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SUMMARY

This report summarizes the flight test results of the Phase III four-bladed rotor testing on the XR-51A "rigid rotor" helicopter. The object of Phase III was to evaluate the four-blade rotor in comparison with the three-blade rotor. Ground tests commenced on 13 July 1964 with the first flight on 21 July 1964. The phase was terminated on 3 October 1964 after 49 flights and 14.9 flight hours.

Results and Discussion

General

Two problems were apparent as a result of early flights, blade stresses and vibration level - both were high. At this stage, the transmission was in soft suspension in pitch only with a spring rate of 14,400 pounds/inch; vertically and laterally the mount was solid. The fuselage vibration problem was dominantly 4P; it was considered that this resulted from the second and third harmonics of blade natural flapping frequency which were close to 3P and 5P. The 3P and 5P rotating inputs resulted in a 4P shaft oscillation which was transmitted to the fuselage. The fuselage responds rather readily to frequencies in this range. The transmission suspension was varied incrementally to tune it to a point of minimum transmissability; this approach had not been completed at the close of Phase III. Additionally, experimental moves were made towards cutting down the input by reducing the blade natural flapping frequencies. The configuration at the termination of the phase, resulting from these two approaches, incorporated a 13-pound weight at station 6.0 on each of the four blades and the transmission soft mounted in pitch, roll, and vertically. Blade stresses were reduced to within the infinite life level and vibration, although unacceptable from a production viewpoint, was also considerably reduced.

Apart from the vibration level, no flying qualities problems were encountered. A marked increase in the Phase III flight envelope was achieved, 2.5 g being demonstrated from 0 to 120 knots CAS and a speed of 180 knots IAS flown in a shallow dive.

Phase III employed four blades of the same design used on the three-blade rotor, the hub blade attachment geometry was modified compared with that employed in the Phase II flying. The only significant problem apparent at the close of Phase III was fuselage vibration, none of the stability problems encountered with the three-blade rotor existed and stress levels, subject to fatigue test confirmation, indicate infinite life for the hub. With the reduction of the vibration level to within normal limits, a substantial speed envelope expansion should be possible. The phase is considered a considerable success in that a marked increase in
flight envelope was demonstrated, no stability problems existed, and no problems considered insurmountable were encountered. The stability characteristics confirmed the advisability of the choice of the four-blade rotor for the compound configuration.

**Configuration**

Four-blade rotor - The blade design was that employed during Phase II, the three-blade rotor; however, the blade hub attachment was modified. The cone angle built into the hub was increased from 2.8 degrees to 3.2 degrees.

- Main rotor gearbox suspension varied as described.
- Tailplane angle of incidence -54° through test 271 and -30° thereafter.
- Blade weights 13 pounds each, fitted to each main rotor blade at station 6.0 for test 352 and remained on thereafter.
- Four-arm gyro 7.3 slug ft².
- Arm incidence 30 degrees.

**Structures**

Structural loads recorded during the program included main rotor hub and blades, gyro control arms, main rotor pitch link, tail rotor and tailplane loads. An incremental approach was employed during the tests, flight records being examined prior to further envelope expansion.

Figures 1 and 2 compare Phase II and III flight envelopes. At a C.G. of 1.5" forward, the envelope is substantially 2.5 to 0.2 g up to 120 knots CAS with reducing normal acceleration cut to 165 knots CAS. The aft envelope was opened up in only three or four flights and no specific attempt was made to exceed the Phase II envelope. While it is felt that there would be little point in extending the hover beyond the 0.15 to 2.7 g demonstrated, no structural, performance, or stability limits were encountered in forward flight.

**Rotor Stresses**

A review of all structural data indicates that hub station 7.0 is the critical fatigue section of the rotor. It consists of three steel laminations bonded and bolted together. Assuming a stress concentration of 3, the endurance limit stress is 26,000 psi. The strain calibrations were affected in terms of bending moment rather than stress because the bending moment curve along the span of hub and blade is predictable. The conversion of bending moment to stress at station 7.0 is as follows:
Flapwise bending

\[ \text{Station 6.0 moment } \times 1.42 = \text{ station 7.0 stress} \]

Chordwise bending

\[ \text{Station 6.0 moment } \times 1.152 = \text{ station 7.0 stress} \]

Figure 3 shows that during the initial flights, the chordwise bending stress was 40 percent lower than that in the three-blade, but the flapping stress was up by 80 to 90 percent. At this stage, the vibration was very high and a series of changes in transmission suspension springs was initiated to reduce both vibration and stress. The pitch spring rate was varied first; the range covered was 6,400 pounds/inch to solid while both vertical and lateral remained solid. From the structural loads and vibration results 11,000 pounds/inch was selected as the pitch spring rate to be held constant during vertical spring variations. The vertical range covered was from solid down to 4,000 pounds/inch and 6,000 pounds/inch was selected from the results.

