixing section wall

Quantity over Symbol
— signifies average value
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INTRODUCTION

General:

The purpose of this report is to present the analysis and results of our study of the combined heat, mass, and momentum transfer processes that occur between a liquid jet and a concentric, higher velocity, annular gas stream when both the jet and annular gas stream are confined in an axisymmetric channel. This particular investigation was undertaken as part of our continuing program in the fundamental study of ejector processes for the Naval Underwater Ordnance Station, Newport, Rhode Island.

Generally speaking, most ejector type devices are today designed by a combination of empirical data and one-dimensional thermodynamic equilibrium analyses. The results of such an approach have been satisfactory in most applications. However, when two-phase, two-component flow is involved, e.g., a liquid water jet and a concentric water vapor-carbon dioxide gas mixture, this traditional approach has not yielded devices of high performance nor has it predicted or explained all of the phenomena actually observed. Apparently, the addition of a non-condensable gaseous component and the resulting diffusion processes changes significantly the heat and momentum transfer processes in the system.

If one examines the schematic diagram in Figure 1, one can see that the ejector devices under consideration here have three regions of interest; the nozzles, the mixing section and the diffuser. The nozzle processes are well understood and nozzle performance can generally be accurately predicted (exceptions are flashing flow in the liquid nozzle and condensing flow in the gas mixture nozzle).

We chose to begin our study with the diffuser section of the ejector and Reference 6 presents our initial attempt to explain some of the experimental data presented in Reference 3. The results of this
early analytical study, even though one-dimensional and without consideration of rate processes, indicated that the only way one could rationalize the experimental data was to assume that the two-phase, two-component flow entering the diffuser section was not at thermodynamic equilibrium; i.e., the gas and liquid components were at different bulk temperature levels and that the two phases were flowing at different average velocities. The next step was to try to predict the diffuser section inlet conditions and hence our present study of what could be the mixing section process.

Jet Breakup and Atomization:

Our study of the mixing section process began by trying to establish an analytical model of the processes involved. Of major importance was the question of whether the liquid jet entering the mixing section remained a solid jet or atomized. Appendix A of this report contains the details of our study of the literature on atomization and jet breakup. The results of this study of the jet breakup and atomization literature indicated that there was a good probability that the liquid jet did not break up to any significant extent. (Neither the entrainment of the liquid nor the waviness of the liquid jet caused by the scrubbing action of the gas flow has been considered at this time.) In addition, visual results in Reference 16 also report no significant jet breakup. Thus, as a starting point, we have assumed the liquid jet does not break up.

This conclusion and the choice of our first analytical model have been reached on the basis of a limited amount of information, for in spite of the large amount of work in existence on atomization (7-13)* and turbulent jets (15, 16), little significant quantitative data on the behavior

* Numbers in parentheses refer to references.
of a high velocity liquid jet surrounded by a higher velocity axisymmetric annular gas stream was found. Therefore, one of the major recommendations of this study proposes to fill the gap in our knowledge of these fundamental processes of jet breakup and atomization by further study in this area.

Mixing Section Analytical Models:

Along with the derivations of the conservation equations, Appendices B through E of this report present the details of the computational procedures for the three analytical models considered herein. All analytical models considered thus far assume that the liquid jet remains intact with no liquid entrainment by the concentric annular gas stream and no liquid jet surface area increase due to waves on the liquid jet surface. Table I presents a summary of the three mixing section analytical models and indicates the differences between the models while Table II summarizes the assumptions common to each of the mixing section analyses. Table III is a summary of the input data and range of variables for which computations were carried out*. In addition to the detailed analyses, derivations, and computational procedures presented in Appendices B through E, certain auxiliary information, such as data sources, correlation sources, etc., are presented in Appendices F and G.

Method of Solution:

Generally speaking, the method of solution for each analytical model is to simultaneously solve the conservation equations of mass, momentum, and energy for the combined heat, mass, and momentum

* Because of space limitations, all calculated results are not presented herein.
transfer processes between the liquid jet and the concentric annular gas stream. The actual computational procedure employed in each analytical model is different due to the differences in the models. However, the numerical technique employed in each case involves a trial and error iterative procedure. The mixing section is divided into a number of intervals so that difference type equations are involved. In each interval of mixing section of each case, the solution is built around the initial estimate of a bulk gas-liquid jet interface temperature and successively improving the initial guess until all rate processes and conservation laws for each interval are satisfied.

The iterative technique referred to above is an extension of the method presented in References 17, 18 and 19. The only significant difference between the work referred to above and that presented herein is that changes in kinetic energy of either the gas or liquid stream have been included as significant in calculating total heat transfers. This modification of the earlier results was necessary because of the high relative velocities encountered in some of the cases considered below.

At present, the analytical models herein are restricted to use of input data for which the condensable vapor state at the mixing section inlet plane is approximately a saturated vapor state.
TABLE I

SUMMARY OF MIXING SECTION ANALYTICAL MODELS

Analytical Model I:
1. constant pressure mixing section
2. no noncondensable gas in gas stream (gas stream completely condensable)

Analytical Model IIa:
1. constant pressure mixing section
2. condensable vapor and noncondensable gas present in gas stream

Analytical Model IIb:
1. differs from Analytical Model IIa in that a simplified relative velocity is assumed between concentric streams

Analytical Model III:
1. constant area mixing section
2. condensable vapor and noncondensable gas present in gas stream
TABLE II

SUMMARY OF ASSUMPTIONS COMMON TO ALL MIXING SECTION ANALYSES

1. The flow is steady.
2. The flow is one-dimensional.
3. The liquid is incompressible.
4. The ejector processes are adiabatic.
5. Height changes are negligible.
6. Surface tension effects are negligible.
7. There is no shaft work.
8. The liquid jet remains intact.
9. There is no entrainment of liquid in the gas stream.
10. The liquid and gas streams are concentric with the gas flow being annular.
11. The vapor enters the mixing section in a saturated or nearly saturated state.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property and Calculation Constants</th>
<th>Analytical Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid nozzle discharge coefficient, $C_{dL}$</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas nozzle discharge coefficient, $C_{dg}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular weight, $W_v$</td>
<td>lbm/lbm-mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular weight, $W_{nc}$</td>
<td>lbm/lbm-mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal gas constant, $R^1$</td>
<td>18.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_v$</td>
<td>angstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_{nc}$</td>
<td>angstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_v$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_{nc}$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property calculation parameter, $\alpha$</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property calculation parameter, $t^*$</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable Sutherland constant, $S'_v$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable Sutherland constant, $S'_{nc}$</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid density, $\rho_L$</td>
<td>lbm/ft$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid thermal conductivity, $k_L$</td>
<td>Btu/hr-ft-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid specific heat, $C_{pL}$</td>
<td>Btu/lbm-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor, $g_o$</td>
<td>lbm-ft/lbf-sec$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ia and IIb</th>
<th>III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular weight, $W_v$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>18.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular weight, $W_{nc}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>44.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal gas constant, $R^1$</td>
<td>1.9857</td>
<td>1.9857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_v$</td>
<td>2.649</td>
<td>2.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_{nc}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_v$</td>
<td>356.0</td>
<td>356.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_{nc}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>213.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property calculation parameter, $\alpha$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.265 x 10$^{-23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property calculation parameter, $t^*$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable Sutherland constant, $S'_v$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>559.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable Sutherland constant, $S'_{nc}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>233.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid density, $\rho_L$</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid thermal conductivity, $k_L$</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid specific heat, $C_{pL}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor, $g_o$</td>
<td>32.174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>IIa and IIb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor, $g'$</td>
<td>$4.17 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$4.17 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor, $J$</td>
<td>ft-lbf/Btu</td>
<td>778.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk gas temperature decrement, $\Delta T_g$</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $c_1$</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of liquid jets, $c_2$</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1. +, 2., 4. +, 6., 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of total vapor condensed in each interval of mixing section, $c_4$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $\epsilon_1$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $\epsilon_2$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $\epsilon_3$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $\epsilon_4$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation constant, $\epsilon_6$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Data used for Analytical Model IIb only.
Table III - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data for Each Case*</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>IIA and IIb</th>
<th>III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noncondensable flow rate, (w_{nc}) lbm/hr</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>151.2, 186.24, 151.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>279.36, 372.48, 456.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing section inlet Mach number, (M_1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of total static pressure in mixing section to gas stagnation pressure at ejector inlet, (p/p_{go})</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.953, 0.9, 0.8182, 0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.08, 12.5, 8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejector inlet stagnation temperature ratio, (T_{go}/T_{Lo})</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>94.5, 100, 110, 125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas stagnation pressure at ejector inlet, (p_{go}) psia</td>
<td>36.</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.44, 3.25, 2.955, 2.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejector inlet stagnation pressure ratio, (p_{Lo}/p_{go})</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas stagnation temperature at ejector inlet, (T_{go}) F</td>
<td>283.</td>
<td>340, 500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid nozzle exit diameter, (D_{L1}) in</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.295, 0.2086, 0.1475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1204, 0.1475, 0.1043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensable flow rate at ejector inlet, (w_{vo}) lbm/hr</td>
<td>1410.</td>
<td>780, 744.7, 780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>651.84, 588.72, 465.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data combinations used given on appropriate Figures.
+ Data used for Analytical Model IIb only.
RESULTS

Jet Breakup and Atomization:

The calculated results shown in Figures 2 through 6 compare the predictions of a variety of jet breakup and atomization correlations for a range of possible operating conditions. A more detailed discussion of these results and the correlations from which they were obtained is given in Appendix A.

Mixing Section Analyses:

1. Constant Pressure Mixing Section, No Noncondensable (Model I):

   Figures 7 through 10 show some of the calculated results for one set of input data to analytical Model I plotted versus distance from the mixing section inlet plane. The figures are generally self-explanatory and show how various temperatures (Figure 7), various velocities (Figure 8), various Reynolds numbers (Figure 9), various heat transfer coefficients (Figure 9), and the liquid jet diameter and mixing section diameter (Figure 10) vary with distance along the mixing section. The percent of total inlet vapor flow condensed versus the distance from mixing section inlet plane is shown in Figure 26.

2. Constant Pressure Mixing Section, with Noncondensable (Models IIa and IIb):

   Figures 11 through 14 show some of the calculated results for one set of input data to Analytical Model IIa, plotted with distance from mixing section inlet plane as the abscissa. The figures are generally self-explanatory and show how various temperatures (Figure 11), various velocities (Figure 12), various Reynolds numbers (Figure 13), various heat transfer coefficients (Figure 13), the mass transfer coefficient (Figure 13), and the liquid jet diameter and mixing section diameter (Figure 14) vary with distance along the mixing section.
Figures 15 through 18 show the results calculated from Analytical Model IIb for the same set of input data used in Analytical Model IIa. These figures are comparable to Figures 11 through 14, and show the differences resulting from the assumption of a simplified relative velocity between phases in the mixing section.

The percent of total inlet vapor flow condensed versus the distance from mixing section inlet plane is shown in Figure 26 for Models IIa and IIb. Also, for Model IIa the percent of total inlet vapor flow condensed versus the distance from mixing section inlet plane is shown for various inlet Mach numbers (Figure 23), for various inlet flow rate ratios of vapor to total gas mixture (Figure 24), and for various numbers of liquid jets (Figure 25).

3. Constant Area Mixing, with Noncondensable (Model III):

Figures 19 through 22 show some of the calculated results for one set of input data to Analytical Model III plotted with distance from mixing section inlet plane as the abscissa. The figures are generally self-explanatory and show how various temperatures (Figure 19), various velocities (Figure 20), various Reynolds numbers (Figure 21), and the liquid jet diameter and mixing section diameter (Figure 22) vary with distance along the mixing section.

The percent of total inlet vapor flow condensed versus the distance from mixing section inlet plane is shown in Figure 26. Figure 22 shows how the total static pressure in the mixing section varies from the mixing section inlet plane.

Comparison of Mixing Section Analytical Results:

In Figures 23 through 26 we compare how the total percent of vapor condensed (based upon amount possible to condense) varies versus the axial distance from the mixing section inlet plane for a variety of conditions.
Figure 23 shows the effect of increasing the gas mixture mixing section inlet Mach number while Figure 24 shows the effect of increasing the amount of noncondensable in the inlet gas mixture. Figure 25 shows the effect of increasing the number of liquid jets (fixed total liquid flow) and Figure 26 shows the effect of changing the mixing section analytical model. Insofar as possible, in each of Figures 23 through 26 all the conditions are held constant. As before, each of the figures is self-explanatory.

The most effective set of operating conditions (using shortest distance required to achieve 90 percent condensation as a criterion of effectiveness) is Analytical Model IIb - constant pressure mixing section with a simplified relative velocity between phases. Unfortunately, this model for the relative velocity between phases is too optimistic - the relative velocity model used in Analytical Model IIa is more realistic and thus Analytical Model IIa with eight liquid jets is the most effective.

The results in Figure 25 show that for a given amount condensed, the distance required decreases as the number of liquid jets increases. We did not go beyond eight liquid jets at this time because our assumption of no significant atomization would not be valid.

Comparison of Figures 13 and 21 shows why a constant pressure mixing section is more effective than a constant area mixing section. The Reynolds numbers, and hence, the heat and mass transfer coefficients, have a higher average value in the constant pressure mixing section than in the constant area mixing section. This is because the constant pressure mixing section converges as condensation takes place and thus the average gas mixture velocity remains higher than in a constant area mixing section.
CONCLUSIONS

Jet Breakup and Atomization:
The major conclusions of the jet breakup and atomization study are that:

1. The mechanics of atomization of a liquid jet in a high velocity gas stream are not well understood.
2. The available correlations indicate that in the range of volumetric and mass flow rate ratios of liquid to gas under consideration in the condensuctor, the predicted liquid jet breakup lengths are large compared to the condensuctor mixing section length and the final mean drop sizes are of the same order of magnitude as the initial liquid jet diameter (secondary stream nozzle exit diameter).
3. The mixing process in the mixing section of the condensuctor most probably involves heat, mass, and momentum transfer from the gas phase to a liquid jet with no significant breakup or atomization of the liquid jet occurring.

Mixing Section:
The general conclusions of the mixing section study are that:

1. A constant pressure mixing section requires a shorter axial distance for condensation of an equal amount of vapor than does a constant area mixing section for identical mixing section inlet conditions.
2. Increasing the number of liquid jets with constant total liquid flow area and liquid inlet velocity in any mixing section, decreases the axial distance required for a given amount of condensation.
3. Increasing the ratio of noncondensable gas to condensable vapor for a constant total gas flow in the constant pressure mixing section, does not significantly affect the distance required to condense 90 percent of the vapor flow as long as the mixing section has proper profile.

4. Increasing the gas phase mixing section inlet Mach number in the constant pressure mixing section, decreases the axial distance required for condensation.
RECOMMENDATIONS

Jet Breakup and Atomization:

The principle recommendations are that a transparent mixing section be provided for future testing of the condensucto... records of the mixing process to be obtained by means of high-speed photography. This will make it possible to verify available correlations on jet breakup or develop new ones.

Mixing Section:

It is recommended that the mixing section analytical study be continued in order to relax some of the restricting assumptions of the present analyses and to include the diffusing, or diverging, section of an ejector-like device as a continuation of the mixing section process. Some specific recommendations are:

1. Allow for liquid entrainment in the annular gas stream to determine if two-phase flow compressibility effects are involved and to determine its effect on axial length required for condensation.

2. Allow for superheated vapor in the gas stream at the mixing section inlet in order to extend the range of variables over which the analysis may be used.

3. Cut off the mixing section at some point, say 90 percent of allowable condensation completed, and add a diffuser section via a transition section in order to have a complete ejector analysis.

4. Fix a mixing section and diffuser geometry based on some desired design point and then use the fixed geometry to examine off-design operation.
APPENDIX A
LIQUID JET ATOMIZATION AND BREAKUP

Introduction:

This Appendix is concerned with an investigation of the possible mechanics of the mixing processes occurring in the mixing section and methods of predicting the degree of liquid jet breakup and atomization. In order to establish an analytical model for a theoretical study of the combined heat, mass, and momentum transfer between the two concentric streams entering the mixing section, one must be able to predict the length of the liquid jet at breakup and the mean liquid drop sizes resulting from the disintegration of the liquid jet.

A review of the literature on atomization and liquid jet breakup was made to determine the factors which affect the atomization of a high velocity liquid jet in a higher velocity concentric gas stream. The available correlations for liquid jet breakup and atomization thus found were used to predict liquid jet breakup length and final mean drop sizes over the range of variables of the NUOS condenscoter experimental test runs (Reference 3).

Review of Literature:

Beginning with Lord Rayleigh's study of jet instability in 1868, the phenomenon of liquid jet disintegration has been the subject of numerous theoretical and experimental investigations. Unfortunately, most of these investigations have been concerned with liquid jets discharging into a vacuum or into ambient atmosphere. Almost no work has been done with liquid jets being disintegrated by means of high velocity concentric gas streams.

Miesse (11) points out that the theoretical and experimental analyses of jet disintegration that have been made can all be grouped into three general classes, or into a combination of these classes. They are:
"1) **Small Disturbance Method.** An arbitrary small disturbance is imposed upon the surface of a liquid jet of given properties, and the effect of this disturbance is studied analytically by consideration of the well established physical conservation laws. Because the phenomena considered are, by nature, nonlinear, the mathematical solution of the problem can, in most cases, be effected only by employing the linearizing assumptions of the method of small perturbations. An obvious consequence of the use of this assumption is that the solution obtained is no longer (rigorously) valid when the magnitude of the growing disturbance exceeds a small percentage of the jet radius.

"2) **Method of Plausible Conjectures.** For the phenomena of secondary atomization, which occur subsequent to the initial growth of the disturbance, mathematical solutions can be obtained only by making plausible conjectures regarding the mechanism of the governing process. The validity of these conjectures can be substantiated only by the degree to which they effect correlation of the pertinent experimental data.

"3) **Method of Dimensional Analysis.** As the complex combinations of physical processes which occur in the disintegration of a liquid jet generally defy rigorous mathematical analysis, the pertinent experimental data can frequently be correlated effectively by consideration of the dimensionless groups of physical variables which have an effect on the physical process.

The small disturbance method has been used to study jet instability, wave formation, and jet breakup by Rayleigh, Tyler, Weber, Taylor, and recently by Morrell (12). This method has also been employed by Lane, Hinze, and Isshiki (8) to predict the breakup of liquid drops. A classic example of the method of plausible conjecture is that of Castleman (13), who utilized Rayleigh's theory of jet breakup to postulate ligament formation as an intermediate step to drop formation. Noting that liquid droplets
seemed to be torn directly from the main body of the liquid jet, he showed that the life period of a liquid filament from which the drops formed is in the order of magnitude of $10^{-5}$ seconds. Although Castleman was unable to see these filaments, recent high speed photographic techniques have permitted other investigators to prove their existence. The method of dimensional analysis to correlate experimental data has been used by the majority of investigators.

In particular, Ohnesorge (as described by Marshall (7)) correlated the experimental data of Hanlein by plotting the Z-number (ratio of Weber number to Reynolds number of the liquid jet) versus the Reynolds number of the liquid jet on a log-log chart. From this correlation he classified the modes of jet disintegration in four groups according to the rapidity of drop formation as follows:

"1) Slow dripping from an orifice without jet formation.

"2) Rayleigh mechanism of jet breakup wherein an axially symmetric disturbance produces breakup. The term varicose has been applied to these disturbances.

"3) Breakup caused by disturbances which are symmetrical about a helical axis starting at the orifice, as treated by Hanlein and Weber. These disturbances are sometimes referred to as sinuous.

"4) So-called atomization of the jet."

