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ABSTRACT

The ternary alloy system tantalum-hafnium-carbon was investigated by means of X-ray, DTA, melting point, and metallographic techniques on chemically analyzed alloys, and a complete phase diagram for temperatures above 1000°C was established.

The system is characterized by a very high melting solid solution of the refractory monocarbides in both binary systems, and a limited exchange of hafnium in the low- and high-temperature modification of Ta₂C. Four Class II four-phase reaction planes as well as three limiting tie lines occur in the concentration area metal-monocarbide solution.

The results of this investigation are discussed and compared with previous, partial investigations of this system. Fields of application are outlined.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

A. INTRODUCTION

The binary alloy system tantalum-hafnium has received considerable attention during the past few years as a promising material system for the development of oxidation resistant alloys. Many of the intended applications are under carbonaceous conditions or the alloys are in direct contact with carburizing agents. A knowledge of the ternary system with carbon is therefore of considerable interest. The phase diagram shows the nature and compositions of the reaction products to be expected to form from a given metal alloy at a given temperature, and serves, therefore, as a useful guide in the selection of the optimum alloy compositions for the specific application problem. Finally, due to the nature of the equilibria occurring in this system, tantalum-hafnium-carbon alloys are probably one of the most promising material combinations for the development of the thermalshock-resistant carbide-metal composite structures.

An effort was therefore made to clarify the high temperature phase relationships in this system. Special attention was directed towards the accurate establishment of the maximum solidus temperatures of the very high melting monocarbide solution, and the clarification of the phase-relationships in the concentration area between the metal and the monocarbide solid solution. Wherever possible, preference was given to the more concise and informative graphical data presentation, in lieu of a compilation in tabular form.
B. SUMMARY

The alloy system tantalum-hafnium-carbon was investigated using hot-pressed, electron beam-melted, vacuum-sintered (2 to 5 x 10^-6 Torr), and quenched alloy material. The investigations were carried out with X-ray, DTA, metallographic, and melting point techniques. The majority of the alloys was chemically analysed for their content of free and combined carbon. A number of alloys were analyzed for the metal components, and also were examined upon contamination by oxygen.

1. Binary Systems

The binary systems hafnium-carbon and tantalum-carbon were described in previous reports (2, 3). The binary system tantalum-hafnium (Figure 1) was only superficially examined in this investigation and the following results were established.

a. Tantalum and hafnium form a continuous series of solid solutions at temperatures above ~1900°C. The melting curves show an invariant (minimum) point at 2130 ± 20°C and a hafnium concentration of approximately 80 atomic percent.

b. Below approximately 1900°C a miscibility gap between the body centered modifications of both metals is formed. The critical point is located at ~50 atomic % hafnium.

c. The body centered cubic (β) high temperature modification of hafnium (transformation point ~1800°C) is stabilized to lower temperatures by solid solution formation with tantalum. The interaction between the boundary curve of the miscibility gap of the body-centered cubic solution and the solvus curve (bcc solution) of the equilibrium
(Ta, Hf) (bcc) — α-Hf-ss produces a eutectoid reaction. The eutectoid point is located at ~83 atomic % hafnium and 1100 ± 30°C.

Figure 1. Tentative Phase Diagram Tantalum-Hafnium

In its basic details, these data are in confirmation of the results obtained by D.K. Deardorff(4) and the more recent investigations by H. Kato and M.I. Copeland(5).
2. Constitution Diagram Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon (Fig. 2)

a. The Face-Centered Cubic (B1) Monocarbide Phase (6)

Tantalum monocarbide \( (a = 4.456 \, \text{Å}) \) and hafnium monocarbide \( (a = 4.640 \, \text{Å}) \) form a continuous series of solid solutions. The lattice parameters show a nearly linear variation between the parameters of the binary compounds at the respective carbon concentrations. The melting point maximum reported for this solid solution at approximately 80 mole \% TaC\(^{(6)}\) does not exist (Figure 3).

b. Carbon-Rich Equilibria

No new intermediate compounds are formed and the only reaction occurring in this concentration area is the formation of the eutectic. The temperatures and compositions of the eutectic vary smoothly from \( 3445^\circ \text{C} \) and 61 At\% C for the binary TaC-C eutectic, to \( 3180^\circ \) and 65 At\% C in the binary hafnium-carbon system.