An analysis of the flapwise bending at station 6.0 during forward flight and flare showed considerable 3P and 5P content superimposed on the 1P. Note, 1P is main rotor rotational frequency, normally 5.9P cps (100T), 3P is 3 times rotor frequency, etc. The second and third harmonic blade natural flap bending frequencies were slightly below the 3P and 5P forcing frequencies. Tests conducted at 95 percent, 100 percent, and 105 percent illustrated the diminishing response of the blade as the forcing frequency was increased and separated from the natural. The 3P and 5P bending moments were reduced by approximately 45 and 55 percent respectively. The reduction of the blade natural frequencies had a similar effect; at 100 knots, the 3P bending moment was reduced from 4,090 inch pounds to 2,400 inch pounds and the 5P from 1,960 inch pounds to 740 inch-pounds. In reducing these moments, the weights reduced the 3P and 5P driving forces which produced the 4P pitching and rolling moment into the fuselage. The vibration benefited to a minimum degree.

To reduce the 4P moment further, a transmission lateral spring was introduced with a rate of 19,000 pounds/inch; it did reduce the cabin vibration to a small degree, but did not affect the structural loads.
Records showed a 1.0 flapping component increasing with speed at high speed and producing a nose down moment and indicating that a reduction of tailplane negative incidence would be of value in reducing the total bending moment. The tailplane incidence was changed from -5° to -3° for test 228. The change in slope of the flapwise bending moment curve between figures 4 and 5 at high speed is attributable to this change.

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the effect of normal acceleration on the flapwise bending moment at station 6.0 at two centers of gravity. The average moment increased towards up flapping with increasing load factor due to lift on the rotor blade. Down flapping was recorded at 1.0 g due to the fact that the blade line was below the cone angle built into the hub. Zero moment was recorded when the blade at this station lined up with the hub cone angle. The smaller built-in cone angle on the three-blade rotor and the 30 percent greater lift per blade resulted in the different intercept shown in the graphs. The Phase III flapping cyclic stresses, figure 7, at the aft C.G. were of the order of 10 percent lower than those at a mid-C.G. on Phase II. At the forward C.G., figure 6, they were about 30 percent lower than the Phase II mid-C.G. Chordwise average and cyclic moments in maneuver were 50 percent lower than with the three-blade rotor except at high load factors where the reduction in average moment was about 10 percent. The cyclic flapwise and chordwise stresses at station 6.0 are the maximum that occurred during the maneuvers and do not necessarily coincide with the maximum load factor.

The spanwise bending moment distribution in high speed level flight, descent, and in maneuver are shown in figures 10 through 15.

**Vibration**

Vibration level in the cabin was measured for speeds up to 132 knots CAS in level flight and to 165 knots CAS in a descent. Tri-axis (vertical, fore and aft, lateral) measurements were made on the cabin floor at the pilot's seat. Vibration data in the 3 axes is plotted versus airspeed (CAS), figure 16.

The analysis of vibration for the various configurations was carried out in conjunction with the structural loads, because they were in most cases a function of each other. The comparison with the three-blade data is obvious, but it must be pointed out that the three-blade data represents only the soft cabin configuration. The high vibration level remaining at the termination of Phase III was the only problem of any significance and was the factor which limited the speeds attainable under this contract.

**Stability**

No adverse stability characteristics were recorded at any time throughout the Phase III flying. There was a tendency for the static
longitudinal stability to become neutral at high speed and some cyclic
cross-coupling existed. The correction of the cross-coupling would have
improved the stability which could have been made further positive by
aerodynamic shaping of the gyro arms. For the purposes of the program,
neither the stability nor the coupling warranted corrective action.

The cyclic control to trim is shown in figures 17 and 19 in terms
of control position and maximum blade incidence. Figure 18 shows the
results of constant collective static stick fixed and free longitudinal
stability measurements.

Figures 20 and 21 illustrate the longitudinal and lateral control
power and 22 compares Phase II and Phase III results. The lateral control
power was increased by 14 percent to 12.0 degrees/second-inch and in both
phases and was independent of speed. Longitudinally, the 20 to 25 percent
increase apparent at the lower airspeeds decreased with speed to become
zero at about 110 knots IAS. The data was obtained from longitudinal
step inputs in the hover and at 70 and 110 knots IAS. The time histories
showed compliance with MIL-H-8501A in that the angular velocity was in
the proper direction within 0.2 seconds of the control displacement and
the point of inflection of normal acceleration occurred within 1.0 second
of the control displacement.