"Since for a given liquid and orifice size the Z-number is constant, a variation with the Reynolds number on the chart follows a horizontal line. Thus, at low Reynolds number, Zone I, the mode of breakup follows the Rayleigh mechanism and as the Reynolds number increases the mode passes into Zone II, where breakup follows a lateral motion with increasing amplitude, and the jet has a twisted or sinuous appearance. This is a narrow region, and there is a sharp transition from this zone to the zone of atomization at the orifice, Zone III."
It is interesting to note here that this correlation is based on a liquid jet in ambient atmosphere. If the liquid jet is subjected to a high velocity gas stream, the momentum transfer from the gas phase to the liquid phase tends to accelerate the jet as it progresses through the gas. Also, acceleration of the jet tends to stabilize it, decreasing the amplitude of its surface disturbances and keeping it intact.*

The physical properties affecting jet disintegration are:

1. liquid nozzle diameter, $D_{Ll}$
2. liquid jet velocity, $V_{Ll}$
3. relative velocity between gas and liquid, $V_{r1}$
4. liquid density, $\rho_L$
5. liquid viscosity, $\mu_L$
6. surface tension, $\sigma$
7. gas density, $\rho_g$
8. gas viscosity, $\mu_g$
9. geometry of mixing chamber, $D_g$

Dimensionless quantities considered in various analyses are:

1. Reynolds number, $Re$
2. Weber number, $We$
3. $Z$-number, $Z = We/Re$
4. density ratio of gas to liquid, $\rho_g/\rho_L$
5. viscosity ratio of gas to liquid, $\mu_g/\mu_L$
6. ratio of wavelength of disturbances to nozzle diameter, $\lambda/D_{Ll}$
7. ratio of breakup length to nozzle diameter, $L_b/D_{Ll}$
8. ratio of final mean drop diameter to nozzle diameter, $D_{dF}/D_{Ll}$
9. mass flow rate ratio of liquid to gas, $\omega$
10. volumetric flow rate ratio of liquid to gas, $\omega_{vol}$

*See series of photographs in recent EOS Report on jet condensers (16).
Experimental evidence indicates that the atomization of a liquid jet by means of a high velocity gas stream is a threefold process characterized by the following distinct phases:

1. The liquid jet undergoes surface deformations which cause the jet to break up when the amplitude of the deformations become sufficiently large.

2. Liquid filaments are detached from the main mass of the jet. These filaments are unstable and extremely short-lived (on the order of $10^{-5}$ seconds) and immediately form drops.

3. These initial drops are subjected to surface deformation and are eventually broken down into successively smaller drops.

Nukiyama and Tanasawa (7) and Isshiki (8) have investigated liquid jet breakup and liquid droplet deformation, respectively. Nukiyama and Tanasawa developed equation A9 to predict the final volume-surface mean drop size for the overall process of atomization. Isshiki derived a semi-empirical expression, equation A13, to correlate the initial and final drop size for the third phase of the atomization process.

Both of these investigators include liquid to gas flow rate ratio terms in their correlations thereby accounting for a finite gas medium and the transfer of momentum from the gas to the liquid phase.

**Calculated Parameters:**

**Liquid jet Reynolds number**

$$\text{Re}_j = \frac{V_L D_L \rho_L}{\mu_L} \quad (A1)$$

**Liquid jet Weber number**

$$\text{We}_j = V_L / \left( \frac{\rho_L D_L \rho_L}{\sigma} \right)^{1/2} \quad (A2)$$
Liquid jet Z-number

\[ Z = (\text{We})_j / (\text{Re})_j \]  

(A3)

Liquid drop Reynolds number

\[ (\text{Re})_{dl} = \frac{\rho_L V r_l d l}{\mu_L} \]  

(A4)

Liquid drop Weber number

\[ (\text{We})_{dl} = \frac{\rho_g V r_l^2 r_{dl}}{\sigma} \]  

(A5)

Liquid jet breakup length

***(11) \[ L_b = 94.4 D_{L1} \left[ \frac{V_{L1}}{r_{L1}} \left( \frac{\rho_L}{\rho_g} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\mu_L}{\sigma'} \right)^5 \right]^{1/8} \]  

(A6)

(7) \[ L_b = \pi \sqrt{2} D_{L1} \left( 1 + \frac{3 \cdot \mu_L}{(\rho_L \sigma' D_{L1})^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(A7)

(12) \[ L_b = 2. D_{L1} \left( \frac{\rho_L}{\rho_g} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(A8)

* Initial drop radii

\[
\begin{align*}
(r_{dl})_{\text{max}} &= r_{L1} \\
(r_{dl})_{\text{min}} &= 6.5 \sigma' / (\rho_g V r_l^2)
\end{align*}
\]

** numbers in parentheses refer to references.
Final mean drop radius

\[ \overline{r}_{dF} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1410 \cdot \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\rho_L} \right)^{0.5}}{V \sqrt{r_L}} + 191 \left( \frac{\mu_L}{\sigma_i \rho_L} \right)^{0.45} \right] (1000 \omega_{vol}^{1.5}) \]  

\[ (7) \]

\[ \overline{r}_{dF} = 1.1 \times 10^6 \sqrt{r_1} - 1.68 D_{L1} \]  

\[ (7) \]

\[ \overline{r}_{dF} = 91,500 \left( \frac{w_L}{\rho_L} \right)^{\frac{1}{12}} - \frac{4}{3} V_{r1} \]  

\[ (10) \]

\[ \overline{r}_{dF} = \frac{r_{L1}}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{2 \cdot \rho L r_{L1} V_{r1}} \right)^{1/3} \left[ 23.5 + 0.00395 \left( \frac{2 \cdot \rho L r_{L1} V_{r1}}{\mu_L} \right) \right] \]  

\[ (11) \]

\[ \left( \frac{\overline{r}_{dF}}{r_{dI}} \right)^{0.25} = \frac{1.6}{(We)_{dI}^{0.25}} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\rho g}{\rho_L} \right)^{0.5} (1+\omega) C_{DI} \]  

\[ (8) \]

\[ \left( \frac{ln \frac{r_{dI}}{r_{dF}}} {r_{dI}} \right)^{0.25} 0.125 \]  

\[ (13) \]

**Results:**

The correlations given in equations A1-A13 were used to calculate liquid jet breakup length and final mean drop radius for two selected experimental test runs. Run No. 1 represents a typical noncondensable flow case, i.e., the gas phase consists of a mixture of water vapor and noncondensable CO₂ gas; Run No. 2 represents a typical condensable flow case with the gas phase consisting entirely of water vapor. Test data for the two cases is listed in Table IV while the calculated parameters are given in Table V.
A computer program was developed to perform the calculations and is set up to perform calculations based on a given set of condensator inlet conditions, nozzle exit diameters, as well as the static pressure at mixing section inlet. In order to investigate their effect upon the calculated parameters, three of these conditions may be varied for any remaining set of inlet conditions. These remaining variables are mass flow rate ratio, \( \omega_L \), ratio of static pressure at mixing section inlet to gas stagnation pressure at ejector inlet, \( p_1/p_{go} \), and ratio of liquid stagnation pressure to gas stagnation pressure at ejector inlet, \( p_{Lo}/p_{go} \). This computer program will be useful in the future to compare calculated values of liquid jet breakup characteristics with experimental test results. Such a comparison can establish the accuracy of the available correlations or lead to more accurate ones.

Figures 2 and 3 show how liquid jet breakup length, \( L_b \), varies with the static pressure at the mixing section inlet for a noncondensable flow case and a condensable flow case, respectively. The actual experimental test pressure is indicated in each figure. The correlations given by equations A6 and A8 show that the length of the liquid jet at breakup increases as the pressure at the mixing section inlet decreases. This is caused primarily by the decrease in gas density attending the drop in pressure. The resulting increase in relative velocity between the liquid and gas phases would seem to stabilize the liquid jet permitting greater lengths before breakup. The correlation given by equation A7 does not account for the effects of a high velocity gas stream surrounding the liquid jet, nor the initial liquid jet velocity. Equation A6 indicates that the liquid jet will be longer at breakup if the initial velocity of the liquid jet increases.

Figures 4 and 5 show how the ratio of final mean drop radius to initial jet radius, \( r_{dF}/r_{L1} \), varies with the mass flow rate ratio for a noncondensable flow case and a condensable flow case, respectively. The
actual test value of mass flow rate ratio is indicated in each figure. The results of four correlations given by equations A9, A10, A11 and A12 are shown in each figure. Because of the observed transitional nature of jet breakup and atomization, it is highly unlikely that atomization occurs at radius ratios, \( \frac{r_{df}}{r_{L1}} \), equal to or greater than 1.0. The range of results is large with equation A9 giving a fair average of the other correlations. Equations A9 and A11 indicate that the radius ratio, \( \frac{r_{df}}{r_{L1}} \), increases as the mass flow rate ratio, \( \omega \), increases. This trend agrees with the results obtained from the correlations on liquid jet breakup length in that higher initial liquid jet velocity tends to postpone jet breakup and droplet formation. Equations A10 and A12 plot as straight lines in the figures with values from equation A12 being approximately 100 times greater than those from equation A10.

Figure 6 shows how the ratio of final mean drop radius to initial jet radius, \( \frac{r_{df}}{r_{L1}} \), calculated from equation A9 varies with volumetric flow rate ratio of liquid phase to gas phase, \( \omega_{vol} \). Three points are indicated for each flow case at various values of static pressure at the mixing section inlet, \( p_1 \). This plot indicates that the radius ratio, \( \frac{r_{df}}{r_{L1}} \), increases as the volumetric flow rate ratio, \( \omega_{vol} \), increases.

Equation A13 may be used to calculate final mean drop radius if the initial drop radius at the beginning of liquid jet breakup is known. For purposes of these calculations, we have assumed that the initial drop radius may range between a maximum equal to the initial jet radius and a minimum based upon an assumed initial drop Weber number, \( (We)_{d1} \), equal to 6.5.

Table V lists the initial and final drop radii obtained for the two representative flow cases. In both cases the assumption of a minimum initial radius does not produce any change, whereas the assumption of a maximum initial radius produces a growth in drop size. Thus, the correlation of equation A13 predicts no droplet breakup if liquid jet breakup is assumed.
### TABLE IV

**SUMMARY OF SELECTED EXPERIMENTAL DATA USED IN JET BREAKUP COMPUTATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>( w_L ) (lbm/min)</th>
<th>( w_{vo} ) (lbm/min)</th>
<th>( w_{nc} ) (lbm/min)</th>
<th>( T_{go} ) (F)</th>
<th>( T_{Lo} ) (F)</th>
<th>( P_{go} ) (psia)</th>
<th>( P_{Lo} ) (psia)</th>
<th>( P_1 ) (psia)</th>
<th>( r_{L1} ) in</th>
<th>( t_1 ) in</th>
<th>NUOS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>323.</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>340.</td>
<td>40.</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>325.</td>
<td>90.</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>A3-C2H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>358.</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.</td>
<td>283.</td>
<td>40.</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>315.</td>
<td>30.</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>A3-3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*U. S. Naval Underwater Ordnance Station (References 3 and 4).*
### TABLE V

**SUMMARY OF CALCULATED RESULTS FOR JET BREAKUP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>( \omega )</th>
<th>( \omega_{v_{ol}} )</th>
<th>( \tau_{gl} )</th>
<th>( V_{gl} )</th>
<th>( V_{L1} )</th>
<th>( V_{r1} )</th>
<th>( (Re)_g \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( (Re)_j \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>( (We)_j \times 10^5 )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.81</td>
<td>0.0687</td>
<td>331.1</td>
<td>442.1</td>
<td>184.5</td>
<td>257.6</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
<td>251.9</td>
<td>844.7</td>
<td>203.1</td>
<td>641.5</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>( L_b )</th>
<th>( L_b/D_{L1} )</th>
<th>( L_b )</th>
<th>( L_b/D_{L1} )</th>
<th>( T_{DF} )</th>
<th>( T_{DF} )</th>
<th>( T_{DF} )</th>
<th>( r_{dl_{\min}} )</th>
<th>( r_{dl_{\max}} )</th>
<th>( T_{DF_{\min}} )</th>
<th>( T_{DF_{\max}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

CONSTANT PRESSURE MIXING WITH NO NONCONDENSABLE
(ANALYTICAL MODEL I)

Computational Procedure:

We begin by calculating the following quantities at the ejector inlet stagnation state (state o) and at the entrance to the mixing section (state 1).

STATE = 1.

\[ T_{L0} = T_{go} / (T_{go} / T_{L0}) \]  
\[ T_{L1} = T_{L0} \]  
\[ P_{L0} = P_{go} (P_{L0} / P_{go}) \]  
\[ P = P_{go} (p / p_{go}) \]  
\[ \phi_o = 1. \]  
\[ w_{v1} = w_{vo} \]  
\[ w_{c1} = 0. \]  
\[ \phi_1 = \phi_o \]  
\[ w_{go} = w_{vo} \]  
\[ w_{g1} = w_{go} \]  
\[ A_{L1} = c_2 (\pi D_{L1}^2 / 4) \]  
\[ V_{L1} = C_d L \left( \frac{288. g_o (P_{L0} - P)}{\rho_L} \right)^{1/2} \]  
\[ w_L = 3600. \rho_L V_{L1} A_{L1} / 144. \]  
\[ T_{go} (R)* = T_{go} + 459.69 \]  
\[ T_{go} (K) = 5. T_{go} (R) / 9. \]

* All temperatures are in degrees F except as indicated by (R) or (K) which refer to the Rankine and Kelvin temperature scales, respectively.
Call SATT** (p, $T_{go}$, $T_{sat}$)

$T_{sat} 1 = T_{sat}$  \hspace{1cm} (B17)

Call VAPTP ($T_{go}$, $p_{go}$, $h_{go}$, $s_{go}$, $v_{go}$)

$s_{gl} = s_{go}$  \hspace{1cm} (B18)

Call VAPTP ($T_{sat}$, $p$, $h_{g}$, $s_{g}$, $v_{g}$)

If ($s_{g} - s_{g} = 0$) B21, B19, B26

$T_{gl} = T_{sat}$  \hspace{1cm} (B19)

$h_{gl} = h_{g}$  \hspace{1cm} (B20)

go to B27

$T_{gl} = T_{sat}$  \hspace{1cm} (B21)

Call SATLQ ($T_{gl}$, $v_{f}$, $s_{f}$, $h_{f}$)

$s_{fg} = s_{g} - s_{f}$  \hspace{1cm} (B22)

$h_{fg} = h_{g} - h_{f}$  \hspace{1cm} (B23)

$X = (s_{gl} - s_{g}) / s_{fg}$  \hspace{1cm} (B24)

$h_{gl} = h_{f} + X h_{fg}$  \hspace{1cm} (B25)

go to B27

Call SUPHT1 ($T_{sat} 1$, p, $s_{g}$, $T_{gl}$)  \hspace{1cm} (B26)

Call VAPTP ($T_{gl}$, $p_{gl}$, $h_{gl}$, $s_{gl}$, $v_{gl}$)

$V_{gl} = C_{dg} (2, g_{o} J (h_{go} - h_{gl}))^{1/2}$  \hspace{1cm} (B27)

$h_{gl} = h_{go} - C_{dg}^{2} (h_{go} - h_{gl})$  \hspace{1cm} (B28)

If ($h_{gl} - h_{g} = 0$) B29, B29, B37

$T_{gl} = T_{sat}$  \hspace{1cm} (B29)

** Fortran Subroutines are identified in Appendix G.**
\[ T_{g1}(R) = T_{g1} + 459.69 \]  
\[ T_{g1}(K) = 5 \cdot \frac{T_{g1}(R)}{9} \]  
\[ X = \frac{h_{g1} - h_f}{h_{fg}} \]  
\[ w_{v1} = X \cdot w_{vo} \]  
\[ w_{c1} = w_{vo} (1 - X) \]  
\[ w_{gl} = w_{v1} \]  
\[ T_{L1} = T_{L1} + \frac{w_{c1} \left( C_{pL} (T_{g1} - T_{L1}) + \frac{V_{g1}^2 - V_{L1}^2}{2 \cdot g_{0} \cdot \sqrt{J}} \right)}{C_{pL} \cdot w_{L}} \]  
\[ \text{go to B40} \]  
\[ \text{Call SUPHT2} \left( T_{sat 1}', p, h_{g1}', T_{g1} \right) \]  
\[ T_{g1}(R) = T_{g1} + 459.69 \]  
\[ T_{g1}(K) = 5 \cdot \frac{T_{g1}(R)}{9} \]  
\[ \text{Call VAP} \left( T_{g1}', T_{g1}(K), p, \frac{\epsilon}{k} v, W_v, \sigma_v, J, \rho_{g1}, \mu_{g1}, k_{g1}, C_{pg1} \right) \]  
\[ C_{pv1} = C_{pg1} \]  
\[ A_{g1} = 144 \cdot \frac{w_{g1}}{(3600 \cdot \rho_{g1} \cdot V_{g1})} \]  
\[ D_{g1} = (4 \cdot \frac{A_{g1} + A_{L1}}{\pi})^{1/2} \]  
\[ \omega_1 = \frac{w_v}{w_{g1}} \]  
\[ \omega_0 = \omega_1 \]  
\[ \left( w_c / S_i \right) = 0. \]  
\[ L_1 = 0. \]  
\[ S_{i1} = 0. \]
\( Q_1 = 0. \) 

Phase velocity ratio = \( \frac{V_{g1}}{V_{L1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B49)

Bulk temperature ratio = \( \frac{T_{g1}}{T_{L1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B50)

\((Pr)_{g1} = \frac{C_{pg1} \mu_{g1}}{k_{g1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B51)

Call VISLIQ \( (T_{L1}, \mu_{L1}) \) \hspace{1cm} (B52)

\( \frac{V_{r1}}{V_{g1} - V_{L1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B53)

\((Pr)_{L1} = \frac{C_{pL} \mu_{L1}}{k_{L}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B54)

\( D_{el} = 4. \frac{A_{g1}}{\pi(D_{g1} + 2D_{L1})} \) \hspace{1cm} (B55)

\((Re)_{g1} = 3600. \frac{\rho_{g1} V_{g1} D_{el}}{12. \mu_{g1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B56)

\( T_{i1} = T_{\text{sat}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B57)

\( T_{i1}(R) = T_{i1} + 459.69 \) \hspace{1cm} (B58)

\( T_{i1}(K) = 5. \frac{T_{i1}(R)}{9.} \) \hspace{1cm} (B59)

Call SATP \( (T_{i1}, \rho_{c1}) \) \hspace{1cm} (B60)

Call SATHFG \( (T_{i1}, \rho_{c1}, h_{g1}, h_{c1}) \) \hspace{1cm} (B61)

\( h_{fg1} = h_{g1} - h_{c1} \)

Call SATLQV \( (T_{i1}, V_{c1}) \) \hspace{1cm} (B62)

Call VISLIQ \( (T_{i1}, \mu_{c1}) \) \hspace{1cm} (B63)

\( \rho_{c1} = \frac{1.}{V_{c1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B64)

\( V'_{g1} = 0.75 V_{r1} \) \hspace{1cm} (B65)

\( V'_{L1} = 0.25 V_{r1} \) \hspace{1cm} (B66)

\( E = 0. \) \hspace{1cm} (B67)

\((Re)_{L1} = 3600. \frac{\rho_{L} V'_{L1} D_{L1}}{12. \mu_{L1}} \) \hspace{1cm} (B68)
\[(\text{Re})_{rg1} = 3600. \rho_{gl} V'_{gl} D_{e1} / (12. \mu_{gl}) \]  

If \((\text{Re})_{L1} - 12.500.) \) B68, B68, B69

\[h_{L1} = 1.86 (12. k_{L/D_{L1}}) (\text{Re})_{L1}^{1/3} (\text{Pr})_{L1}^{1/3} (\mu_{L1}/\mu_{gl})^{0.14} \]  

B68

go to B70

\[h_{L1} = 0.023 (12. k_{L/D_{L1}}) (\text{Re})_{L1}^{0.8} (\text{Pr})_{L1}^{0.4} \]  

B69

Call CASP4 \((T_{i1}(K), \sigma_v, (\epsilon/k)_v, W_v, \mu_{gl}) \)  

B70

IF \((\text{Re})_{rg1} - 9000.) \) B71, B71, B72

\[h_{G1} = 1.86 (12. k_{gl/D_{e1}}) (\text{Re})_{rg1}^{1/3} (\text{Pr})_{gl}^{1/3} (\mu_{gl}/\mu_{gl})^{0.14} \]  

B71

go to B73

\[h_{G1} = 0.027 (12. k_{gl/D_{e1}}) (\text{Re})_{rg1}^{0.8} (\text{Pr})_{gl}^{1/3} (\mu_{gl}/\mu_{gl})^{0.14} \]  

B72

If \((\text{Re})_{rg1} - 1000.) \) B74, B74, B75

\[f_i = 16. / (\text{Re})_{rg1} \]  

B74

go to B76

\[f_i = 0.0014 + (0.125/(\text{Re})_{rg1})^{0.32} \]  

B75

\[\tau_i = 3600.2 f_i \rho_{gl} V'_{gl}^{2/(2. g')} \]  

B76

\[\left(\frac{q_{L_i}}{S_{i1}}\right) = h_{L1}(T_{i1} - T_{L1}) \]  

B77

\[\left(\frac{w_{c}}{S_{i1}}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{q_{L_i}}{S_{i1}}\right) - h_{G1}(T_{gl} - T_{i1})}{V_{gl}^{2} - V_{L1}^{2}} \]  

\[h_{fgc1} + C_p L(T_{i1} - T_{L1}) + \frac{2. g_{o}}{2. g_{i}} \]  

B78

\[\tau_m = 3600. \left(\frac{w_{c}}{S_{i1}}\right) V'_{gl}/g' \]  

B79

\[\tau = \tau_i + \tau_m \]  

B80
\[ V'_{L1} = \left[ \frac{\rho_{g1}}{\rho_L} \left( \frac{(Re)_L}{(Re)_{rg1}} \right)^{0.2} V'_{g1}^2 + \frac{2 \cdot \tau_m (Re)_L^{0.2} g'}{(0.046) 3600 \cdot 2 \rho_L} \right]^{1/2} \]  

(B81)

\[ V'_{g1} = V_{g1} - V_{L1} - V'_{L1} \]  

(B82)

If (E-50.) B83, B83, B86

\[ \left| \frac{(w_c/S_i)_{11} - (w_c/S_i)_{1}}{(w_c/S_i)_{11}} \right| - \epsilon_2 \right) B86, B84, B84 \]  

(B83)

(B84)

E = E + 1. \hspace{1cm} (B85)

go to B66

\[ \frac{(q_g/S_i)_{11}}{h_{gl}(T_{g1}-T_{i1})} + (w_c/S_i)_{11} \left( h_{fgcl} + C_pL(T_{i1} - T_{L1}) + \frac{V_{g1}^2 - V_{L1}^2}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) \]  

(B86)

\[ \Delta T_1 = T_{g1} - T_{L1} \]  

(B87)

\[ U_1 = \frac{(q_g/S_i)_{11}}{\Delta T_1} \]  

(B88)

At this point we have calculated all variables at state 1. We now commence our calculations for the first state 2.

\[ c_3 = 0. \]  

(B89)

STATE = STATE + 1. \hspace{1cm} (B90)

\[ \Delta T_g = c_1 \Delta T_g \]  

(B91)

\[ T_{g2} = T_{g1} - \Delta T_g \]  

(B92)

If (T_{g2} - T_{sat}) B93, B94, B94

\[ T_{g2} = T_{sat} \]  

(B93)
\[(w_c)_{12} = c_4 w_{vo} \quad \text{(B94)}\]
\[c_3 = c_3 + c_4 \quad \text{(B95)}\]
\[T_{g2}(R) = T_{g2} + 459.69 \quad \text{(B96)}\]
\[T_{g2}(K) = 5. T_{g2}(R)/9. \quad \text{(B97)}\]
If \((0.99 - c_3) \leq B98, B98, B101\)
If \((1.399 - c_3) \geq B100, B100, B99\)
\[(w_c)_{12} = 0.02 w_{vo} \quad \text{(B99)}\]
go to B101
\[(w_c)_{12} = 0.01 w_{vo} \quad \text{(B100)}\]
\[w_{v2} = w_{v1} - (w_c)_{12} \quad \text{(B101)}\]
\[w_{g2} = w_{g1} - (w_c)_{12} \quad \text{(B102)}\]
\[T_{i2} = T_{sat} \quad \text{(B103)}\]
\[T_{i2}(R) = T_{i2} + 459.69 \quad \text{(B104)}\]
\[T_{i2}(K) = 5. T_{i2}(R)/9. \quad \text{(B105)}\]
Call SATP \((T_{i2}, p_{c2})\)
Call SATHFG \((T_{i2}, p_{c2}, h_{gc2}, h_{fc2})\)
\[h_{fgc2} = h_{gc2} - h_{fc2} \quad \text{(B106)}\]
\[w_{c2} = w_{c1} + (w_c)_{12} \quad \text{(B107)}\]
Call VAP \((T_{g2}, T_{g2}(K), p, (\epsilon/k)_v, W_v, \sigma_v, J, \rho_{g2}, \mu_{g2}, k_{g2}, C_{pg2})\)
\[C_{pv2} = C_{pg2} \quad \text{(B108)}\]
\[(Pr)_{g2} = C_{pg2} \mu_{g2}/k_{g2} \quad \text{(B109)}\]
\[ \Delta h_v = C_{pv1} T_{g1}^{(R)} - C_{pv2} T_{g2}^{(R)} \quad (B110) \]
\[ (q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} \Delta h_v \quad (B111) \]
\[ V_{L2} = V_{L1} \quad (B112) \]
\[ V_{g2} = V_{g1} \quad (B113) \]
\[ V'_{g2} = V'_{g1} \quad (B114) \]
\[ V'_{L2} = V'_{L1} \quad (B115) \]
\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{(q_v)_{12} + (w_c)_{12} (h_{fgc1} + C_{pv2} (T_{g2} - T_{i2}))}{C_{pL} (w_L + w_{c1})} \quad (B116) \]
\[ (S_i)_{12\alpha} = 0. \quad (B117) \]
\[ E = 0. \quad (B118) \]
\[ (w_c/S_i)_{12} = (w_c/S_i)_{11} + 2. \quad (B119) \]
\[ \text{Call SATLQV} (T_{i2}, v_{c2}) \quad (B120) \]
\[ \text{Call VISLIQ} (T_{i2}, \mu_{c2}) \quad (B120) \]
\[ \rho_{c2} = 1. /v_{c2} \quad (B121) \]
\[ \Delta T_{gc} = (T_{g1} - T_{g2}) - (T_{i1} - T_{i2}) \quad (B122) \]
\[ \Delta h_{vc} = (C_{pv1} + C_{pv2}) \Delta T_{gc} / 2. \quad (B123) \]
\[ \bar{h}_{fgc} = (h_{fgc1} + h_{fgc2}) / 2. \quad (B124) \]
\[ \Delta V_g = (V_{g1}^2 - V_{g2}^2)/(2. g_o J) \quad (B125) \]
\[ \Delta V_{L} = (V_{L1}^2 - V_{L2}^2)/(2. g_o J) \quad (B126) \]
\[ \Delta V_{gL} = (V_{gL1}^2 - V_{gL2}^2)/(2. g_o J) \quad (B127) \]
\[ \Delta h_{cL} = C_{pL} ((T_{i1} + T_{i2})/2. - T_{L2}) \quad (B128) \]
\[ (q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} (\Delta h_v + \Delta V_g) \quad (B129) \]
\[ (q_c)_{12} = (w_c)_{12} (\bar{h}_{fgc} + \Delta h_{vc} + \Delta h_{cL} + \Delta V_{gL}) \quad (B130) \]
\[(q_L)^{12} = (w_L + w_{cL}) \Delta V_L \]  
\[Q_{12} = (q_v)^{12} + (q_c)^{12} + (q_{Lc})^{12} \]  
\[T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{Q_{12}}{C_{pL}(w_L + w_{cL})} \]  
\[\Delta T_2 = T_{g2} - T_{L2} \]  
\[\Delta T_M = \frac{(T_{g1}-T_{L1}) - (T_{g2}-T_{L2})}{\ln \left(\frac{T_{g1}-T_{L1}}{T_{g2}-T_{L2}}\right)} \]  
Call VISLIQ \((T_{L2}, \mu_{L2})\)

\[(Pr)_{12}^2 = C_{pL} \mu_{L2}/k_L \]

\[(w_c/\bar{S}_i)_12 = \frac{(w_{cL}/\bar{S}_i)_1 - (w_{cL}/\bar{S}_i)_2}{\ln \left(\frac{(w_{cL}/\bar{S}_i)_1}{(w_{cL}/\bar{S}_i)_2}\right)} \]

\[(S_{ic})_{12} = (w_{cL})_{12}/(w_{cL}/\bar{S}_i) \]

**Sense Light 1**

\[A_{g2} = 144. \frac{w_{g2}}{(3600. \rho_{g2} V_{g2})} \]

\[A_{L2} = 144. \left(\frac{w_L}{\rho_{g2} V_{L2}} + \frac{w_{cL}}{\rho_{c2} V_{L2}}\right)/3600. \]

\[D_{L2} = (4. A_{L2}/(\pi c_{L2}))^{1/2} \]

\[D_{g2} = (4. (A_{g2} + A_{L2})/\pi)^{1/2} \]

\[\bar{D}_L = (D_{L1} + D_{L2})/2. \]

\[\bar{D}_g = (D_{g1} + D_{g2})/2. \]

\[D_{e2} = 4. \frac{A_{g2}}{(\pi (D_{g2} + c_{L2} D_{L2}))} \]

\[V_{x2} = \left| V_{g2} - V_{L2} \right| \]
\[ L_{12} = \left[ \frac{\frac{12 \cdot (S_{ic})_{12}}{D_{L2} + D_{L1}}}{\frac{2}{\pi c_2}} \right]^2 - \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2.} \]  

(B147)

\[ \overline{V}_g = \frac{(V_{g1} + V_{g2})}{2}. \]  

(B148)

\[ \overline{V}'_g = \frac{(V'_{g1} + V'_{g2})}{2}. \]  

(B149)

\[ \overline{V}_L = \frac{(V_{L1} + V_{L2})}{2}. \]  

(B150)

\[ (Re)_{g2} = 3600 \cdot \frac{\rho_{g2} V_{g2} D_{e2}}{(12 \cdot \mu_{g2})} \]  

(B151)

\[ (Re)_{rg2} = 3600 \cdot \frac{\rho_{g2} V'_{g2} D_{e2}}{(12 \cdot \mu_{g2})} \]  

(B152)

\[
\text{Call FORCE (} \overline{D}_{L}, \overline{D}_{g}, L_{12}, \overline{V}_g, \overline{V}'_g, (S_{ic})_{12}, (Re)_{g1}, (Re)_{g2},
\]

\[ (Re)_{rg1} (Re)_{rg2}, \rho_{g1}, \rho_{g2}, g', F_i, F_w) \]

(B153)

\[ F_m = 3600 \cdot (w_c)_{12} \frac{V_{g1}}{g'} \]  

(B154)

\[ F_i = - F_i \]  

(B155)

\[ F = F_m + F_i \]

(B156)

\[ \tau = \left| \frac{F}{(S_{ic})_{12}} \right| \]  

(B157)

\[ \tau_m = 3600 \cdot (w_c/S_{ic})_{12} \frac{V_{g2}}{g'} \]  

(B158)

\[ (Re)_{L2} = 3600 \cdot \frac{\rho_{L} V'_{L2} D_{L2}}{(12 \cdot \mu_{L2})} \]  

(B159)

\[ F'_m = 3600 \cdot (w_c)_{12} \frac{(V'_{L1} + V'_{L2})}{(2 \cdot g')}. \]  

(B160)

\[ V_{L2} = \frac{360C_{L1} (w_{c1} + w_{c1}) V_{L1} + g' (F + F'_m)}{3600 \cdot (w_{L} + w_{c2})} \]  

(B161)
\[ V'_{L2} = \left[ \frac{\rho g^2}{\rho_L} \left( \frac{(Re)_{L2}}{(Re)_{rg2}} \right)^{0.2} V'_{g2}^2 + \frac{2 \cdot \tau_m (Re)_{L2} \cdot 0.2 g'}{(0.046) 3600 \cdot 2 \rho_L} \right]^{1/2} \]  
(B162)

\[ V'_{g2} = V_{g2} - V'_{L2} - V'_{L2} \]  
(B163)

If 
(Sense Light 1) B139, B164

Call GASP4 \((T_{i2}(K), \sigma_v, (\varepsilon/k)_v, W_v, \mu_{gi2})\)

If \((\text{Re})_{rg2} - 9000.) B165, B165, B166

\[ h_{G2} = 1.86 \left( \frac{k_{g2}/D_{e2}}{\text{Re}_{rg2}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1}{\text{Pr}_{g2}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{g2}/\mu_{gi2}}{100} \right)^{0.14} \]  
(B165)

go to B166a

\[ h_{G2} = 0.027 \left( \frac{k_{g2}/D_{e2}}{\text{Re}_{rg2}} \right)^{0.8} (\text{Pr}_{g2})^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{g2}/\mu_{gi2}}{100} \right)^{0.14} \]  
(B166)

If \((\text{Re})_{L2} = 12, 500.) B167, B167, B168

\[ h_{L2} = 1.86 \left( \frac{k_{L2}/D_{L2}}{\text{Re}_{L2}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1}{\text{Pr}_{L2}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{L2}/\mu_{c2}}{100} \right)^{0.14} \]  
(B167)

go to B169

\[ h_{L2} = 0.023 \left( \frac{k_{L2}/D_{L2}}{\text{Re}_{L2}} \right)^{0.8} (\text{Pr}_{L2})^{0.4} \]  
(B168)

\[ \left( \frac{q_{L}/S_i}_2 \right) = \frac{\left( \frac{q_{L}/S_i}_2 - h_{G2} \left( \frac{T_{g2} - T_{i2}}{2} \right) \right)}{h_{fgc2} + C_p L \left( \frac{T_{i2} - T_{L2}}{2 g_o J} \right) + \frac{V^2 - V_{g2}^2 - V_{L2}^2}{2 g_o J}} \]  
(B170)

\[ \left( \frac{q_{L}/S_i}_2 \right) = h_{G2} \left( \frac{T_{g2} - T_{i2}}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{w_{c}/S_i}_2 \right) \left( \frac{h_{fgc2} + C_p L \left( \frac{T_{i2} - T_{L2}}{2 g_o J} \right) + \frac{V^2 - V_{g2}^2 - V_{L2}^2}{2 g_o J}}{1} \right) \]  
(B171)

\[ U_2 = \left( \frac{q_{L}/S_i}_2 \right)/\Delta T_2 \]  
(B172)
\[
\left(\frac{w_c/S_i}{S_{i1}^2}\right) = \frac{(w_c/S_i)_{11} - (w_c/S_i)_{12}}{\ln\left(\frac{(w_c/S_i)_{11}}{(w_c/S_i)_{12}}\right)}
\]  
(B173)

\[
(S_{i1})_{12} = \left(\frac{w_c}{w_c/S_i}\right)_{12}/(w_c/S_i)_{12}
\]  
(B174)

\[
\overline{U} = \frac{Q_{12}}{((S_i/S_i)_{12}\Delta T_M)}
\]  
(B175)

\[
L_{12} = \left[\left(\frac{(S_{i1})_{12}}{D_{12} + D_{L1}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}
\]  
(B176)

If (E-50.) B177, B177, B179

If

\[
\left|\frac{(S_i/S_i)_{12} - (S_i/S_i)_{12o}}{(S_i/S_i)_{12}}\right| - \epsilon_2 \right)
\]  
(B177)

\[
(S_i/S_i)_{12o} = (S_i/S_i)_{12}
\]  
(B178)

go to B120

\[
L_2 = L_1 + L_{12}
\]  
(B179)

\[
\omega_2 = \frac{(w_L + w_{c2})/w}{g^2}
\]  
(B180)

\[
\phi_2 = \frac{w_{v2}}{w_{g2}}
\]  
(B181)

phase velocity ratio = \(V_{g2}/V_{L2}\)  
(B182)

bulk temperature ratio = \(T_{g2}/T_{L2}\)  
(B183)

\[
S_{i2} = S_{i1} + (S_i/S_i)_{12}
\]  
(B184)

\[
Q_2 = Q_1 + Q_{12}
\]  
(B185)

We have now finished calculations for state 2. If we satisfy the following tests, the calculation is complete; if not, we reset several variables to establish state 1 as the beginning of the next mixing section interval and recalculate state 2.
If \((T_{g2} - T_{L2})\) B212, B212, B186

If \((w_{vo} - w_{c2})\) B212, B212, B187

If \((1.399 - c_3)\) B212, B212, B188

\[ h_{fgc1} = h_{fgc2} \] (B188)

\[ C_{pvl} = C_{pv2} \] (B189)

\[ C_{pg1} = C_{pg2} \] (B190)

\[ U_1 = U_2 \] (B191)

\[(w_c/S)_1 = (w_c/S)_2 \] (B192)

\[ w_{v1} = w_{v2} \] (B193)

\[ T_{L1} = T_{L2} \] (B194)

\[ T_{g1} = T_{g2} \] (B195)

\[ T_{g1}(R) = T_{g2}(R) \] (B196)

\[ V_{g1} = V_{g2} \] (B197)

\[ V_{L1} = V_{L2} \] (B198)

\[ V'_{L1} = V'_{L2} \] (B199)

\[ V'_{g1} = V'_{g2} \] (B200)

\[ T_{i1} = T_{i2} \] (B201)

\[ w_{c1} = w_{c2} \] (B202)

\[ w_{g1} = w_{g2} \] (B203)

\[ D_{L1} = D_{L2} \] (B204)

\[ D_{g1} = D_{g2} \] (B205)

\[ L_1 = L_2 \] (B206)

\[ S_{i1} = S_{i2} \] (B207)
\[ Q_1 = Q_2 \]  
\[ \text{Re}_{g1} = \text{Re}_{g2} \]  
\[ \text{Re}_{rg1} = \text{Re}_{rg2} \]  
\[ \rho_{g1} = \rho_{g2} \] 
go to B90 
read input data for new case.
APPENDIX C

CONSTANT PRESSURE MIXING WITH NONCONDENSABLE

(ANALYTICAL MODELS IIa AND IIb)

Computational Procedure - Analytical Model IIa:

We begin by calculating the following quantities at the ejector inlet stagnation state (state 0) and at the entrance to the mixing section (state 1).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{STATE} &= 1. \\
T_{L0} &= T_{g0} / (T_{g0} / T_{L0}) \\
T_{L1} &= T_{L0} \\
p_{L0} &= p_{g0} (p_{L0} / p_{g0}) \\
p &= p_{g0} (p / p_{g0}) \\
\phi_0 &= w_{vo} / (w_{vo} + w_{nc}) \\
w_{V1} &= w_{vo} \\
\phi_1 &= \phi_0 \\
w_{go} &= w_{nc} + w_{vo} \\
w_{g1} &= w_{go} \\
A_{L1} &= (\pi D_{L1}^2 / 4.) c_2 \\
V_{L1} &= C_{dL} (288. g_0 (p_{L0} - p) / \rho_L) \\
w_L &= 3600. V_{L1} \rho_L A_{L1} / 144. \\
T_{g0} (R) &= T_{g0} + 459.69 \\
T_{g0} (K) &= 5. T_{g0} (R) / 9.
\end{align*}
\]

* All temperatures are in degrees F except as indicated by (R) or (K) which refer to the Rankine and Kelvin temperature scales, respectively.
Call SATT**(p, Tgo, Tsat)

\[ \bar{K} = 1.3 \]  

Call GASP \((T_{go}(K), w_{nc}, w_{vo}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, J, C_{pg0}, K_{go})\)

\[ \bar{K} - 1. \]

\[ T_{g1}(R) = T_{go}(R)/(p_{go}/p) \bar{K} \]

\[ T_{g1}(K) = 5. T_{g1}(R)/9. \]  

Call GASP \((T_{g1}(K), w_{nc}, w_{v1}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, J, C_{pg1}, K_{g1})\)

\[ \bar{K}_{go} = \bar{K} \]

\[ \bar{K}_{g} = (K_{go} + K_{g1})/2. \]

\[ \text{If } (\left| \frac{\bar{K}_{g} - \bar{K}_{go}}{4} \right| - \epsilon) \text{ C21, C17, C17} \]

\[ V_{g1} = \frac{C_{dg} \left[ 2. g_{0} J (C_{pg0} T_{go}(R) - C_{pg1} T_{g1}(R)) \right]^{1/2}}{C_{pg1}} \]  

\[ T_{g1}(R) = \left[ C_{pg0} T_{go}(R) - C_{dg}^{2} (C_{pg0} T_{go}(R) - C_{pg1} T_{g1}(R)) \right]/C_{pg1} \]

\[ T_{g1}(K) = 5. T_{g1}(R)/9. \]

\[ X_{v1} = \frac{w_{v1}/W_{v}}{w_{v1}/W_{v} + w_{nc}/W_{nc}} \]  

Call CASP1 \((T_{g1}(K), g_{nc}, g_{v}, \alpha, t*, (\epsilon/k)_{v}, (\epsilon/k)_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_{v},\)

\[ p, X_{v1}, g_{1}, D_{1}, \mu_{v1}, \mu_{nc1}, \mu_{g1} \) \]

Call CASP2 \((p, X_{v1}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, J, T_{g1}(R), T_{g1}(K), \mu_{nc1}, \mu_{v1}, \)

\[ S'_{nc}, S'_{v}, g_{1}, g_{1}, \rho_{g1}, T_{g1}(K), C_{pg1}, C_{pnc1}, C_{pvl} \) \]

\[ R_{g1} = 1545.45/((1.-X_{v1}) W_{nc} + X_{v1} W_{v}) \]  