The stick force per g plots in figures 23, 24, and 25 illustrate
the greatest single improvement of the four-blade rotor relative to the
three-blade rotor. No pitch up, nor any tendency for the stick force/g
to be other than positive was experienced during Phase III. The stick
force/g has improved 150 to 200 percent, providing a high degree of confi-
dence with regard to the anticipated outcome of maneuver tests at high
airspeeds. An envelope of the bank angles flown during these maneuvers
is presented in figure 26.

A number of entries and autorotations were affected in the range
80 to 120 knots CAS; the characteristics were normal and perfectly
acceptable. The load factor to hold rotor speed presented in figure
27 stems from tests conducted to assist in the definition of the technique
to be used following failure of the rotor engine on the compound helicopter.

Performance

Performance data presented in figure 29 is not representative of
the four-bladed clean helicopter configuration in that at the time the
tests were conducted, the blade weights were installed, and they represent
a significant and unknown drag increment. The hover data presented in
figure 28 is clean four-blade data.
# DATA APPENDIX

## Figure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flight Envelope - C.G. 1.46&quot; Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flight Envelope - C.G. 2.15&quot; Aft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moment at Hub Station 6.0 - Initial Phase III \ Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Moment at Hub Station 6.0 - Transmission Suspension Modified Blade Weights Incorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moment at Hub Station 6.0 - Final Phase III \ Configuration - Tailplane (-3^\circ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Flap Bending Moment at Station 6.0 vs. Load Factor - C.G. 1.46&quot; Forward - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flap Bending Moment at Station 6.0 vs. Load Factor - C.G. 2.15&quot; Aft - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chord Bending Moment at Hub Station 6.0 vs. Load Factor - C.G. 1.46&quot; Forward - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chord Bending Moment at Hub Station 6.0 vs. Load Factor - C.G. 2.15&quot; Aft - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Flap Bending Moment at Hub Station 6.0 vs. Span Level Flight - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chord Bending Moment at Station 6.0 vs. Span Level Flight - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Flap Bending Moment at Hub Station 6.0 vs. Span in Dive - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Chord Bending Moment at Station 6.0 vs. Span in Dive - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Flap Bending Moment at Hub Station 6.0 vs. Span in Maneuver - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chord Bending Moment at Station 6.0 vs. Span in Maneuver - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cabin Vibration Level - Final Phase III Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Control to Trim in Level Flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Constant Collective Static Longitudinal Stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Blade Incidence to Trim in Level Flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Longitudinal Control Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lateral Control Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Control Power Comparison - 3-blade and 4-blade Rotors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Maneuvering Stability - 4-Blade System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Maneuvering Stability - 3-Blade Rotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Maneuvering Stability - 3-Blade Rotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Angle of Bank Velocity Envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Load Factor - Rotor Speed in Autorotation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 28
Hover Performance

Figure 29
Forward Flight Performance
MAIN ROTOR BLADE LOADS VS. CALIBRATED AIRSPEED

MID C.G.

4 BLADE ROTOR

CYCLIC LOADS

FEET G.F.WT.

328 3750 LB.

XMSN

SOLID VERTICAL MOUNT

PITCH 15° LINE 14,000 LBS/IN.

SOLID, LATERAL

NO BLADE WEIGHTS

TAILPLANE INCID. 5°

--

3 BLADE ROTOR AT 3936 LBS. (REF.)

DURING MANEUVER

CYCLIC

AVERAGE

3 BLADE ROTOR AT 3936 LBS. (REF.)

100 120 140 160

CALIBRATED AIRSPEED MPH

MOMENT AT HUB STA. 6.0

INITIAL PHASE III DATA

EXH.

FIG 3
MAIN ROTOR BLADE LOADS VS. CALIBRATED AIRSPEED

HORIZONTAL STABILIZER - 5° DEG

- DARKENED SYMBOLS - CYCLIC
- OPEN SYMBOLS - AVERAGE

3 BLADE ROTOR AT 3736 LOS (REF)

MOMENT AT HUB STA. 6.0, TRANSMISSION SUSPENSION MODIFIED
BLADE WEIGHTS INCORPORATED
MAIN ROTOR BLADE LOADS VS. CALIBRATED AIRSPEED

TEST 373 / 385 - 4 BLADE ROTOR
T.O. WT. - 4000 LBS. HORIZONTAL STABILIZER - 3 DEG.