** Fortran Subroutines are identified in Appendix G.**
\[
\begin{align*}
C_{g1} & = \left( g_0 K_{g1} R_{g1} T_{g1} (R) \right)^{1/2} \quad (C27) \\
M_1 & = V_{g1} / C_{g1} \quad (C28) \\
A_{g1} & = 144. w_{g1} / (3600. \rho_{g1} V_{g1}) \quad (C29) \\
D_{g1} & = (4. (A_{g1} + A_{L1}) / \pi)^{1/2} \quad (C30) \\
\omega_1 & = w_L / w_{g1} \quad (C31) \\
\omega_0 & = \omega_1 \quad (C32) \\
(w_{c1} / S_i) & = 0. \quad (C33) \\
w_{c1} & = 0. \quad (C34) \\
L_1 & = 0. \quad (C35) \\
S_{i1} & = 0. \quad (C36) \\
Q_1 & = 0. \quad (C37) \\
\text{phase velocity ratio} & = V_{g1} / V_{L1} \quad (C38) \\
(Pr)_{g1} & = C_{g1} / \mu_{g1} / K_{g1} \quad (C39) \\
\text{Call VISLIQ (T}_{L1}'', \mu_{L1}'') \quad (C40) \\
V_{r1} & = \left| V_{g1} - V_{L1} \right| \quad (C40) \\
V'_{g1} & = 0.75 V_{r1} \quad (C41) \\
V'_{L1} & = 0.25 V_{r1} \quad (C42) \\
(Pr)_{L1} & = C_{pL} / \mu_{L1} / k_{L} \quad (C43) \\
D_{e1} & = 4. A_{g1} / (\pi (D_{g1} + c_2 D_{L1})) \quad (C44) \\
(Re)_{g1} & = 3600. \rho_{g1} V_{g1} D_{e1} / (12. \mu_{g1}) \quad (C45) \\
(Sc)_{g1} & = \mu_{g1} / (\rho_{g1} D_{1}^2) \quad (C46) \\
T_{i1} & = 0.85 T_{g1} \quad (C47) \\
E & = 0. \quad (C48)
\end{align*}
\]
\( T_{i12} = 0. \)  
\( \Delta q_{12} = 0. \)  
\( T_{i1}(R) = T_{i1} + 459.69 \)  
\( T_{i1}(K) = 5. \frac{T_{i1}(R)}{9}. \)  
Call SATP (\( T_{i1}, p_{c1} \))  
\( p_{v11} = p_{c1} \)  
Call SATHFG (\( T_{i1}, p_{c1}, h_{g1}, h_{fc1} \))  
\( h_{fgc1} = h_{g1} - h_{fc1} \)  
Call VISLIQ (\( T_{i1}, \mu_{c1} \))  
\( (Re)_{L1} = 3600. \rho_L \nu_{L1} D_{L1}/(12.\mu_{L1}) \)  
If \( ((Re)_{L1} - 12.500.) \) C56, C56, C57  
\( h_{L1} = 1.86 (12. k_L/D_{L1}) (Re)_{L1}^{1/3} (Pr)_{L1}^{1/3} (\mu_{L1}/\mu_{c1})^{0.14} \)  
go to C58  
\( h_{L1} = 0.023 (12. k_L/D_{L1}) (Re)_{L1}^{0.8} (Pr)_{L1}^{0.4} \)  
\( (Re)_{rg1} = 3600. \rho_{g1} \nu_{g1} D_{e1}/(12.\mu_{g1}) \)  
Call GASP3 (\( T_{i1}(K), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_{v}, \alpha, t^*, (\epsilon/\kappa)_v, (\epsilon/\kappa)_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, p, p_{v11}, \mu_{g1} \))  
If \( ((Re)_{rg1} - 9000.) \) C59, C59, C60  
\( h_{G1} = 1.86 (12. k_{g1}/D_{e1}) (Re)_{rg1}^{1/3} (Pr)_{g1}^{1/3} (\mu_{g1}/\mu_{g1})^{0.14} \)  
go to C61  
\( h_{G1} = 0.027 (12. k_{g1}/D_{e1}) (Re)_{rg1}^{0.8} (Pr)_{g1}^{1/3} (\mu_{g1}/\mu_{g1})^{0.14} \)  
\( (K_g, p_{nc}', \mu_{nc}') = h_{G1} (\rho_{g1} D_{e1}/k_{g1}) ((Sc)_{g1}/(Pr)_{g1})^{1/3} \)  
\( (C49) \)  
\( (C50) \)  
\( (C51) \)  
\( (C52) \)  
\( (C53) \)  
\( (C54) \)  
\( (C55) \)  
\( (C56) \)  
\( (C57) \)  
\( (C58) \)  
\( (C59) \)  
\( (C60) \)  
\( (C61) \)
Call SATLQV \((T_{i1}, v_{c1})\)

\[
\rho_{c1} = 1./v_{c1} \tag{C62}
\]

\[
\tau_m = 3600. (w_{c/i1} V'_{gL}/g') \tag{C63}
\]

\[
V'_{L1} = \left[ \frac{\rho_{gL}}{\rho_L} \left( \frac{(Re)_{L1}}{(Re)_{rg1}} \right)^{0.2} \frac{V'_{gL}^2 + 2. \tau_m (Re)_{L1} 0.2 g'}{(0.046) 3600. \rho_L} \right]^{1/2} \tag{C64}
\]

\[
V'_{gL} = \left| V'_{r1} - V'_{L1} \right| \tag{C65}
\]

\[
P'_{nc1} = \frac{X_{v1} p - p_{vil}}{14.696 \ln \left( \frac{p - p_{vil}}{p - X_{v1} p} \right)} \tag{C66}
\]

\[
K_{G1} = (K_G \cdot P'_{nc1}) \tag{C67}
\]

\[
(w_{c/i1}) = K_{G1} (X_{v1} p - p_{vil}) / 14.696 \tag{C68}
\]

\[
(q'_{g/i1}) = h_{G1} (T_{gL1} - T_{i1}) + (w_{c/i1}) \left( h_{fgc1} + C_{pL} (T_{i1} - T_{L1}) + \frac{V'_{gL}^2 - V'_{L1}^2}{2. g_{o1}} \right) \tag{C69}
\]

\[
(q'_{L/i1}) = h_{L1} (T_{i1} - T_{L1}) \tag{C70}
\]

\[
\Delta q_1 = (q'_{L/i1} - q'_{g/i1}) \tag{C71}
\]

\[
T_{i11} = T_{i12} \tag{C72}
\]

\[
\Delta q_{12} = \Delta q_{12} \tag{C73}
\]

If (E - 50.) C74, C74, C82

If \((\left| (T_{i11} - T_{i12}) / T_{i11} \right| - \epsilon_1)\) C82, C75, C75

\[
T_{i12} = T_{i1} \tag{C75}
\]

\[
\Delta q_{12} = \Delta q_1 \tag{C76}
\]

\[
E = E + 1. \tag{C77}
\]
If (E-3.,) C78, C79, C79

\[ T_{i1} = T_{i12} - \Delta q_{12} / (2 \cdot L_{i1}) \]  
(C78)

go to C80

\[ T_{i1} = (T_{i12} - \Delta q_{11} - T_{i11} \Delta q_{12}) / (\Delta q_{11} - \Delta q_{12}) \]  
(C79)

If (T_{g1} - T_{i1}) C81, C81, C51

\[ T_{i1} = T_{g1} - 0.1 \]  
(C81)

go to C51

\[ \Delta T_{i} = T_{g1} - T_{L1} \]  
(C82)

\[ U = (q_{L} / S_{1}) / \Delta T_{i} \]  
(C83)

\[ \text{temperature ratio} = T_{g1} / T_{L1} \]  
(C84)

At this point we have calculated all variables at state 1. We now

commence our calculations for the first state 2.

\[ \text{STATE} = \text{STATE} + 1. \]  
(C85)

\[ \Delta T_{g} = \text{c1} \Delta T_{g} \]  
(C86)

If (\(\Delta T_{g} - \epsilon \)) C88, C87, C87

\[ \Delta T_{g} = \epsilon \]  
(C87)

\[ T_{g2} = T_{g1} - \Delta T_{g} \]  
(C88)

If (T_{g2} - T_{sat}) C90, C89, C89

\[ T_{g2} = T_{sat} - 5. \]  
(C89)

If (T_{g2} - T_{L1} - \epsilon) C91, C92, C92

\[ T_{g2} = T_{L1} + \epsilon \]  
(C90)

Call SATP (T_{g2}, P_{v2})

\[ P_{g2} = P_{P_{v2}} \]  
(C91)
\[ X_{v2} = \frac{p_{v2}}{p} \quad (C94) \]

If \((X_{v1} - X_{v2}) \geq C91, C91, C92 \)

\[ T_{g2} = T_{g2} - 1. \quad (C95) \]

go to C92

\[ w_{v2} = \left( \frac{X_{v2}}{1. - X_{v2}} \right) \frac{w_{nc} W_v}{W_{nc}} \quad (C96) \]

\[ w_{g2} = w_{nc} + w_{v2} \quad (C97) \]

\[ (w_c)_{12} = w_{g1} - w_{g2} \quad (C98) \]

\[ w_{c2} = w_{vo} - w_{v2} \quad (C99) \]

If \(\left( \frac{w_{c2}}{w_{vo}} - \epsilon_3 \right) \geq C100, C234, C234 \)

\[ T_{g2}(R) = T_{g2} + 459.69 \quad (C100) \]

\[ T_{g2}(K) = 5. \frac{T_{g2}(R)}{9}. \quad (C101) \]

Call GASP1 \((T_{g2}(K), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_v, \alpha, \tau^*, (\epsilon/k)_v, (\epsilon/k)_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_v, p, X_{v2}, \sigma_{g2}, D_2, \mu_{v2}, \mu_{nc2}, \mu_{g2})\)

Call GASP2 \((p, X_{v2}, W_{nc}, W_v, J, T_{g2}(R), T_{g2}(K), \mu_{nc2}, \mu_{v2}, S_{nc}, S_v, \sigma_{g2}, \rho_{g2}, T_{g2}(K), C_{pg2}, C_{pcnc2}, C_{pv2})\)

\[ (Pr)_{g2} = C_{pg2} \frac{\mu_{g2}}{k_{g2}} \quad (C102) \]

\[ \Delta h_v = C_{pv1} T_{g1}(R) - C_{pv2} T_{g2}(R) \quad (C103) \]

\[ (q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} \Delta h_v \quad (C104) \]

\[ \Delta h_{nc} = C_{pnc1} T_{g1}(R) - C_{pnc2} T_{g2}(R) \quad (C105) \]

\[ (q_{nc})_{12} = w_{nc} \Delta h_{nc} \quad (C106) \]

\[ (Sc)_{g2} = \frac{\mu_{g2}}{(\rho_{g2}) D_2} \quad (C107) \]
\[ V_{L2} = V_{L1} \] (C108)

\[ V_{g2} = V_{g1} \] (C109)

\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{(q_{nc})_{12} + (q_{v})_{12} + (w_{c})_{12} (h_{fgc1} + C_{pv2} (T_{g2} - T_{i1}))}{C_{pL} (w_{L} + w_{c1})} \] (C110)

\[ T_{i2} = (T_{g2} + T_{L2})/2. \] (C111)

\[ E = 0. \] (C112)

\[ T_{i22} = 0. \] (C113)

\[ \Delta q_{22} = 0. \] (C114)

\[ V'_{g2} = V'_{g1}. \] (C115)

\[ V'_{L2} = V'_{L1} \] (C116)

\[ (w_{c} / S_{L})_{i2} = (w_{c} / S_{L})_{i1} - 2. \] (C117)

\[ T_{i2} (R) = T_{i2} + 459.69 \] (C118)

\[ T_{i2} (K) = 5. T_{i2} (R)/9. \] (C119)

Call SATP \( \{ T_{i2}, p_{vi2} \} \)

\[ p_{c2} = p_{vi2} \] (C120)

Call SATHFG \( \{ T_{i2}, p_{c2}, h_{gc2}, h_{fc2} \} \)

Call SATLOV \( \{ T_{i2}, v_{c2} \} \)

Call \( v_{c2} \) SATLIQ \( \{ T_{i2}, \mu_{c2} \} \)

\[ \rho_{c2} = 1./v_{c2} \] (C121)

\[ h_{fgc2} = h_{gc2} - h_{fc2} \] (C122)

\[ \Delta \bar{T}_{gc} = (T_{g1} + T_{g2} - T_{i1} - T_{i2})/2. \] (C123)

\[ \Delta \bar{h}_{vc} = (C_{pv1} + C_{pv2}) \Delta \bar{T}_{gc} /2. \] (C124)

\[ \bar{h}_{fgc} = (h_{fgc1} + h_{fgc2})/2. \] (C125)
\[ \Delta V_g = \frac{(V_{g1}^2 - V_{g2}^2)}{(2 \cdot g_o J)} \] (C126)

\[ \Delta V_L = \frac{(V_{L1}^2 - V_{L2}^2)}{(2 \cdot g_o J)} \] (C127)

\[ \Delta V_{gL} = \frac{(V_{g1}^2 - V_{L2}^2)}{(2 \cdot g_o J)} \] (C128)

\[ \Delta \bar{h}_{cL} = \frac{C_{pL}}{2 \cdot (T_{i1} + T_{i2} - T_{L2})} \] (C129)

\[ (q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} (\Delta h_v + \Delta V_g) \] (C130)

\[ (q_{nc})_{12} = w_{nc} (\Delta h_{nc} + \Delta V_g) \] (C131)

\[ (q_c)_{12} = (w_c)_{12} (\Delta h_{vc} + \bar{h}_{fgc} + \Delta \bar{h}_{cL} + \Delta V_{gL}) \] (C132)

\[ (q_L)_{12} = (w_L + w_{c1}) \Delta V_L \] (C133)

\[ Q_{12} = (q_{nc})_{12} + (q_v)_{12} + (q_c)_{12} + (q_L)_{12} \] (C134)

\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{Q_{12}}{C_{pL} (w_L + w_{c1})} \] (C135)

\[ \Delta T_2 = T_{g2} - T_{L2} \] (C136)

\[ \Delta T_M = \frac{(T_{g1} - T_{L1}) - (T_{g2} - T_{L2})}{\ln \left( \frac{T_{g1} - T_{L1}}{T_{g2} - T_{L2}} \right)} \] (C137)

Call VISLIQ \((T_{L2}, \mu_{L2})\)

\[ (Pr)_{L2} = \frac{C_{pL} \mu_{L2}}{k_L} \] (C138)

\[ \left( \frac{w_c}{S_i} \right)_{12} = \frac{(w_c/S_i)_{1} - (w_c/S_i)_{12}}{\ln \left( \frac{(w_c/S_i)_{1}}{(w_c/S_i)_{12}} \right)} \] (C139)

\[ (S_{ic})_{12} = \frac{(w_c)_{12}}{(w_c/S_i)} \] (C140)

Sense Light 1

\[ A_{g2} = 144 \cdot \frac{w_{g2}}{(3600 \cdot \rho_{g2} V_{g2})} \] (C141)
\[ A_{L2} = 144 \left( \frac{w_L}{\rho_L V_{L2}} + \frac{w_{c2}}{\rho_{c2} V_{L2}} \right) / 3600. \]  
\[ D_{L2} = (4 \cdot A_{L2} / (\pi c_2))^1/2 \]  
\[ D_{g2} = (4 \cdot (A_{g2} + A_{L2}) / \pi)^1/2 \]  
\[ \overline{D}_L = (D_{L1} + D_{L2}) / 2. \]  
\[ \overline{D}_g = (D_{g1} + D_{g2}) / 2. \]  
\[ D_{e2} = 4 \cdot A_{g2} / (\pi (D_{g2} + c_2 D_{L2})) \]  
\[ V_{r2} = \left| V_{g2} - V_{L2} \right| \]  
\[ L_{L2} = \left[ \left( \frac{12 \cdot (S_{ic})_{L2}}{D_{L2} + D_{L1}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]  
\[ \overline{V}_g = (V_{g1} + V_{g2}) / 2. \]  
\[ \overline{V}'_g = (V'_{g1} + V'_{g2}) / 2. \]  
\[ \overline{V}_L = (V_{L1} + V_{L2}) / 2. \]  
\[ (Re)_{g2} = 3600 \cdot \rho_{g2} V_{g2} D_{e2} / (12 \cdot \mu_{g2}). \]  
\[ (Re)_{rg2} = 3600 \cdot \rho_{g2} V_{g2} D_{e2} / (12 \cdot \mu_{g2}). \]  
\[ \text{Call FORCE (} D_{L1}, \overline{D}_g, L_{L2}, \overline{V}_g, \overline{V}'_g, (S_{ic})_{L2}, \overline{V}_L, \overline{V}'_L, (Re)_{g1}, (Re)_{g2}, (Re)_{rg1}, (Re)_{rg2}, \rho_{g1}, \rho_{g2}, g', F_i, F_w). \]  
\[ F_m = 3600 \cdot (w_{c12}) \overline{V}'/g'. \]  
\[ F'_m = 3600 \cdot (w_{c12}) (V'_{L1} + V'_{L2}) / (2 \cdot g') \]  
\[ \text{If } (\overline{V}_g - \overline{V}_L) \text{ C157, C160, C160.} \]  
\[ F_i = -F_i \]  
\[ F_m = -F_m \]
\[
F' = - F_m
\]  
\[
F = F'_m + F_i
\]  
\[
\tau = \frac{F}{(S_{ic})^2}
\]  
\[
\tau_m = 3600. \left(\frac{w_c}{S_{ic}}\right) \frac{V'_m}{g'}
\]  
\[
(Re)_{L2} = 3600. \frac{\rho_L V'_{L2} D_{L2}}{(12. \mu_{L2})}
\]  
\[
V'_{L2} = \frac{3600. \left(\frac{w_L + w_{c1}}{g'}\right) V'_{L1} + g' (F + F'_m)}{3600. \left(\frac{w_L + w_{c2}}{g'}\right)}
\]  
\[
V = \frac{3600. \frac{g_1}{g_2} V_{g_1} - g' (F + F'_w)}{3600. \frac{w_g}{g^2}}
\]  
\[
V_{r2} = \left| V \frac{g^2}{g^2} - V'_{L2} \right|
\]  
\[
V'_{L2} = \left[ \frac{\rho_{g_2}^2}{\rho_L} \left(\frac{(Re)_{L2}}{(Re)_{rg2}}\right)^{0.2} V'_{g_2}^2 + \frac{2. \tau_m (Re)_{L2}}{(0.046) 3600. \rho_L} \frac{0.2}{g'} \right]^{1/2}
\]  
\[
V'_{g_2} = \left| V_{r2} - V'_{L2} \right|
\]  

If (Sense Light 1) C141, C169

Call GASP3 \( T_{\text{i}2}(K)\), \( \sigma_{\text{nc}}\), \( \sigma_v\), \( \alpha\), \( \text{t}^*\), \( (\epsilon/k)_v\), \( (\epsilon/k)_{\text{nc}}\), \( W_{\text{nc}}\), \( W_{v}\), \( \nu\), \( p_{\text{v}1\text{2}}\), \( \mu_{\text{g}1\text{2}}\)

C169.

If \((Re)_{rg2} < 9000.)\) C170, C170, C171

\[
h_{G2} = 1.86 \left(\frac{12. k_{g_2}/D_{e2}}{g_2}\right) (Re)_{rg2}^{1/3} (Pr)_{g_2}^{1/3} \left(\mu_{g_2}/\mu_{g_{12}}\right)^{0.14}
\]

go to C172

\[
h_{G2} = 0.027 \left(\frac{12. k_{g_2}/D_{e2}}{g_2}\right) (Re)_{rg2}^{0.8} (Pr)_{g_2}^{1/3} \left(\mu_{g_2}/\mu_{g_{12}}\right)^{0.14}
\]

\[
(K_G \cdot P'_{\text{nc}})_2 = h_{G2} \left(\rho_{g_2}/D_{e2}/k_{g_2}\right) \left(Sc_{g_2}/(Pr)_{g_2}\right)^{1/3}
\]

If \((Re)_{L2} < 12,500.)\) C173, C173, C174
\[ h_{L2} = 1.86 \left( 12. \left( \frac{k_L}{D_L2} \right) \left( \text{Re} \right)_{L2}^{1/3} \left( \text{Pr} \right)_{L2}^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{L2}}{\mu_{c2}} \right)^{0.14} \right) \]  

\text{(C173)}

go to \text{C175}

\[ h_{L2} = 0.023 \left( 12. \left( \frac{k_L}{D_L2} \right) \left( \text{Re} \right)_{L2}^{0.8} \left( \text{Pr} \right)_{L2}^{0.4} \right) \]  

\text{(C174)}

\[ P'_{nc2} = \frac{\gamma_{v2} P'_{vi2}}{14.696 \ln \left( \frac{P-P'_{vi2}}{P-X_{v2}P} \right)} \]  

\text{(C175)}

\[ K_{G2} = \frac{K_G \cdot P'_{nc2}}{P'_{nc2}} \]  

\text{(C176)}

\[ (w_c/S_i)_{i2} = \frac{K_{G2} \left( \frac{X_{v2} P'_{vi2}}{P} \right)}{14.696} \]  

\text{(C177)}

\[ (q_g/S_i)_{i2} = h_{G2} \left( T_{g2} - T_{i2} \right) + (w_c/S_i)_{i2} \left( h_{fgc2} + C_{pL} \left( T_{i2} - T_{L2} \right) \right) \]  

\text{(C178)}

\[ (q_L/S_i)_{i2} = h_{L2} \left( T_{i2} - T_{L2} \right) \]  

\text{(C179)}

\[ \Delta q_2 = (q_L/S_i)_{i2} - (q_g/S_i)_{i2} \]  

\text{(C180)}

\[ T_{i21} = T_{i22} \]  

\text{(C181)}

\[ \Delta q_{21} = \Delta q_{22} \]  

\text{(C182)}

\text{If (E-50.) C183, C183, C191}

\text{If (} \left| \left( T_{i2} - T_{i22} \right) / T_{i2} \right| - \epsilon_1 ) 191, C184, C184 \]  

\text{(C183)}

\[ T_{i22} = T_{i2} \]  

\text{(C184)}

\[ \Delta q_{22} = \Delta q_2 \]  

\text{(C185)}

\[ E = E + 1. \]  

\text{(C186)}

\text{If (E-2.) C187, C188, C188}

\[ T_{i2} = T_{i22} - \Delta q_{22} / (2. h_{L2}) \]  

\text{(C187)}

go to C189

\[ T_{i2} = (T_{i22} \Delta q_{21} - T_{i21} \Delta q_{22}) / (\Delta q_{21} - \Delta q_{22}) \]  

\text{(C188)}
If \(( T_{g2} - T_{i2} ) \geq 190, \ C190, \ C190, \ C118 \) (C189)

\[ T_{i2} = T_{g2} - 0.1 \] (C190)

go to C118

\[ U_2 = \frac{(q_L / S_i)_2}{\Delta T_2} \] (C191)

\[ (w_c / S_i)_1 = \frac{(w_c / S_i)_1 - (w_c / S_i)_2}{\ln \left( \frac{(w_c / S_i)_1}{(w_c / S_i)_2} \right)} \] (C192)

\[ (S_i)_{12} = \frac{(w_c)_{12}}{(w_c / S_i)} \] (C193)

\[ \bar{U} = Q_{12} / ((S_i)_{12} \Delta T_m) \] (C194)

\[ L_{12} = \left[ \left( \frac{12 \cdot (S_i)_{12}}{D_{L2} + D_{L1}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \] (C195)

\[ L_2 = L_1 + L_{12} \] (C196)

\[ \omega_2 = (w_L + w_{c2}) / w_{g2} \] (C197)

\[ \phi_2 = w_{v2} / w_{g2} \] (C198)

temperature ratio = \( T_{g2} / T_{L2} \) (C199)

phase velocity ratio = \( V_{g2} / V_{L2} \) (C200)

\[ S_{i2} = S_{i1} + (S_i)_{12} \] (C201)

\[ Q_2 = Q_1 + Q_{12} \] (C202)

percent of vapor condensed = 100 \( w_{c2} / w_v \) (C203)

\[ R_{g2} = 1545.45 / ((1 - X_{v2}) W_{nc} + X_{v2} W_v) \] (C204)

Call GASP \( ( T_{g2} (K), w_{nc}, w_{v2}, W_{nc}, W_v, J, C_{pg2}, K_{g2} ) \)

\[ C_{g2} = (g_o K_{g2} R_{g2} T_{g2}(R))^{1/2} \] (C205)

\[ M_2 = V_{g2} / C_{g2} \] (C206)
We have now finished calculations for state 2. If we satisfy the following tests, the calculation is complete; if not, we reset several variables to establish state 1 as the beginning of the next mixing section interval and recalculate state 2.

If \( (T_{g2} - T_{L2}) \geq 234, C234, C207 \) (C207)

If \( (w_{vo} - w_{vc}) \geq 234, C234, C208 \) (C208)

If \( (T_{g2} - T_{L2} \geq \epsilon) \geq 234, C234, C209 \) (C209)

\[ \begin{align*}
  &h_{fg1} = h_{fgc2} \\
  &C_{pvl} = C_{pv2} \\
  &C_{pnc1} = C_{pnc2} \\
  &C_{pg1} = C_{pg2} \\
  &U_1 = U_2 \\
  &w_{c_{i1}} = (w_{c_{i2}}) \geq 234, C234, C209 \\
  &w_{v1} = w_{v2} \\
  &T_{L1} = T_{L2} \\
  &T_{g1} = T_{g2} \\
  &T_{g1}(R) = T_{g2}(R) \\
  &T_{i1} = T_{i2} \\
  &V_{g1} = V_{g2} \\
  &V_{L1} = V_{L2} \\
  &V'_{L1} = V'_{L2} \\
  &V'_{g1} = V'_{g2} \\
  &w_{c1} = w_{c2} \\
  &w_{g1} = w_{g2}
\end{align*} \]
\[ D_{L1} = D_{L2} \]  
(C226)

\[ D_{g1} = D_{g2} \]  
(C227)

\[ L_1 = L_2 \]  
(C228)

\[ S_{i1} = S_{i2} \]  
(C229)

\[ Q_1 = Q_2 \]  
(C230)

\[ (Re)_{g1} = (Re)_{g2} \]  
(C231)

\[ (Re)_{rg1} = (Re)_{rg2} \]  
(C232)

\[ \rho_{g1} = \rho_{g2} \]  
(C233)

\[ \text{go to C85} \]  
(C234)

**Computational Procedure - Analytical Model IIb:**

The computational procedure for Analytical Model IIb is essentially the same as that for Analytical Model IIa. The differences which are listed below are the result of simplifying the relative velocity between phases such that

\[ V_r = V_g - V_L = V'_g + V'_L \]  
(C235)

Thus, all equations in the computational procedure for Analytical Model IIa which contain the relative velocities, \( V'_g \) or \( V'_L \), are revised to use the single relative velocity, \( V_r \).

Delete equations C41 and C42.

Equation C55 becomes

\[ (Re)_{L1} = 3600. \rho_L V_{r1} D_{L1} / (12. \mu_{L1}) \]  
(C55)

Equation C58 becomes

\[ (Re)_{rg1} = 3600. \rho_{g1} V_{r1} D_{e1} / (12. \mu_{g1}) \]  
(C58)
Equation C63 becomes

\[
\tau_m = 3600. \left( \frac{w_c}{S_1} \right) \frac{V_{r1}}{g^i}
\]  

(C63)

Delete equations C64 and C65.

Delete equations C115, C116 and C151.

Equation C154 becomes

\[
(Re)_{rg} \cdot 3600. \rho_{g2} \frac{V_{r2} D_{e2}}{g^2} / (12. \mu_{g2})
\]  

(C154)

Call FORCE with \( \overline{V}_L \) in lieu of \( \overline{V}_g \).

* Subroutine Force is revised slightly to account for this change. (See Appendix G.)
APPENDIX D
CONSTANT AREA MIXING WITH NONCONDENSABLE
(ANALYTICAL MODEL III)

Computational Procedure:
We begin by calculating the following quantities at the ejector inlet state (state 0) and at the entrance to the mixing section (state 1).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{STATE} & = 1. \\
T_{L0} & = \frac{T_{go}}{T_{go}/T_{Lo}} \\
T_{L1} & = T_{Lo} \\
P_{Lo} & = p_{go} \left( \frac{P_{Lo}}{p_{go}} \right) \\
\phi_0 & = \frac{w_{vo}}{w_{vo} + w_{nc}} \\
\phi_1 & = \phi_0 \\
w_{v1} & = w_{vo} \\
w_{go} & = w_{nc} + w_{vo} \\
w_{g1} & = w_{go} \\
A_{L1} & = c_2 \left( \frac{\pi D_{L1}^2}{4} \right) \\
T_{go}^{(R)} & = T_{go}^{(K)} + 459.69 \\
T_{go}^{(K)} & = 5. \frac{T_{go}^{(R)}}{9}. \\
X_{v1} & = \frac{w_{v1}}{w_v} = \frac{w_{v1}}{w_{v1} + w_{nc}} \\
& \frac{w_{v1}}{w_v} + \frac{w_{nc}}{W_{v}} \\
\text{Call GASP}^{**} (T_{go}(K), w_{nc}, w_{vo}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, R, C_{pgo}, K_{go})
\end{align*}
\]

* All temperatures are in degrees F except as indicated by (R) or (K) which refer to the Rankine and Kelvin temperature scales, respectively.

** Fortran Subroutines are identified in Appendix G.
\[ C_{pg1} = C_{pg0} \]
\[ K_{g1} = K_{go} \]
\[ R_{g1} = \frac{1545.45}{(1 - X_{v1}) W_{nc} + X_{v1} W_{v}} \]
\[ T_{g1} = \left( \frac{2 \cdot C_{dg}^2 J C_{pg0} - T_{g1}(R)}{M_1^2 K_{g0} R_{g1} + 2 \cdot C_{dg}^2 J C_{pg1}} \right) - 459.69 \]
\[ T_{g1}(R) = T_{g1} + 459.69 \]
\[ T_{g1}(K) = 5 \cdot \frac{T_{g1}(R)}{9} \]

Call GASP \( T_{g1}(K), w_{nc}, w_{v1}, W_{nc}, W_{v}, R', C_{pg1}, K_{g1} \)
\[ V_{g1} = C_{dg} \left[ 2 \cdot g_0 J (C_{pg0} T_{g1}(R) - C_{pg1} T_{g1}(R)) \right]^{1/2} \]
\[ C_{g1} = \frac{V_{g1}}{M_1} \]
\[ \overline{K}_g = (K_{go} + K_{g1})/2. \]

\[ p_1 = p_{go} / (T_{go}(R)/T_{g1}(R)) \overline{K}_g \]
\[ V_{L1} = \frac{C_{dL}}{28.8} \cdot g_0 (p_{Lo} - p_1) / \rho_L \]
\[ w_L = \frac{3600 \cdot \rho_L \cdot V_{L1}}{A_{L1}/144} \]

Call SATT \( p_1, T_{g1}, T_{sat} \)

Call CASP1 \( T_{g1}(x_1), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_v, n_c, t_*, (\epsilon/k)_v, (\epsilon/k)_nc, W_{nc}, W_{v}, p_1, X_{v1}, \sigma_{g1}, D', \mu_{v1}, \mu_{nc1}, \mu_{g1} \)

Call CASP2 \( p_1, X_{v1}, W_{nc}, W_v, R', T_{g1}(R), T_{g1}(K), \mu_{nc1}, \mu_{v1}, S_{nc}, S_v, \sigma_{g1}, \rho_{g1}, k_{g1}, C_{pg1}, C_{nc1}, C_{pv1} \)
\[ A_{g1} = \frac{144 \cdot w_{g1}}{3600 \cdot \rho_{g1} V_{g1}} \]
\[ D_{g1} = (4 \cdot (A_{g1} + A_{L1})/\pi)^{1/2} \]  
\[ \omega_1 = w_L/w_{g1} \]  
\[ \omega_0 = \omega_1 \]  
\[ w_{c1} = 0. \]  
\[ L_1 = 0. \]  
\[ S_{i1} = 0. \]  
\[ Q_1 = 0. \]  
phase velocity ratio = \( V_{g1}/V_{L1} \)  
bulk temperature ratio = \( T_{g1}/T_{L1} \)  
\[(Pr)_{g1} = C_{pg1} \mu_{g1}/k_{g1} \]  
Call VISLIQ (T_{L1}, \mu_{L1})  
\[ V_{r1} = \left| V_{g1} - V_{L1} \right| \]  
\[(Pr)_{L1} = C_{pL} \mu_{L1}/k_L \]  
\[ D_{e1} = 4 \cdot A_{g1}/(\pi (D_{g1} + c_2 D_{L1})) \]  
\[(Re)_{g1} = 3600 \cdot \rho_{g1} V_{g1} D_{e1}/(12 \cdot \mu_{g1}) \]  
\[(Sc)_{g1} = \mu_{g1}/(\rho_{g1} D_{e1}) \]  
\[ (w_{c1}/S_{i1}) = 0. \]  
\[ T_{i1} = 0.85 T_{g1} \]  
\[ V'_{g1} = 0.75 V_{r1} \]  
\[ V'_{L1} = 0.25 V_{r1} \]  
\[ T_{i12} = 0. \]  
\[ \Delta q_{12} = 0. \]  
\[ E = 0. \]
\[ T_{i1}(R) = T_{i1} + 459.69 \]  \hspace{1cm} (D49)

\[ T_{i1}(K) = 5 \cdot \frac{T_{i1}(R)}{9}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (D50)

Call SATP \((T_{i1}, P_{vi1})\)

Call SATHFG \((T_{i1}, P_{vi1}, h_{gc1}, h_{fc1})\)

Call VISLIQ \((T_{i1}, \mu_{c1})\)

\[ h_{fgc1} = h_{gc1} - h_{fc1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D51)

\[ (Re)_{L1} = 3600 \cdot \frac{\rho_L V'_L1 D_{L1}}{12 \cdot \mu_{L1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D52)

If \(((Re)_{L1} - 12,500.) \) D53, D53, D54

\[ h_{L1} = 1.86 \left( \frac{12 \cdot k_L}{D_{L1}} \right) (Re)_{L1}^{1/3} (Pr)_{L1}^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{L1}}{\mu_{c1}} \right)^{0.14} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D53)

go to D55

\[ h_{L1} = 0.023 \left( \frac{12 \cdot k_L}{D_{L1}} \right) (Re)_{L1}^{0.8} (Pr)_{L1}^{0.4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D54)

\[ (Re)_{rgL1} = 3600 \cdot \frac{\rho_{g1} V'_g1 D_{g1}}{12 \cdot \mu_{g1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D55)

Call GASP3 \((T_{i1}(K), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_v, \alpha, \alpha*, (\epsilon/k)_{v}, (\epsilon/k)_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_v, P_{v1}, P_{vi1}, \mu_{g1})\)

If \(((Re)_{rgL1} - 9000.) \) D56, D56, D57

\[ h_{G1} = 1.86 \left( \frac{12 \cdot k_{g1}}{D_{g1}} \right) (Re)_{rgL1}^{1/3} (Pr)_{g1}^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{g1}}{\mu_{g1}} \right)^{0.14} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D56)

go to D58

\[ h_{G1} = 0.027 \left( \frac{12 \cdot k_{g1}}{D_{g1}} \right) (Re)_{rgL1}^{0.8} (Pr)_{g1}^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{g1}}{\mu_{g1}} \right)^{0.14} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D57)

\[ (K_G \cdot P_{nc})_1 = h_{G1} \left( \frac{\rho_{g1} D_{g1}}{k_{g1}} \right) \left( \frac{Sc_{g1}}{(Pr)_{g1}} \right)^{1/3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D58)

\[ \tau_m = 3600 \cdot \frac{(w_c / S_{i1})_1 V'_g1 / g'}{V'_L1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D59)

\[ V'_{L1} = \left[ \frac{\rho_{g1}}{\rho_L} \left( \frac{(Re)_{L1}}{(Re)_{rgL1}} \right)^{0.2} \right]^{1/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D60)
\[ V'_{g1} = \left| V_{r1} - V'_{L1} \right| \]  \hfill (D61)

\[ P'_{nc1} = \frac{X_{v1} P_1 - P_{vi1}}{14.696 \ln \left( \frac{P_1 - P_{vi1}}{P_1 - X_{v1} P_1} \right)} \]  \hfill (D62)

\[ K_{G1} = \left( K_G - P'_{nc1} \right) / P'_{nc1} \]  \hfill (D63)

\[ (w_{c11}) = K_{G1} (X_{v1} P_1 - P_{vi1}) / 14.696 \]  \hfill (D64)

\[ (q_{g11}) = h_{G1} (T_g1 - T_{i11}) + (w_{c11}) \left( h_{fg1} + C_L (T_{i11} - T_{L1}) + \frac{V_{g1}^2 - V_{L1}}{2 g_o J} \right) \]  \hfill (D65)

\[ (q_{L11}) = h_{L1} (T_{i11} - T_{L1}) \]  \hfill (D66)

\[ \Delta q_1 = (q_{L11} / S_{i11}) - (q_{g11} / S_{i11}) \]  \hfill (D67)

\[ T_{i11} = T_{i12} \]  \hfill (D68)

\[ \Delta q_{i1} = \Delta q_{i2} \]  \hfill (D69)

If \((E - 50.)\) D70, D70, D78

If \((T_{i11} - T_{i12}) / T_{i11} > \epsilon_1\) D78, D78, D71

\[ T_{i12} = T_{i1} \]  \hfill (D70)

\[ \Delta q_{i2} = \Delta q_1 \]  \hfill (D71)

\[ E = E + 1. \]  \hfill (D72)

If \((E - 3.)\) D74, D75, D75

\[ T_{i1} = T_{i12} - \Delta q_{i2} / (2 h_{L1}) \]  \hfill (D73)

\[ \text{go to D76} \]

\[ T_{i1} = (T_{i12} \Delta q_{i1} - T_{i11} \Delta q_{i2}) / \Delta q_{i1} - \Delta q_{i2} \]  \hfill (D74)

If \((T_{g1} - T_{i1})\)

\[ T_{i1} = T_{g1} - 0.1 \]  \hfill (D75)
At this point we have calculated all variables at state 1. We now commence our calculations for the first state 2.

\[
\Delta T_g = c_1 \Delta T_g
\]

If \((\Delta T_g - \epsilon_2)\) then \(\Delta T_g = \epsilon_2\)

\[
T_g2 = T_g1 - \Delta T_g
\]

If \((T_g2 - T_{L1} - \epsilon_6)\) then \(T_g2 = T_{L1} + \epsilon_6\)

\[
T_g2(R) = T_g2 + 459.69
\]

\[
T_g2(K) = 5 \times \frac{T_g2}{9}.
\]

Call SATP \((T_g2, P_{v2})\)

\[
P_2 = P_1
\]

\[
X_{v2} = P_{v2}/P_2
\]

If \((X_{v1} - X_{v2})\) then \(T_g2 = T_g2 - 1\).

go to D87

\[
V_{L2} = V_{L1}
\]
\[ V'_{g2} = V'_{g1} \quad (D93) \]
\[ V'_{L2} = V'_{L1} \quad (D94) \]
\[ V'_{g2} = V'_{g1} \quad (D95) \]
\[ (w_c / S_i)_2 = (w_c / S_i)_1 - 2. \quad (D96) \]
\[ w_{v2} = \frac{X_{v2}}{1 - X_{v2}} \left( \frac{w_{nc} W_v}{W_{nc}} \right) \quad (D97) \]
\[ w_{g2} = w_{nc} + w_{v2} \quad (D98) \]
\[ (w_c)_{12} = w_{g1} - w_{g2} \quad (D99) \]
\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{C_{pg1} (T_{g1} - T_{g2}) + (w_c)_{12} (h_{fgc1} + C_{pv1} (T_{g2} - T_{i1}))}{C_{pl} (w_L + w_{c1})} \quad (D100) \]
\[ T_{i1} = (T_{g2} + T_{L2}) / 2 \quad (D101) \]
\[ T_{i22} = 0. \quad (D102) \]
\[ \Delta q_{22} = 0. \quad (D103) \]
\[ E = 0. \quad (D104) \]
\[ T_{i2} (R) = T_{i2} + 459.69 \quad (D105) \]
\[ T_{i2} (K) = 5. T_{i2} (R)/9. \quad (D106) \]

**Sense Light 1**

\[ P_{nc2} = p_2 - p_{v2} \quad (D107) \]
\[ X_{v2} = p_{v2} / p_2 \quad (D108) \]