DARKENED SYMBOLS - CYCLIC
OPEN SYMBOLS - AVERAGE
FLAGGED SYMBOLS DENOTE DIVE

3 BLADE ROTOR AT 3936 LBS. (REF)

MOMENT AT HUB STA. 6-0 FINAL PHASE CONFIG. TAILPLANE - 3°
CHORD B.M. AT HUB STA. G. V.V. LOAD FACTOR G. G. 1/ST FND
FINAL PHASE III CONTRA.

M.R. CHORDWISE BENDING STA. 6 VS. LOAD FACTOR
Y BLADE ROTOR

5.76 M. FWD. C.G.

DARKENED SYMBOLS - CYCLIC MOM.
OPEN SYMBOLS - AVERAGE MOM.
SMALL NUMBERS AT RIGHT OF
DARKENED SYMBOLS ARE
INDICATED AIRSPEED.

X MSN

6000 VERTICAL
11000 PITCH
19000 LATERAL
BLADE WEIGHTS FITTED
TAILPLANE INCID. 3°
-5° ON TEST 371
CHORD B.M. AT HUB STA. 6.0 78 LOAD FACTOR C.G. 2.15 AFT C.G.

CHORDWISE BENDING STA. 6 VS. LOAD FACTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>C.G.</th>
<th>TR. WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAKEDENED SYMBOLS - CIRCIC M80.
OPEN SYMBOLS - AVERAGE MOM.
SMALLE NUMBERS AT RIGHT SF.
DARKENED SYMBOLS ARE
INDICATED AIR SPEED

2.15 IN. AFT C.G.

XMBN 45/14
6000 VERTICAL
11000 PITCH
19000 LATERAL
BLADE WEIGHTS FITTED
TAILPLANE INCID 3°
M.R. FLAPWISE BENDING MOMENT VS. ROTOR SPAN

4 BLADE ROTOR - HIGH SPEED LEVEL

TEST 385 10-2-64  O.S.C. CTR. 253
CG - 1.43' IN. F.W.D., 10' GR. W.F., 6006 LBS.
LEVEL FLIGHT AT 132.0 KNOTS C.A.S.

NOTE:
① AVERAGE LOAD
② CYCLIC LOAD

CYCLIC BM. 3 BLADE 143 KNOTS MIN CG.

XMSN 6000 VERTICAL
1000 PITCH
19000 LATERAL
BLADE WEIGHTS FITTED
TAILPLANE INCID. -3°
FIG 12

M.R. FLAPWISE BENDING MOMENT VS. ROTOR SPAN
4 BLADE ROTOR - HIGH SPEED DIVE

TEST 385  10-2-64  OSG. CTR. 353
C.G. 145 IN. FWD  T.O. GRWT. 4,006 LBS.
DIVE AT 165.0 KNOTS C.A.S.

NOTE:
- AVERAGE LOAD
- CYCLIC LOAD
TAILPLANE INCID - 3°

FLAPWISE BENDING MOMENT VS. SPAN IN DIVE, FINAL PHASE II CONFIG.

ROTOR SPAN STATION - INCHES

0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200
XH-51A BUNO 151262 S/N 1001
MR. FLAPWISE BENDING MOMENT VS. ROTOR SPAN

1 BLADE ROTOR - HIGH G TURN IN DESCENT

TEST 376
9-33-64.
QSC CTR. 564.
CG. 146 IN FWD.
GR WT. 5,965 LBS.
DESENT AT 119.5 KNOTS C.A.S.
2.23 G'S AT 3865 LBS.
2.53 G'S CORRECTED TO 3,500 LBS.

NOTE:
0 AVERAGE LOAD
0 CYCLIC LOAD

6000 VERTICAL
11000 PITCH
19000 LATERAL
BLADE WEIGHTS FITTED
TAILPLANE INCID -3°

FLAPWISE BENDING MOMENT - 100000000.

50
40
30
20
10
0
-10
-20
-30
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200

ROTOR SPAN STATION - INCHES.

0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200
XH-51A: BUNO 151262 SIM 1001

FLR CHORDWISE BENDING MOMENT VS. ROTOR SPAN

4 BLADE ROTOR HIGH G TURN IN DESCENT

TEST 376  9-23-64  OSC. CTR. 564
C.G. 146 IN. FWD.  GRWT. 3,965 LBS.
DESENT AT 110.5 KNOTS C.A.S.
2.23 G'S AT 3,965 LBS.
2.28 G'S CORRECTED TO 3500 LBS.

NOTE:
O AVERAGE LOAD
O CYCLIC LOAD

GOOD VERTICAL L1000 PITCH
19000 LATERAL BLADE WEIGHTS FITTED
TAIL PLANE INCID. -30

TIP: SPAN STATION - INCHES
CABIN VIBRATIONS VS. CALIBRATED AIRSPEED
TEST 373 1.386
4 PER REV.