If \((X_{v1} - X_{v2}) D233, D233, D109\)

\[ w_{v2} = \frac{X_{v2}}{1 - X_{v2}} \left( \frac{w_{nc} W_v}{W_{nc}} \right) \quad (D109) \]
\[ w_{g2} = w_{nc} + w_{v2} \quad (D110) \]
\[(w_c)_{12} = w_g1 - w_g2 \quad \text{(D111)}\]

\[w_{c2} = w_{vo} - w_{v2} \quad \text{(D112)}\]

If \[\frac{w_{c2}}{w_{vo}} - \epsilon_3 \] D113, D233, D233

\[\dot{R}_{g2} = 1545.45/((1. - X_{v2}) W_{nc} + X_{v2} W_v) \quad \text{(D113)}\]

Call GASP (T_{g2}(K), w_{nc}, w_{v2}, W_{nc}, W_v, R', C_{pg2}, K_{g2})

\[C_{\gamma} = (g_o K_{g2} R_{g2} T_{g2} (R))^{1/2} \quad \text{(D114)}\]

Call GASP1 (T_{g2}(K), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_v, \alpha, t*, (\epsilon/k)_v, (\epsilon/k)_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_v, p_2, X_{v2}, \sigma_{g2}, D_2, \mu_{v2}, \mu_{nc2}, \mu_{g2})

Call GASP2 (p_2, X_{v2}, W_{nc}, W_v, R', T_{g2}(R), T_{g2}(K), \mu_{nc2}, \mu_{v2}, S_{nc}, S_v, \sigma_{g2}, \rho_{g2}, k_{g2}, C_{pg2}, C_{pnc2}, C_{pv2})

\[(Pr)_{g2} = \frac{C_{pg2} \mu_{g2}}{k_{g2}} \quad \text{(D115)}\]

\[\Delta h_v = C_{pv1} T_{g1}(R) - C_{pv2} T_{g2}(R) \quad \text{(D116)}\]

\[(q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} \Delta h_v \quad \text{(D117)}\]

\[\Delta h_{nc} = C_{pnc1} T_{g1}(R) - C_{pnc2} T_{g2}(R) \quad \text{(D118)}\]

\[(q_{nc})_{12} = w_{nc} \Delta h_{nc} \quad \text{(D119)}\]

\[(Sc)_{g2} = \frac{\mu_{g2}}{(\rho_{g2} D_1)^2} \quad \text{(D120)}\]

Call SATT (p_2, T_{g2}, T_{sat 2})

Call SATP (T_{i2'}, p_{vi2'})

Call SATHFG (T_{i2'}, p_{vi2'}, h_{g2'}, h_{fc2'})

Call SATLVQ (T_{i2'}, v_{c2'})

Call VISLIQ (T_{i2'}, \mu_{c2'})

\[\rho_{c2} = 1. / v_{c2} \quad \text{(D121)}\]
\[ h_{fgc} = h_{gc} - h_{fc} \]  
\[ \Delta T_{gc} = (T_{g1} + T_{g2} - T_{i1} - T_{i2})/2. \]  
\[ \Delta h_{vc} = (C_{pv1} + C_{pv2}) \Delta T_{gc}/2. \]  
\[ \bar{h}_{fgc} = (h_{fgc1} + h_{fgc2})/2. \]  
\[ \Delta_p = 144 \cdot (p_1 - p_2)/(\rho_L J) \]  
\[ \Delta V_g = (V_{g1}^2 - V_{g2}^2)/(2 \cdot g_o J) \]  
\[ \Delta V_L = (V_{L1}^2 - V_{L2}^2)/(2 \cdot g_o J) \]  
\[ \Delta V_{gL} = (V_{gL1}^2 - V_{gL2}^2)/(2 \cdot g_o J) \]  
\[ \Delta h_{cL} = C_{pL} \left( \frac{T_{i1} + T_{i2}}{2} - T_{L2} \right) \]  
\[ (q_v)_{12} = w_{v2} \left( \Delta h_v + \Delta V_g \right) \]  
\[ (q_{nc})_{12} = w_{nc} \left( \Delta h_{nc} + \Delta V_g \right) \]  
\[ (q_c)_{12} = (w_c)_{12} \left( \bar{h}_{fgc} + \Delta h_{vc} + \Delta h_{cL} + \Delta V_{gL} \right) \]  
\[ (q_L)_{12} = (w_L + w_{c1}) \Delta V_L \]  
\[ Q_{12} = (q_{nc})_{12} + (q_v)_{12} + (q_c)_{12} + (q_L)_{12} \]  
\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{Q_{12}}{C_{pL} \left( w_L + w_{c1} \right)} \]  
\[ \Delta T_2 = T_{g2} - T_{L2} \]  
\[ \Delta T_M = \frac{(T_{g1} - T_{L1}) - (T_{g2} - T_{L2})}{\ln \left( \frac{T_{g1} - T_{L1}}{T_{g2} - T_{L2}} \right)} \]  
\[ \text{Call VISLIQ (} T_{L2}, \mu_{L2} \text{)} \]  
\[ (Pr)_{L2} = C_{pL} \mu_{L2}/k_L \]
\[
\left( \frac{w_c}{S_{c_1}} \right) = \frac{(w_c / S_{c_1})_1 - (w_c / S_{c_1})_2}{\ln \left( \frac{(w_c / S_{c_1})_1}{(w_c / S_{c_1})_2} \right)}
\]
\[
(S_{ic})_{12} = \left( \frac{w_c}{S_{ic}} \right)_{12} \quad \text{(D141)}
\]
\[
A_{L2} = 144 \left( \frac{w_L}{\rho_L V_{L2}} + \frac{w_{c2}}{\rho_{c2} V_{L2}} \right) / 3600. \quad \text{(D142)}
\]
\[
D_{L2} = (4. A_{L2} / (\pi c_2))^{1/2} \quad \text{(D143)}
\]
\[
A_{g2} = (\pi D_{g2}^2 / 4.) - A_{L2} \quad \text{(D144)}
\]
\[
\bar{D}_L = (D_{L1} + D_{L2}) / 2. \quad \text{(D145)}
\]
\[
V_{g2} = 144. \frac{w_{g2}}{(3600. \rho_{g2} A_{g2})} \quad \text{(D146)}
\]
\[
D_{e2} = 4. A_{g2} / (\pi (D_{g2} + c_2 D_{L2})) \quad \text{(D147)}
\]
\[
V_{r2} = \left| \frac{V_{g2} - V_{L2}}{V_{g2} + V_{L2}} \right| \quad \text{(D148)}
\]
\[
L_{12} = \left[ \left( \frac{12. (S_{ic})_{12}}{D_{L2} + D_{L1}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2.} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{(D149)}
\]
\[
\bar{V}_g = \left( V_{g1} + V_{g2} \right) / 2. \quad \text{(D150)}
\]
\[
\bar{V}_g' = \left( V_{g1} + V_{g2} \right) / 2. \quad \text{(D151)}
\]
\[
\bar{V}_L = \left( V_{L1} + V_{L2} \right) / 2. \quad \text{(D152)}
\]
\[
(Re)_{g2} = 3600. \rho_{g2} V_{g2} D_{e2} / (12. \mu_{g2}) \quad \text{(D153)}
\]
\[
(Re)_{rg2} = 3600. \rho_{g2} V_{g2} D_{e2} / (12. \mu_{g2}) \quad \text{(D154)}
\]
\[
\text{Call FORCE} \quad (\bar{D}_L, \bar{D}_g, L_{12}, \bar{V}_g, \bar{V}_g', (S_{ic})_{12}, (Re)_{g1}, (Re)_{g2'}, (Re)_{rg1}, (Re)_{rg2'}, \rho_{g1}, \rho_{g2}, \mu', F_i, F_{e}) \quad \text{(D155)}
\]
\[
F_m = 3600. (w_{c12} V_{g} / g') \quad \text{(D155)}
\]
\[ F_{m}' = 3600. \left( w_{c12} \right) (V'_{L1} + V'_{L2}) / (2 \cdot g') \]  

\( \text{D156} \)

If \( (\nabla - \nabla_{g}) \) D157, D160, D160

\[ F_i = -F_i \]  

\( \text{D157} \)

\[ F_m = -F_m \]  

\( \text{D158} \)

\[ F'_{m} = -F'_m \]  

\( \text{D159} \)

\[ F = F_m + F_i \]  

\( \text{D160} \)

\[ \tau = \left| F / (S_{ic})_{12} \right| \]  

\( \text{D161} \)

\[ \tau_m = 3600. \left( w_{c12} \right) V'_{g}/g' \]  

\( \text{D162} \)

\[ (Re)_{L2} = 3600. \rho_L V'_{L2} D_{L2} / (12 \cdot \mu_{L2}) \]  

\( \text{D163} \)

\[ V_{L2} = \frac{(P_{1} A_{L1} - P_{2} A_{L2}) g' + 3600. (w_{L} + w_{c1}) V_{L1}^2 + g' (F + F') m}{2} \cdot \frac{P_{1} + P_{2}}{2} \cdot (A_{L1} - A_{L2}) g' \frac{3600. (w_{L} + w_{c2})}{3600. (w_{L} + w_{c2})} \]  

\( \text{D164} \)

\[ V_{r2} = \left| V_{g2} - V_{L2} \right| \]  

\( \text{D165} \)

\[ V'_{L2} = \left[ \frac{\rho_{g2}}{\rho_L} \right] \left( \frac{(Re)_{L2}}{(Re)_{rg2}} \right)^{0.2} \frac{V_{r2}^0}{g'_{2}} + \frac{2 \cdot \tau_m (Re)_{L2}}{(0.046) 3600. \rho_L^{0.2} g'} \]  

\( \text{D166} \)

\[ V'_{g2} = \left| V_{r2} - V'_{L2} \right| \]  

\( \text{D167} \)

\[ P_{2} = P_{1} + \frac{3600. (w_{1} \cdot V - w_{g1} \cdot g_1^2 \cdot g_1^2) - g' (F + F_{w})}{g' (A_{g1}^2 + A_{g2}^2) / 2}. \]  

\( \text{D168} \)

If (Sense Light 1) D107, D169

Call GASP3 \( (T_{i2}, K), \sigma_{nc}, \sigma_v, \alpha, \tau*, (\epsilon/k)^{1}, (\epsilon/k)^{2}, \sigma_{nc}, W_{nc}, W_v, \) \( p_{2}', p_{v12}', \mu_{gi2}^1 \)  

\( \text{D169} \)

If \( (Re)_{rg2} = 9000. \) D170, D170, D171
\[
h_{G2} = 1.86 \left(12. \frac{k_g^2}{D_{e2}}\right) (Re)^{2/3} (Pr)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu_g^2}{\mu_i^2}\right)^{0.14}
\]

(D170)

go to D172

\[
h_{G2} = 0.027 \left(12. \frac{k_g^2}{D_{e2}}\right) (Re)^{0.8} (Pr)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu_g^2}{\mu_i^2}\right)^{0.14}
\]

(D171)

\[
(K \cdot P'_{nc})_2 = h_{G2} \left(\frac{\rho_g^2 D_{e2}^2}{k_g^2}\right) \left(\frac{(Sc)_g^2}{(Pr)_g^2}\right)^{1/3}
\]

(D172)

If \((Re)_{L2} < 12, 500\) go to D173, D173, D174

\[
h_{L2} = 1.86 \left(12. \frac{k_L}{D_{L2}}\right) (Re)_L^{2/3} (Pr)_L^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu_{L2}}{\mu_{c2}}\right)^{0.14}
\]

(D173)

go to D175

\[
h_{L2} = 0.023 \left(12. \frac{k_L}{D_{L2}}\right) (Re)_L^{2/3} (Pr)_L^{0.4}
\]

(D174)

\[
P'_{nc2} = \frac{X_{v2}}{14.696 \ln \left(\frac{P_2 - P_{vi2}}{P_2 - X_{v2} P_2}\right)}
\]

(D175)

\[
K_{G2} = \frac{(K_g \cdot P'_{nc})^2}{P'_{nc2}}
\]

(D176)

\[
\left(\frac{w_c}{S_i}\right)_2 = K_{G2} \left(\frac{X_{v2} P_2 - P_{vi2}}{14.696}\right)
\]

(D177)

\[
(q_g/S_i)_2 = h_{G2} \left(\frac{T_{g2} - T_{i2}}{\epsilon L_2}\right) + \left(\frac{w_c}{S_i}\right)_2 \left(h_{fgc2}^{\epsilon} + C_L (T_{i2} - T_{L2}) + \frac{V_{g2}^2 - V_{L2}^2}{2 g_o J}\right)
\]

(D178)

\[
(q_L/S_i)_2 = h_{L2} (T_{i2} - T_{L2})
\]

(D179)

\[
\Delta q_2 = (q_L/S_i)_2 - (q_g/S_g)_2
\]

(D180)

\[
T_{i21} = T_{i22}
\]

(D181)

\[
\Delta q_{21} = \Delta q_{22}
\]

(D182)

If \((E - 50.)\) go to D183, D183, D191

\[
\left|\frac{(T_{i2} - T_{i22})/T_{i2}}{-\epsilon_1}\right| < \text{D191, D184, D184}
\]

(D183)

\[
T_{i22} = T_{i2}
\]

(D184)

\[
\Delta q_{22} = \Delta q_2
\]

(D185)
\[ E = E + 1. \] (D186)

If \((E - 2)\) D187, D188, D188

\[ T_{i2} = T_{i22} - \Delta q_{22} / (2 \cdot h_{L2}) \] (D187)

go to D189

\[ T_{i2} = (T_{i22} - \Delta q_{21} - T_{i21} \Delta q_{22}) / (\Delta q_{21} - \Delta q_{22}) \] (D188)

If \((T_{g2} - T_{i2})\) D190, D190, D190

\[ T_{i2} = T_{g2} - 0.1 \] (D190)

go to D190

\[ U_2 = \left( \frac{q_L}{S_{i2}} \right) / \Delta T_2 \] (D191)

\[
(w_c / S_i)^1_{12} = \frac{(w_c / S_i)^1 - (w_c / S_i)^1_{12}}{\ln \left( \frac{(w_c / S_i)^1_{12}}{(w_c / S_i)^1_{i2}} \right)}
\] (D192)

\[
(S_i)^1_{12} = (w_c)^1_{12} / (w_c / S_i)
\] (D193)

\[
\bar{U} = \frac{Q_{12}}{(S_i)^1_{12} \Delta T_M}
\] (D194)

\[
L_{12} = \left[ \left( \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{D_{L2} + D_{L1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{D_{L2} - D_{L1}}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\] (D195)

\[
L_2 = L_1 + L_{12}
\] (D196)

\[
\omega_2 = (w_L + w_{c2}) / w_{g2}
\] (D197)

\[
\phi_2 = w_{v2} / w_{g2}
\] (D198)

bulk temperature ratio = \(T_{g2} / T_{L2}\) (D199)

phase velocity ratio = \(V_{g2} / V_{L2}\) (D200)

\[
M_2 = V_{g2} / C_{g2}
\] (D201)

\[
S_{i2} = S_{i1} + (S_i)^1_{12}
\] (D202)

\[
Q_2 = Q_1 + Q_{12}
\] (D203)
We have now finished calculations for state 2. If we satisfy the following tests, the calculation is complete; if not, we reset several variables to establish state 1 as the beginning of the next mixing section interval and recalculate state 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
& \text{If } (T_{g2} - T_{L2}) \text{ D233, D233, D204} \\
& \text{If } (w_{vo} - w_{c2}) \text{ D233, D233, D205} \\
& \text{If } (T_{g2} - T_{L2} - \epsilon) \text{ D233, D233, D206} \\
& h_{fgc1} = h_{fgc2} \\
& C_{pv1} = C_{pv2} \\
& C_{pnc1} = C_{pnc2} \\
& C_{pg1} = C_{pg2} \\
& p_1 = p_2 \\
& (w_{c^i/S_i})_1 = (w_{c^i/S_i})_2 \\
& w_{vl} = w_{v2} \\
& w_{cl} = w_{c2} \\
& w_{g1} = w_{g2} \\
& T_{L1} = T_{L2} \\
& T_{g1} = T_{g2} \\
& T_{g1}(R) = T_{g2}(R) \\
& T_{i1} = T_{i2} \\
& V_{g1} = V_{g2} \\
& V_{L1} = V_{L2} \\
& V'_{g1} = V'_{g2} \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[ V'_L_1 = V'_L_2 \]  
(D222)

\[ D_{L_1} = D_{L_2} \]  
(D223)

\[ L_1 = L_2 \]  
(D224)

\[ Q_1 = Q_2 \]  
(D225)

\[ (\text{Re})_{g_1} = (\text{Re})_{g_2} \]  
(D226)

\[ (\text{Re})_{r_{g_1}} = (\text{Re})_{r_{g_2}} \]  
(D227)

\[ A_{L_1} = A_{L_2} \]  
(D228)

\[ A_{g_1} = A_{g_2} \]  
(D229)

\[ S_{i_1} = S_{i_2} \]  
(D230)

\[ X_{v_1} = X_{v_2} \]  
(D231)

\[ \rho_{g_1} = \rho_{g_2} \]  
(D232)

go to D82

read in data for next case  
(D233)
APPENDIX E
DERIVATION OF SOME BASIC CONSERVATION EQUATIONS

Continuity Equations:

In our mixing section analyses we assume that two flows enter the ejector. The secondary or liquid stream, $w_L$, and the primary or gas stream, $w_g$, which may consist of a condensible vapor, $w_{vo}$, or a mixture of condensible vapor, $w_{vo}$, and a noncondensible gas, $w_{nc}$. The noncondensible flow rate, $w_{nc}$, remains constant throughout the mixing process, whereas the vapor flow rate generally decreases because of condensation. That portion of the vapor which condenses is defined as $w_c$. The total amount of condensed vapor at state 1 is defined as $w_{c1}$ and the vapor which condenses between any two states 1 and 2, as $(w_c)_{12}$.

Thus, at state 1 we define the liquid flow

$$w_{L1} = w_L + w_{c1} \tag{E1}$$

and the gas flow

$$w_{g1} = w_{v1} + w_{nc} \tag{E2}$$

At state 2 the liquid flow becomes

$$w_{L2} = w_{L1} + (w_c)_{12} \tag{E3}$$

and the gas flow

$$w_{g2} = w_{g1} - (w_c)_{12} \tag{E4}$$

Also, the total condensed vapor at state 2 is

$$w_{c2} = w_{c1} + (w_c)_{12} \tag{E5}$$

For the present mixing section analyses, multiple liquid jets may be assumed. In this case we distribute the liquid flow evenly between the jets and assume that condensation occurs equally on each jet at any given state. If we let $c_2$ equal the number of liquid jets, then the liquid jet diameter becomes at state 1.
\[ D_{L1} = \left( \frac{4.A_{L1}}{\pi c_2} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(E6)

where the total flow area for liquid, \( A_{L1} \) is

\[ A_{L1} = \frac{144.}{3600} \left( \frac{w_L}{\rho_L v_{L1}^2} + \frac{w_{c1}}{\rho_{c1} v_{L1}} \right) \]  

(E7)

The flow area for the gas mixture is

\[ A_{g1} = \frac{144.}{3600} \left( \frac{w_{g1}}{\rho_{g1} v_{g1}} \right) \]  

(E8)

and the diameter of the mixing section becomes

\[ D_{g1} = \left( \frac{4.\left(A_{g1} + A_{L1}\right)}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(E9)

Thus, for any given state in the mixing section, we define the total flow area as being

\[ A = A_L + A_g \]  

(E10)

Momentum Equations, Constant Pressure Mixing:

With reference to Figure 27a, the conservation of linear momentum for the liquid jet control volume yields

\[ 3600.w_L V_{L1} + \frac{F_1 + F_m + F_1'}{g_1} + p_1 A_{L1} = \frac{3600.w_{L2} V_{L2} + p_1 + p_2}{g_2} + \frac{F_1 + F_m}{g_2} + \frac{(A_{L1} - A_{L2})}{2} \]  

(E11)

where we assume a linear pressure variation in the mixing section and have neglected any angle effects on the force along the sides of the control volume.

From Figure 27b the conservation of linear momentum for the gas mixture control volume yields

\[ p_1 A_{g1} + \frac{3600.w_{g1} V_{g1}}{g_1} + \frac{p_1 + p_2}{g_1} (A_{L1} - A_{L2}) + p_2 A_{g2} + \frac{3600.w_{g2} V_{g2}}{g_2} + F + F_m + F' + F_1 + F_1' \]  

\[ (p_1 + p_2) (A_1 - A_2)/2 \]  

(E12)
Referring to Figure 28, the total relative velocity between the bulk gas mixture and the bulk liquid stream, $v_r$, is

$$V_r = V_g - V_L$$  (E13)

Also,

$$V_r = V'_g + V'_L$$  (E14)

where $V'_g$ is the relative velocity between the bulk gas mixture and the liquid jet surface, or phase interface, and $V'_L$ is the relative velocity between the phase interface and the bulk liquid jet. To calculate the relative velocities $V'_g$ and $V'_L$ at any state, we proceed as follows. From shear stress at the phase interface we get

$$\tau_g + \tau_m = \tau_L$$  (E15)

where $\tau_g$ is shear stress due to momentum exchange across the interface and $\tau_m$ and $\tau_L$ are shear stresses due to friction at the interface.

Since

$$\tau_m = \frac{3600 \left( \frac{w_c}{S_i} \right) V'_g}{g'}$$  (E16)

and from Reference 20

$$\tau_g = \frac{(f_i)_{g} \rho_g V'_g^2 3600}{2 \cdot g'}$$  (E17)

$$\tau_L = \frac{(f_i)_{L} \rho_L V'_L^2 3600}{2 \cdot g'}$$  (E18)

where the Fanning friction factors, $(f_i)_{g}$ and $(f_i)_{L}$, are calculated from equation E33 (streamline flow) and equation E35 (turbulent flow), and the Reynolds numbers are
\[(\text{Re})_{rg} = \frac{3600.}{12.} \left( \frac{\rho g V' D}{\mu g} \right) \quad (E19)\]

to calculate \((f_i)_{rg}\) and

\[(\text{Re})_{L} = \frac{3600.}{12.} \left( \frac{\rho_L V' L L_D}{\mu_L} \right) \quad (E20)\]

to calculate \((f_i)_{L}\), we may solve equations E15-E20 for \(V'_L\) with the result

\[V'_L = \left( \frac{(f_i)_{rg}}{(f_i)_{L}} \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_L} \right) \frac{V'^2}{g} + \frac{2 g' \tau_m}{(f_i)_{L} \rho_L 3600.2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (E21)\]

and from equation E14

\[V'_L = V - V' L g \quad (E22)\]

Having determined the relative velocities \(V'_L\) and \(V' g\), we may now calculate the following resultant shear forces from,

momentum exchange from the bulk gas mixture to the phase interface

\[F'_m = 3600. (w)_{12} \frac{V'}{g'} \quad (E23)\]

momentum exchange from the phase interface to the liquid jet

\[F'_m = 3600. (w)_{12} \frac{V' L}{g'} \quad (E24)\]

wall friction

\[F_w = \left( \frac{f_w \rho g V^2 3600.2}{2 g'} \right) (S_w)_{12} \quad (E25)\]

and phase interface friction

\[F_i = \left( \frac{f_i \rho g V'^2 3600.2}{2 g'} \right) (S_i)_{12} \quad (E26)\]

Equations E25 and E26 are approximations of the friction forces in the x-direction given by
where we have assumed steady, one-dimensional flow, and uniform pressure across sections 1 and 2. For purposes of the present calculations we also assume that the half-angles, $\beta$ and $\gamma$, are small. Thus, we may rewrite equations E27 and E28 as

$$F_w \approx \tau_w (S_{w12})$$  \hspace{1cm} (E29)

and

$$F_i \approx \tau_i (S_{i12})$$  \hspace{1cm} (E30)

In accordance with McAdams (Reference 20) we define the shear stress as

$$\tau = \frac{f \rho V^2}{2.3600^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E31)

and we estimate friction factors (Reference 20) as follows:

streamline flow: \hspace{0.5cm} (Re \leq 1000)

$$f_w = 16. / (\text{Re})_g$$  \hspace{1cm} (E32)

$$f_i = 16. / (\text{Re})_rg$$  \hspace{1cm} (E33)

turbulent flow: \hspace{0.5cm} (Re > 1000,)

$$f_w = 0.0014 + \left( \frac{0.125}{(\text{Re})_g} \right)^{0.32}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E34)

$$f_i = 0.0014 \div \left( \frac{0.125}{(\text{Re})_rg} \right)^{0.32}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E35)

The mixing section wall friction factor is based on the bulk gas mixture Reynolds number

$$\frac{\rho V g D e}{3600} \left( \frac{\text{Re}}{g} \right) = \frac{\mu g}{12 \mu g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E36)
In the constant pressure mixing section analyses for Analytical Models I and IIa, where from constant pressure

\[ P = P_1 = P_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (E37)

we can solve equation E11 for the liquid jet velocity

\[ V_{L2} = \frac{3600 \cdot w_{L1} V_{L1} + g' (F_m + F_i + F'_m)}{3600 \cdot w_{L2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E38)

and combining equations E10 and E12 we can solve for the bulk gas mixture velocity

\[ V_{g2} = \frac{3600 \cdot \frac{w}{g_1} V_{g1} - g' (F_m + F_i + F'_m)}{3600 \cdot \frac{w}{g_2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E39)

In the constant pressure mixing section analysis for Analytical Model IIb where we have simplified the relative velocity to the expression in equation E13, equation E38 becomes

\[ V_{L2} = \frac{3600 \cdot w_{L1} V_{L1} + g' (F_{m} + F_{i})}{3600 \cdot w_{L2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E40)

and the shear forces, \( F_m \) and \( F_i \), are evaluated as follows:

\[ F_m = 3600 \cdot (w_{c})_{12} \frac{V_{r}}{g'} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E41)

\[ F_i = \left( \frac{\bar{f}_i \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{V}{r}}{2 \cdot g'} \right) (S_{i})_{12} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E42)

where the average friction factor, \( \bar{f}_i \), is evaluated on the basis of the Reynolds number, \( (Re)_{rg} \), given by equation E36 and redefined as

\[ (Re)_{rg} = \frac{\rho \cdot \frac{V}{r} \cdot D_e}{12 \cdot \mu_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E43)
Momentum Equations, Constant Area Mixing:

In the mixing section analysis for Analytical Model III where we assume that the mixing section remains at constant area,

\[ A_1 = A_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (E44)

we solve equation E11 for the liquid jet velocity

\[ V_{L2} = \left[ g'(p_A - p_2 A_{L2}) + 3600 \cdot w_{L1} V_{L1} + g'(F_m + F_i + F') \right] - \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} \cdot \frac{(A_{L1} - A_{L2})g'}{3600 \cdot w_{L2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E45)

and the bulk gas mixture velocity from equation E11

\[ V_g = \frac{144}{3600} \cdot \left( \frac{w g}{\rho A g} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (E46)

and the total static pressure in the mixing section from equations E12 and E44

\[ p_2 = p_1 + \frac{3600 \cdot (w g) - w_{L2} V_{L2} - g'(F_m + F_i + F')} {g' \cdot \left( \frac{A_{L1} - A_{L2}}{2} + A \frac{g}{g} \right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (E47)

The resultant shear forces, \( F_m \), \( F_i \), \( F' \) and \( F \), are evaluated from equations E23, D24, E25 and E26 respectively.

Energy Equations:

The steady flow energy equation for the control volume represented by Figure 29 may be written as

\[ w g_1 \left( h + \frac{V^2}{2. g} \right) + w_{L1} \left( h_{L1} + \frac{V_{L1}^2}{2. g} \right) = w g_2 \left( h + \frac{V^2}{2. g} \right) + w_{L2} \left( h_{L2} + \frac{V_{L2}^2}{2. g} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (E48)
Since from equations E4 and E3,

\[ w_{g1} = w_{g2} + (w_c)_{12} \quad (E49) \]

and

\[ w_{L2} = w_{L1} + (w_c)_{12} \quad (E3) \]

by combining equations E48, E49, and E3 we rewrite the energy equation as

\[ w_{g2} \left( h_{g1} - h_{g2} + \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) + (w_c)_{12} \left( h_{v1} - h_{L2} + \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) + \]

\[ w_{L1} \left( h_{L1} - h_{L2} + \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) = 0. \quad (E50) \]

Assuming that

\[ h_{L1} - h_{L2} \simeq C_{pL} (T_{L1} - T_{L2}) + \frac{144}{\rho_{L} J} (p_1 - p_2) \quad (E51) \]

and substituting equation E51 into E50 and solving for \( T_{L2} \), our energy equation now becomes

\[ T_{L2} = T_{L1} + \frac{1}{w_{L1} C_{pL}} \left[ w_{g2} \left( h_{g1} - h_{g2} + \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) + (w_c)_{12} \left( h_{v1} - h_{L2} + \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) + w_{L1} \left( \frac{V^{2} - V^{2}}{2 \cdot g_o J} + \frac{144}{\rho_{L} J} (p_1 - p_2) \right) \right] \quad (E52) \]

Now for the mixing section interval in Figure 29 we define the following individual heat transfer rates.
(q\textsubscript{nc})\textsubscript{12}, the heat transfer rate from the noncondensable

\begin{equation}
(q\textsubscript{nc})\textsubscript{12} = w\textsubscript{nc} \left( C\textsubscript{pnc1} T\textsubscript{g1}(R) - C\textsubscript{pnc2} T\textsubscript{g2}(R) + \frac{V^2 - V_1^2}{2. g_0 J} \right) \tag{E53}
\end{equation}

(q\textsubscript{v})\textsubscript{12}, the heat transfer rate from the vapor which does not condense

\begin{equation}
(q\textsubscript{v})\textsubscript{12} = w\textsubscript{v2} \left( C\textsubscript{pv1} T\textsubscript{g1}(R) - C\textsubscript{pv2} T\textsubscript{g2}(R) + \frac{V^2 - V_1^2}{2. g_0 J} \right) \tag{E54}
\end{equation}

(q\textsubscript{c})\textsubscript{12}, the heat transfer rate from the vapor which condenses

\begin{equation}
(q\textsubscript{c})\textsubscript{12} = (w\textsubscript{c})\textsubscript{12} \left( \frac{(C\textsubscript{pv1} + C\textsubscript{pv2}) (T\textsubscript{g1} + T\textsubscript{g2} - T\textsubscript{i1} - T\textsubscript{i2}) + h\textsubscript{fg} (T\textsubscript{i1}) + h\textsubscript{fg} (T\textsubscript{i2})}{2.} \\
+ C\textsubscript{pL} \left( \frac{T\textsubscript{i1} + T\textsubscript{i2}}{2.} - T\textsubscript{L2} \right) + \frac{V^2 - V_1^2}{2. g_0 J} \right) \tag{E55}
\end{equation}

(q\textsubscript{L})\textsubscript{12}, the heat transfer rate from the liquid jet

\begin{equation}
(q\textsubscript{L})\textsubscript{12} = w\textsubscript{L1} \left( \frac{V\textsubscript{L1}^2 - V\textsubscript{L2}^2}{2. g_0 J} + \frac{144.}{\rho\textsubscript{L} J} (p\textsubscript{1} - p\textsubscript{2}) \right) \tag{E56}
\end{equation}

and the total heat transfer rate for the interval 1-2 as

\begin{equation}
Q\textsubscript{12} = (q\textsubscript{nc})\textsubscript{12} + (q\textsubscript{v})\textsubscript{12} + (q\textsubscript{c})\textsubscript{12} + (q\textsubscript{L})\textsubscript{12} \tag{E57}
\end{equation}

Substituting equation E57 into equation E52 yields

\begin{equation}
T\textsubscript{L2} = T\textsubscript{L1} + \frac{Q\textsubscript{12}}{w\textsubscript{L1} C\textsubscript{pL}} \tag{E58}
\end{equation}

For the constant pressure mixing section analyses, Analytical Models I, IIa, and IIb the pressure term in equation E56 drops out to yield
\[(q_L)_{12} = w_{L1} \left( \frac{V_{L1}^2 - V_{L2}^2}{2 \cdot g_o J} \right) \]  

(E59)

For Analytical Model I where \( w_{nc} = 0 \), equation E53 is deleted and equation E57 becomes

\[ Q_{12} = (q_v)_{12} + (q_c)_{12} + (q_L)_{12} \]  

(E60)
APPENDIX F

SOURCES OF DATA AND CORRELATIONS

Sources of data for property calculations and of correlations for heat and mass transfer calculations used in the mixing section analyses are given below.

Property Calculation Parameters:

A. Water Vapor

1. Lennard-Jones force constants
   a. molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_v$, 356 K
   b. molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_v$, 2.649 Å
      Table 8-2, p. 270, Reference 24.
   c. measure of molecular polarity, $\tau*$, 1.2
      Table 3.10-1, p. 214, Reference 23.

2. Sutherland constant, $S'_v$, 559.74 K
   equation 7-19, Reference 24.

B. Carbon Dioxide Gas

1. Lennard-Jones force constants
   a. molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_{nc}$, 213. K
   b. molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_{nc}$, 3.996 Å
      Table I-A, p. 111, Reference 23.
   c. molecular polarizability, $\alpha$, 0.265 x $10^{-23}$
      Table 13.2 - 3, p. 950, Reference 23.

2. Sutherland constant, $S'_{nc}$, 233. K
   equation 7-19, Reference 24 (revised to agree with available viscosity data).
Fanning Friction Factors:

Mixing section wall and phase interface friction are both estimated from correlations for fluid friction in pipes based on isothermal fluid flow.

A. Streamline flow, $Re \leq 1000$.

\[ f = \frac{16}{Re} \]  
\[ \text{equation 3.46, Reference 19} \]
\[ \text{equation 6-5a, Reference 20} \]

B. Turbulent flow, $Re \gg 1000$. (Smooth wall)

\[ f = 0.0014 + \frac{0.125}{Re^{0.32}} \]  
\[ \text{equation 3.47e, Reference 19} \]
\[ \text{equation 6.8, Reference 20} \]

For purposes of the mixing section calculations, a smooth transition from streamline to turbulent flow was assumed at $Re = 1000$.

Heat Transfer Correlations:

A. Annular gas stream

1. Streamline flow, $(Re)_{rg} \leq 9000$.

\[ h_G = 1.86 \left( \frac{12 k}{D_e} \right) (Re)^{1/3} (Pr)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_{gi}} \right)^{0.14} \]  
\[ \text{equation 6.1, Reference 19} \]
\[ \text{equation 9-28a, Reference 20} \]

2. Turbulent flow $(Re)_{rg} \gg 9000$.

\[ h_G = 0.027 \left( \frac{12 k}{D_e} \right) (Re)^{0.8} (Pr)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_{gi}} \right)^{0.14} \]  
\[ \text{equation 9-28a, Reference 20} \]
B. Liquid Jet

1. Streamline flow, \((\text{Re})_L \leq 12,500\).

\[
h_{L} = 1.86 \left( \frac{12. k_{L}}{D_L} \right)^{1/3} (\text{Re})_{L}^{1/3} (\text{Pr})_{L}^{1/3} \left( \frac{\mu_{L}}{\mu_c} \right)^{0.14}
\]

(F6)

equation 6.1, Reference 19

equation 9-28a, Reference 20

2. Turbulent flow, \((\text{Re})_L > 12,500\).

\[
h_{L} = 0.023 \left( \frac{12. k_{L}}{D_L} \right) (\text{Re})_{L}^{0.8} (\text{Pr})^{0.4}
\]

(F7)

equation 9-10a, Reference 20

It has been assumed for these calculations that the heat transfer coefficients, \(h_G\) and \(h_L\), for streamline flow are independent of the term \(L/D\) appearing in the correlations given by the references. Also, to suit the calculations, the transition points from streamline to turbulent flow are taken as \((\text{Re})_{rg} = 9000\). for the gas stream and \((\text{Re})_L = 12,500\). for the liquid jet.

Mass Transfer Correlation:

\[
(K_G \cdot P'_{nc}) = h_G \left( \frac{\rho g D_1}{k_g} \right) \left( \frac{(\text{Sc})_g}{(\text{Pr})_g} \right)^{1/3}
\]

(F8)

equation 13.29, Reference 19.
Several Fortran subroutines used in the computer calculations and which appear in the computational procedures of Appendices B, C and D are described briefly below.

**SATP:**

*Description* - steam and water property calculation to determine saturation pressure corresponding to a given temperature.

**Input** - temperature, $T_F$

**Output** - pressure, $p$ psia

**Limits** - pressure is given by equations 11 and 12 of the reference.


**SATT:**

*Description* - steam and water property calculation to determine saturation temperature corresponding to a given pressure.

**Input** - pressure, $p$ psia
estimated temperature, $T_F$

**Output** - temperature, $T_{sat}$ F

**Limits** - trial and error calculation with pressure given by equations 11 and 12 of the reference. Specified accuracy for solution derived from two consecutive calculations is $\frac{P_2-P_1}{P_1} < 0.0001$.


**VAPTP:**

*Description* - steam and water property calculation to determine enthalpy, entropy, and specific volume of vapor at a given temperature and pressure.
Input - temperature, $T$  
pressure, $p$  
Output - enthalpy, $h_g$  
entropy, $s_g$  
specific volume, $v_g$

Output - enthalpy, $h_f$  
entropy, $s_f$  
specific volume, $v_f$

Limits - specific volume, enthalpy, and entropy are given by equations 13, 14 and 16A of the reference.

Reference - Reference 21.

SATLQ:
Description - steam and water property calculation to determine enthalpy, entropy, and specific volume of saturated liquid at a given temperature.

Input - temperature, $T$  
pressure, $p$  
Output - enthalpy, $h_f$  
entropy, $s_f$  
specific volume, $v_f$

Limits - enthalpy and entropy are polynomial approximations based on the tabulated values of the reference between the temperature limits 50-350 F. Specific volume is given by equation 18 of the reference.

Reference - Reference 21.

SATHFG:
Description - steam and water property calculation to determine saturated vapor enthalpy and saturated liquid enthalpy at a given saturated temperature and pressure condition.

Input - temperature, $T$  
pressure, $p$  
Output - vapor enthalpy, $h_g$  
liquid enthalpy, $h_f$
Limits - liquid enthalpy is a polynomial approximation based on the tabular values of the reference between the temperature limits 50-350 F. Vapor enthalpy is given by equation 14 of the reference.

Reference - Reference 21.

**SATLQV:**

Description - steam and water property calculation to determine specific volume of saturated liquid at a given temperature.

Input - temperature, $T$ F

Output - specific volume, $v_f$ ft$^3$/lbm

Limits - specific volume is given by equation 18 of the reference.

Reference - Reference 21.

**SUPHT1:**

Description - steam and water property calculation to determine a superheated vapor temperature at a given pressure and vapor entropy.

Input - temperature, $T$ (estimated) F

pressure, $p$ psia

entropy, $s_g$ Btu/lbm-F

Output - temperature, $T$ F

Limits - trial and error calculation with entropy given by equation 16A of reference. Specified accuracy for solution derived from two consecutive calculation is $\frac{s_2-s_1}{s_1} < 0.0001$.

Reference - Reference 21.

**SUPHT2:**

Description - steam and water property calculation to determine a superheated vapor temperature at a given pressure and vapor enthalpy.
Input - temperature, T (estimated) F
pressure, p psia
enthalpy, h Btu/lbm
Output - temperature, T F

Limits - trial and error calculation with enthalpy given by equation 14 of reference. Specified accuracy for solution derived from two consecutive calculations is \( \frac{h_2-h_1}{h_1} < 0.001 \).

Reference - Reference 21.

**VISLIQ:**

Description - calculation to determine viscosity of water at a given temperature.

Input - temperature, T F
Output, viscosity, \( \mu_L \) lbm/hr-ft

Limits - tabular values from 52 to 212 F and equation are given on p. 374 of reference.

Reference - Reference 22.

**VAP:**

Description - calculation to determine density viscosity, ratio of specific heats, and specific heat at constant pressure of water vapor at a given temperature and pressure.

Input - temperature, T F
temperature, T K
pressure, p psia
molecular potential well depth, \( (\epsilon/k)_v \) K
molecular weight, \( W_v \) lbm/lbm-mole
molecular collision diameter, \( \sigma_v \) Å
universal gas constant, \( R' \) Btu/lbm-mole-R
Output - density, $\rho_g$  \(\text{lbm/ft}^3\)
viscosity, $\mu_g$ \(\text{lbm/hr-ft}\)
ratio of specific heats, $K_g$
specific heat at constant pressure, $C_{pg}$ \(\text{Btu/lbm-R}\)

Limits - specific volume is given by equation 13 of Reference 21.
Specific heat at constant pressure is given by Table 170, p. 220 of Reference 22 for the temperature range 300-2500 K. Viscosity is given by equation 8.2-18 of Reference 23. Collision integrals are approximated from Table I-M of Reference 23.