FLAGGED SYMBOLS DENOTE DIVE

4 BLADE ROTOR

△ - VERTICAL

▲ - FORE/AFT

⊙ - LATERAL

CALIBRATED AIRSPEED - KNOTS
Cyclic Control Positions in Level Flight

4-Blade Rotor System

Two C.G. Location

Ship: Buno 151262

Average Test Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sym Test</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Dew</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Alt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>3910</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>4,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Travel Limit: 2.81 in. Right-2.81 in. Left

3-Blade Rotor

Configuration Notes:
1. Cyclic Stick Pitch
   Sensitivity = 100%

2. Landing Gear Up

3. Speed Sensor On

3-Blade Rotor: 100% Sensitivity

Alt Travel Limit = 4.75 in.

Fwd Travel Limit = 6.125 in.

Figure 17

Control to Trim in Level Flight
## Static Longitudinal Stability

**Find C.G. Location**

**Four Blade Rotor System**

**Ship: Bando 181262**

### Table 1: Trim Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>3286</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>3006</td>
<td>3771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Configuration Notes:

1. Cyclic Stick Pitch
2. Landing Gear Up
3. Speed Sensor Off

### Data:

- **Max. Travel Limit:** 4.75 in.
- **Full Travel Limit:** 6.125 in.

---

**Constant Collective Static Longitudinal Stability**

*Figure 18*
LONGITUDINAL CONTROL POWER
Four Blade Main Rotor

Cyclic Stick Pitch Sensitivity = 100%

Nose Up

Cyclic Stick Deflection from Trim -1 in

Nose Down

Longitudinal Control Power
Figure 10

Ship: BuNo 153262

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>Thrust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 10.50 in/Sec, 70 ft/s = 0.25 deg/sec, Hover = 0.50 deg/sec.

Longitudinal Control Power (F/AH)

20  16  12  8  4  0  4  8  12  16  20  24 (F/AH)

30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30 (Ft)
Control Power Comparison Between the 3 and 4 Blade Rotor Systems

Lateral Control Power

Longitudinal Control Power

Calibrated Airspeed Range

Control Power Comparison - 3 Blade & 4 Blade Rotors

Figure 22
MANEUVERING STABILITY

Test, FLF, Mass, DOF, Long. Lat.
596 244 5988 1800 18350 0.125

Figure 23
### Maneuvering Stability

#### Mid C.G. Location

**Ship:** BUMO 151262

**Three Blade Rotor System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cyclic Stick Pitch Sensitivity = 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Landing Gear Retracted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Speed Sensor Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. H.I.S. Bag Weight Installed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>2104</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Graph

- **C.A.S. - KTS - 50:**

- **Load Factor:** 95

**Figure 24**

**Form 4798**

**8775 A** (1001)
Lockheed Helicopter
Model XH-5A

Bank Angle - Velocity Envelope

Ship: SUNO 15162
FWD and AFT C.G. Location
Four Blade Main Rotor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sin Test</th>
<th>Vel.</th>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>LAF</th>
<th>R.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>3755</td>
<td>2735</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>3846</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>3999</td>
<td>-570</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3975</td>
<td>-700</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>3988</td>
<td>-950</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Envelope for Basic Aircraft

Calibrated Airspeed - N.A.T.O.
Load Factor Required to Maintain a Given Rotor RPM in Autorotation

Ship: BUNO 151262  Test 383, Flight 241

Notes:
1. 48-Blade Main Rotor with External Tuning Weights at the 5-ft Raging.
2. Landing Gear Retracted.
3. Entry Speed = 76±2 knots, C.A.S.
4. Load Factors Developed in Right Turn.

Diagram showing the relationship between load factor and rotor RPM.
LOCKHEED HELICOPTER
Model XH-51A

LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE

Comparison of 3-Blade and 4-Blade Rotor Systems

Swf: Buno 157262
Sea Level Standard Day - 100% Rpm
Landing Gear Retracted

4-Blade Main Rotor
$N_m = 4020 \text{ lb.}$

3-Blade Main Rotor
$N_m = 4330 \text{ lb.}$

Average Test Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (KTS)</th>
<th>Pitch (deg)</th>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>Knots</th>
<th>O.H.P.</th>
<th>Foot</th>
<th>R.P.M.</th>
<th>Furlongs</th>
<th>MPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
The 4-Blade Rotor System used during this test has external tuning weights mounted on each blade at the 50 ft radius. The increased power demand of the modified airfoil has not been determined.