References - specific volume, Reference 21
specific heat at constant pressure, Reference 22
viscosity, Reference 23.

GASP:

Description - calculation to determine specific heat at constant pressure and ratio of specific heats of a carbon dioxide gas and water vapor mixture at a given temperature and known flow rates.

Input - temperature, $T$  \(\text{K}\)
noncondensable flow rate, $w_{nc}$ \(\text{lbm/hr}\)
condensable flow rate, $w_v$ \(\text{lbm/hr}\)
noncondensable molecular weight, $W_{nc}$ \(\text{lbm/lbm-mole}\)
condensable molecular weight, $W_v$ \(\text{lbm/lbm-mole}\)
universal gas constant, $R'$ \(\text{Btu/lbm-mole-R}\)

Output - specific heat at constant pressure of the gas mixture, $C_{pg}$ \(\text{Btu/lbm-R}\)
ratio of specific heats of the gas mixture, $K_g$

Limits - specific heat at constant pressure of carbon dioxide gas and water vapor given by Table 170, p. 220 of the reference. Temperature range for gas is 273-1200 K, and for the vapor is 300-2500 K.

Reference - Reference 22.
GASP1:

**Description** - gas and vapor mixture property calculation to determine gas mixture molecular collision diameter, binary diffusion coefficient, condensable viscosity, noncondensable viscosity, and gas mixture viscosity for a given temperature and condensable mole fraction.

**Input** -
- temperature, $T$ K
- noncondensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_{nc}$ Å
- condensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_v$ Å
- property calculation parameter, $\alpha$
- property calculation parameter, $t^*$
- condensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_v$ K
- noncondensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_{nc}$ K
- noncondensable molecular weight, $W_{nc}$ lbm/lbm-mole
- condensable molecular weight, $W_v$ lbm/lbm-mole
- pressure, $p$ psia
- condensable mole fraction, $X_v$

**Output** -
- gas mixture molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_g$ Å
- binary diffusion coefficient, $D'$ ft$^2$/hr
- condensable viscosity, $\mu_v$ lbm/hr-ft
- noncondensable viscosity, $\mu_{nc}$ lbm/hr-ft
- gas mixture viscosity, $\mu_g$ lbm/hr-ft

**Limits** - individual gas mixture component viscosities are given by equation 8.2-18 of Reference 23. Gas mixture viscosity is given by equation 8.2-30 of Reference 23. The binary diffusion coefficient is given by equation 8.2-44 of Reference 23. Molecular collision diameter and molecular potential well depth of the mixture are given by equations 8.6-3, 8.6-4 and 8.6-5 of Reference 23. Collision integrals are approximated from Table I-M of Reference 23.

**Reference** - Reference 23.
GASP2:

Description - carbon dioxide gas and water vapor mixture property calculation to determine density, thermal conductivity and specific heat at constant pressure for the gas mixture, noncondensable specific heat at constant pressure, and condensable specific heat at constant pressure for a given temperature, pressure, and condensable mole fraction.

Input - pressure, $p$ (psia)
condensable mole fraction, $X_v$
noncondensable molecular weight, $W_{nc}$ (lbm/lbm-mole)
condensable molecular weight, $W_v$ (lbm/lbm-mole)
universal gas constant, $R'$ (Btu/lbm-mole-R)
temperature, $T$ (R)
temperature, $T$ (K)
noncondensable viscosity, $\mu_{nc}$ (lbm/hr-ft)
condensable viscosity, $\mu_v$ (lbm/hr-ft)
noncondensable Sutherland constant, $S_{nc}'$ (K)
condensable Sutherland constant, $S_v'$ (K)
gas mixture molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_g$ (Å)

Output - gas mixture density, $\rho_g$ (lbm/ft$^3$)
gas mixture thermal conductivity, $k_g$ (Btu/hr-ft-F)
gas mixture specific heat at constant pressure, $C_{pg}$ (Btu/lbm-R)
noncondensable specific heat at constant pressure, $C_{pnc}$ (Btu/lbm-R)
condensable specific heat at constant pressure, $C_{pv}$ (Btu/lbm-R)

Limits - specific heat at constant pressure of carbon dioxide gas and water vapor given by Table 170, p. 220 of Reference 23. Temperature range for gas is 273-1200 K, and for the vapor is 300-2500 K. Thermal conductivity of binary mixture is given by equation 7-17 of Reference 24.

References - specific heat at constant pressure, Reference 23.
gas mixture thermal conductivity, Reference 24.
GASP3:

Description - gas and vapor mixture property calculation to determine viscosity of mixture at a given temperature and condensable mole fraction.

Input -
- temperature, T K
- noncondensable molecular collision diameter, \( \sigma_{nc} \) Å
- condensable molecular collision diameter, \( \sigma_v \) Å
- property calculation parameter, \( \alpha \)
- property calculation parameter, \(-t^*\)
- condensable molecular potential well depth, \( (\epsilon/k)_v \) K
- noncondensable molecular potential well depth, \( (\epsilon/k)_{nc} \) K
- noncondensable molecular weight, \( W_{nc} \) lbm/lbm-mole
- condensable molecular weight, \( W_v \) lbm/lbm-mole
- total static pressure, \( p \) psia
- partial pressure of vapor, \( p_v \) psia

Output - gas mixture viscosity, \( \mu_g \) lbm/hr-ft

Limits - individual gas mixture component viscosities are given by equation 8.2-18 of Reference 23. Gas mixture viscosity is given by equation 8.2-30 of Reference 23. The binary diffusion coefficient is given by equation 8.2-44 of Reference 23. Molecular collision diameter and molecular potential well depth of the mixture are given by equations 8.6-3 8.6-4 and 8.6-5 of Reference 23. Collision integrals are approximated from Table I-M of Reference 23.

Reference - Reference 23.

GASP4:

Description - Calculation to determine viscosity of vapor at a given temperature.
Input - temperature, $T$ \hspace{1cm} K
condensable molecular collision diameter, $\sigma_v$ \hspace{1cm} Å
condensable molecular potential well depth, $(\epsilon/k)_v$ \hspace{1cm} K
condensable molecular weight, $W_v$ \hspace{1cm} lbm/lbm-mole

Output - viscosity, $\mu_v$ \hspace{1cm} lbm/hr-ft

Limits - viscosity is given by equation 8.2-18 of Reference 23. Collision integrals are approximated from Table I-M of Reference 23.

Reference - Reference 23.

FORCE:

Description - calculation to determine phase interface resultant friction force and mixing section wall resultant friction force for a given mixing section and liquid jet geometry and known Reynolds numbers.

Input - average liquid jet diameter, $D_L$ \hspace{1cm} in.
average mixing section diameter, $D_g$ \hspace{1cm} in.
mixing section length, $L_{12}$ \hspace{1cm} in.
+ average bulk liquid velocity, $\bar{V}_L$ \hspace{1cm} ft/sec
average bulk gas velocity, $\bar{V}_g$ \hspace{1cm} ft/sec
+ average relative velocity, $\bar{V}'_g$ \hspace{1cm} ft/sec
liquid jet surface area, $(S_L)_{12}$ \hspace{1cm} ft$^2$
bulk gas Reynolds number at state 1, $(Re)_g^1$
bulk gas Reynolds number at state 2, $(Re)_g^2$

+ For mixing section Analytical Models I, IIa and III the phase interface friction shear stress is based on the relative velocity, $\bar{V}'_g$, and for Analytical Model IIb it is based on the velocity $(\bar{V}_g - \bar{V}_L)$. 
Reynolds number of annular gas stream at state 1, \((\text{Re})_{r g 1}\)

Reynolds number of annular gas stream at state 2, \((\text{Re})_{r g 2}\)

gas density at state 1, \(\rho_{g 1}\) \(\text{lbm/ft}^3\)

gas density at state 2, \(\rho_{g 2}\) \(\text{lbm/ft}^3\)

Output - phase interface resultant friction force, \(F_i\) \(\text{lbf}\)

mixing section wall resultant friction force, \(F_w\) \(\text{lbf}\)

References - friction factors, \(f_i\) and \(f_w\), from equations E32-E35.

Shear stress from equation E31. Shear forces from equations E29 and E30.
FIGURE 1 - SCHEMATIC OF CONDENSING EJECTOR
Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( w_L )</td>
<td>323. lbm/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{vo} )</td>
<td>13.0 lbm/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_{nc} )</td>
<td>2.52 lbm/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{go} )</td>
<td>340. F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{Lo} )</td>
<td>40. F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{go} )</td>
<td>94.5 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{Lo} )</td>
<td>325. psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_o )</td>
<td>0.1 to 100.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{L1} )</td>
<td>0.147 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_1 )</td>
<td>0.651 in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph:

**FIGURE 2 - LIQUID JET BREAKUP LENGTH VERSUS STATIC PRESSURE AT MIXING SECTION INLET - NONCONDENSABLE CASE**

\( p_1 = 90. \text{ psia from test results of RUN NO. 1} \)
\( w_L = 358. \text{ lbm/min} \)
\( w_vo = 23.5 \text{ lbm/min} \)
\( T_{go} = 283. \text{ F} \)
\( T_{Lo} = 40. \text{ F} \)
\( p_{go} = 36. \text{ psia} \)
\( p_{Lo} = 315. \text{ psia} \)
\( \omega_o = 0.1 \text{ to 100.} \)
\( r_{L1} = 0.147 \text{ in} \)
\( t_1 = 0.651 \text{ in} \)

\( p_1 = 30 \text{ psia from test results of RUN NO. 2} \)

**FIGURE 3** - LIQUID JET BREAKUP LENGTH VERSUS STATIC PRESSURE AT MIXING SECTION INLET - CONDENSABLE CASE
FIGURE 4 - Ratio of Final Mean Drop Radius to Initial Jet Radius versus Mass Flow Rate Ratio - Noncondensable Case

\[ \omega = 20.81 \] from test results of RUN NO. 1

- \( w_L = 323 \text{ lbm/min} \)
- \( w_{vo} = 13.0 \text{ lbm/min} \)
- \( w_{nc} = 2.52 \text{ lbm/min} \)
- \( T_{go} = 340 \text{ °F} \)
- \( T_{Lo} = 40 \text{ °F} \)
- \( p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \)
- \( p_{Lo} = 325 \text{ psia} \)
- \( p_1 = 90 \text{ psia} \)
- \( r_{L1} = 0.147 \text{ in} \)
- \( t_1 = 0.651 \text{ in} \)

Mass Flow Rate Ratio, \( \omega_o = \left( \frac{w_L}{w_{go}} \right) \)
FIGURE 5 - Ratio of Final Mean Drop Radius to Initial Jet Radius versus Mass Flow Rate Ratio - Condensable Case.

\[ \frac{r_{L1}}{r_{L10}} = \frac{w}{w_{go}} \]

\( w_L = 358 \text{ lbm/min} \)
\( w_{go} = 23.5 \text{ lbm/min} \)
\( T_{go} = 283 \text{ F} \)
\( P_{go} = 36 \text{ psia} \)
\( T_{Lo} = 40 \text{ F} \)
\( P_{Lo} = 315 \text{ psia} \)
\( P_1 = 30 \text{ psia} \)
\( r_{L1} = 0.147 \text{ in} \)
\( t_1 = 0.651 \text{ in} \)

\( \omega = 15.23 \text{ from test results of RUN NO. 2} \)

Limit

(eqn. A10)

(eqn. A11)

(eqn. A9)
FIGURE 6 - Volumetric Flow Rate Ratio of Liquid Phase to Gas Phase versus Ratio of Final Mean Drop Radius to Initial Jet Radius for a Condensable and Noncondensable Case
FIGURE 7 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION TEMPERATURES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL I
\[ p_{go} = 36.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 283.0 \text{ F} \quad \omega_0 = 15.44 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 315.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_0 = 1.0 \]
\[ D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad p_1 = 30.0 \text{ psia} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

**FIGURE 8 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION VELOCITIES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL I**

- Bulk gas mixture velocity, \( V_g \)
- Relative velocity between bulk gas and liquid jet interface, \( V''_g \)
- Bulk liquid velocity, \( V_L \)
- Relative velocity between bulk liquid and liquid jet interface, \( V''_L \)

Distance from Mixing Section Inlet Plane, \( L \) (in)
Constant pressure mixing, no noncondensable

\[ \begin{align*}
    p_{go} &= 36.0 \text{ psia} \\
    p_{Lo} &= 315.0 \text{ psia} \\
    p_I &= 30.0 \text{ psia} \\
    D_{L1} &= 0.295 \text{ in} \\
    T_{go} &= 283.0 \text{ F} \\
    T_{Lo} &= 40.0 \text{ F} \\
    \omega_o &= 15.44 \\
    \phi_o &= 1.0 \\
    \text{No. of Jets} &= 1.0
\end{align*} \]

FIGURE 9 - VARIOUS REYNOLDS NUMBERS AND HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL I
\[ p_{go} = 36.0 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \omega_o = 15.44 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 315.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 283.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_o = 1.0 \]
\[ p_{1} = 30.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

Constant pressure mixing, no noncondensable

**FIGURE 10** - LIQUID JET AND MIXING SECTION DIAMETERS VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL I
Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_{go}$</td>
<td>94.5 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{Lo}$</td>
<td>325.0 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>90.0 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_L$</td>
<td>0.295 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>0.295 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_g$</td>
<td>340.0 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>40.0 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_o$</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>21.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Jets</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 11 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION TEMPERATURES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIa**
Constant Pressure Mixing, with Noncondensable

\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_o = 21.24 \]

\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_o = 0.838 \]

\[ p_{L} = 90.0 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.7 \]

FIGURE 12 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION VELOCITIES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIa
Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensible

\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \omega_o = 21.24 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_0 = 0.838 \]
\[ p_i = 90.0 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

FIGURE 13 - VARIOUS REYNOLDS NUMBERS, HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS, AND MASS TRANSFER COEFFICIENT VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIa
**Figure 14** - Liquid Jet and Mixing Section diameters versus distance from mixing section inlet plane - Model IIa
\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \omega = 21.24 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi = 0.838 \]
\[ p_1 = 90.0 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensable gases.

**FIGURE 15 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION TEMPERATURES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIb**
Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensable

\[ \begin{align*}
 P_{g_0} &= 94.5 \text{ psia} & T_{g_0} &= 340.0 \text{ F} & \omega_o &= 21.24 \\
 P_{L_0} &= 325.0 \text{ psia} & T_{L_0} &= 40.0 \text{ F} & \phi_o &= 0.838 \\
 P_1 &= 90.0 \text{ psia} & D_{L1} &= 0.295 \text{ in} & \text{No. of Jets} &= 1.0
\end{align*} \]

FIGURE 16 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION VELOCITIES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIb
\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \omega_o = 21.24 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_o = 0.838 \]
\[ p_1 = 90.0 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensible

**FIGURE 17** - VARIOUS REYNOLDS NUMBERS, HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS, AND MASS TRANSFER COEFFICIENT VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIb
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Given Values:} & \\
\text{p}_{\text{go}} &= 94.5 \text{ psia} \\
\text{T}_{\text{go}} &= 340.0 \text{ F} \\
\omega_o &= 21.24 \\
\text{p}_{\text{Lo}} &= 325.0 \text{ psia} \\
\text{T}_{\text{Lo}} &= 40.0 \text{ F} \\
\phi_o &= 0.838 \\
\text{p}_1 &= 90.0 \text{ psia} \\
\text{D}_{L1} &= 0.295 \text{ in} \\
\text{No. of Jets} &= 1.0
\end{align*}
\]

Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensable

Distance from Mixing Section Inlet Plane, L(in)

Mixing section diameter, \( D_g \)

Liquid jet diameter, \( D_L \)

**FIGURE 18 - LIQUID JET AND MIXING SECTION DIAMETERS VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL IIb**
$p_{go} = 94.5$ psia  \hspace{1cm} T_{go} = 340.0$ F  \hspace{1cm} \omega_o = 21.25$

$p_{Lo} = 325.0$ psia  \hspace{1cm} T_{Lo} = 40.0$ F  \hspace{1cm} \phi_o = 0.838$

$p_1 = 89.7$ psia  \hspace{1cm} D_{L1} = 0.295$ in  \hspace{1cm} No. of Jets = 1.0

**FIGURE 19** - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION TEMPERATURES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL III
$p_{go} = 94.5$ psia, $T_{go} = 340.0$ $F$, $\omega_0 = 21.25$

$p_{Lo} = 325.0$ psia, $T_{Lo} = 40.0$ $F$, $\phi_0 = 0.238$

$p_i = 89.7$ psia, $D_{L1} = 0.295$ in, No. of Jets = 1.0

Constant area mixing, with noncondensible

**FIGURE 20 - VARIOUS MIXING SECTION VELOCITIES VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL III**
\begin{align*}
\rho_{Go} &= 94.5 \text{ psia} \\
\rho_{Lo} &= 325.0 \text{ psia} \\
\rho_{L} &= 89.7 \text{ psia} \\
T_{Go} &= 340.0 \text{ F} \\
T_{Lo} &= 40.0 \text{ F} \\
T_{L} &= 0.295 \text{ in} \\
\phi_{o} &= 0.838 \\
\text{No. of Jets} &= 1.0
\end{align*}

Constant area mixing, with noncondensable

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure21.png}
\caption{Various Reynolds Numbers, Heat Transfer Coefficients, and Mass Transfer Coefficient Versus Distance from Mixing Section Inlet Plane - Model III}
\end{figure}
\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_{o} = 21.25 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_{o} = 0.838 \]
\[ p_{1} = 89.7 \text{ psia} \quad D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \quad \text{No. of Jets} = 1.0 \]

FIGURE 22 - LIQUID JET DIAMETER, MIXING SECTION DIAMETER, AND TOTAL STATIC PRESSURE VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE - MODEL III
\[ P_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \]
\[ T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \]
\[ \phi_o = 0.838 \]
\[ p_1 = 90.0 \text{ psia} \]
\[ D_{L1} = 0.295 \text{ in} \]
\[ T_{go} = 500.0 \text{ F} \]
\[ \omega_o = 21.24 \]

Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensible

**FIGURE 23 - PERCENT OF VAPOR CONDENSED VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE FOR VARIOUS INLET MACH NUMBERS - MODEL IIa**
Constant pressure mixing, with noncondensable

inlet flow rate ratio of vapor to total gas mixture, \( \phi_o \)

Distance from Mixing Section Inlet Plane, \( L \text{(in)} \)

FIGURE 24 - PERCENT OF VAPOR CONDENSED AND MIXING SECTION DIAMETER VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE FOR VARIOUS INLET FLOW RATE RATIOS OF VAPOR TO TOTAL GAS MIXTURE - MODEL IIa
\[ p_{go} = 94.5 \text{ psia} \quad T_{go} = 340.0 \text{ F} \quad \omega_0 = 21.24 \]
\[ p_{Lo} = 325.0 \text{ psia} \quad T_{Lo} = 40.0 \text{ F} \quad \phi_0 = 0.838 \]

**FIGURE 25 - PERCENT OF VAPOUR CONDENSED VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE FOR VARIOUS NUMBERS OF LIQUID JETS - MODEL IIa**
Input Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Figures 7-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>Figures 11-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>Figures 15-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Figures 19-22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Jets = 1.0

FIGURE 26 - PERCENT OF VAPOR CONDENSED VERSUS DISTANCE FROM MIXING SECTION INLET PLANE FOR VARIOUS ANALYTICAL MODELS
resultant phase interface pressure force $= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} (A_{L_{L1}} - A_{L_{L2}})$

resultant phase interface friction and momentum forces $= F_i + F_m + F'_{m}$

$w_{L1}V_{L1}$

$P_1^A_{L1}$

$D_L$

$w_{L2}V_{L2}$

$P_2^A_{L2}$

state 1

state 2

FIGURE 27a - LIQUID JET CONTROL VOLUME

resultant wall pressure force $= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} (A_{1} - A_{2})$

resultant wall friction force $= F_w$

$w_{L1}V_{L1}$

$P_1^A_{g1}$

$P_2^A_{g2}$

$D_s$

$D_L$

state 1

state 2

FIGURE 27b - GAS MIXTURE CONTROL VOLUME

resultant phase interface pressure force $= \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} (A_{L_{L1}} - A_{L_{L2}})$

resultant phase interface friction and momentum forces $= F_i + F_m$
FIGURE 28 - ASSUMED VELOCITY PROFILE IN MIXING SECTION
FIGURE 28 - ASSUMED VELOCITY PROFILE IN MIXING SECTION
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