c. Metal-Rich Equilibria

Four Class II four-phase reaction temperature planes occur in the concentration region between the metal and monocarbide solution:

\[
\text{(1) Class II Four-Phase Equilibrium at 2550°C,}
\]

The four-phase reaction proceeding at 2550°C is represented by the reaction equation

\[
\text{Liquid} + \beta-\text{Ta C-ss(γ')} \quad \frac{T \geq 2550^\circ}{T \leq 2550^\circ} \quad (\text{Ta, Hf}) (\beta) + (\text{Ta, Hf})C_{1-x} (\delta)
\]
Figure 2. Constitution Diagram Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon
Table 1. Four Phase Reaction Plane L + γ' → β + δ at 2550°C:
Equilibrium Concentrations of the Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Concentrations, At%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf) (β)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf)\textsubscript{2}C(γ')</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf)C\textsubscript{1-x}(δ)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Class II Four-Phase Equilibrium
at 2230°C (II\textsubscript{P} in Figure 2)
The four-phase reaction proceeding at this temperature can be presented as:

$$\beta\text{-Ta}_2C\text{-ss (γ')} + \text{Ta, Hf (β)} \xrightarrow{T \geq 2230°C} \alpha\text{-Ta}_2C\text{-ss (γ')} + (\text{Ta, Hf})\text{C}_{1-x}(δ).$$

Table 2. Four-Phase Reaction Plane γ' + β → γ + δ at 2230°C:
Equilibrium Concentrations of the Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Concentrations, At%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Ta\textsubscript{2}C-ss (γ')</td>
<td>~53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta, Hf (β)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Ta\textsubscript{2}C-ss (γ)</td>
<td>~57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf)C\textsubscript{1-x}(δ)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Maximum Solidus Temperatures of the Crystal Solution (Ta, Hf)C_{1-x}

Top: Concentration Line of Maximum Melting (± 0.3 At%)

Class II Four-Phase Equilibrium at 2130°C (IIc in Figure 2)

The four-phase reaction proceeding at this temperature can be presented as:

\[ \alpha - \text{Hf-ss (a) + Liquid} \begin{cases} T \geq 2130^\circ C & (Hf, Ta) \beta + (Hf, Ta)C_{1-x} \end{cases} \]
Table 3. Four-Phase Reaction Plane $\alpha + L \rightarrow \beta + (\delta)$ at 2130°C: Equilibrium Concentrations of the Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Concentrations, At%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Hf-ss (a)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf-Ta (\beta)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hf, Ta)$_{1-x}$ (\delta)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Scheil-Schultz Diagram for the System Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon
Class II Four-Phase Equilibrium

at \( \sim 1120^\circ C \). (II\text{d} in Figure 2)

The four-phase reaction proceeding at this temperature can be presented as:

\[
(Ta, Hf) (\beta') + (Ta, Hf)C_{(5)} (\delta) \begin{cases} \frac{T \geq 1120^\circ C}{T \leq 1120^\circ C} \end{cases} (TaN, Hf) (\beta) + a-Hf-ss (a)
\]

Table 4. Four-Phase Reaction Plane \( \beta' + \delta \rightleftharpoons \beta + a \) at \( \sim 1120^\circ C \):
Equilibrium Concentrations of the Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Concentration, At%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf) (\beta')</td>
<td>16  83  \sim 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf)C_{1-x} (\delta)</td>
<td>\sim 3  56  41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ta, Hf) (\beta)</td>
<td>81  18  \sim 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Hf-ss (a)</td>
<td>\sim 5  93  2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limiting Tie Lines

In addition to the four-phase action planes, three limiting tie lines occur in the system.

(a) The maximum tie line (dotted line in Figure 2) marks the ternary decomposition of the \( a-Ta_2C \) phase in a quasi-binary peritectoid reaction:

\[
a-Ta_2C-ss (\gamma') \begin{cases} \frac{T \geq 2240^\circ C}{T \leq 2240^\circ C} \end{cases} \beta-Ta_2C-ss (\gamma') + Ta, Hf (\beta)
\]

Two three-phase equilibria result from this reaction towards lower temperatures.
Figure 5. Ta-Hf-C: Isopleth Across 33 Atomic % Carbon

(b) The minimum tie line at 2120° (dotted line "mi" in Figure 2) designates a minimum eutectic point (quasibinary eutectic) in the ternary system:

\[
\text{Liquid} \quad \frac{T \geq 2120^\circ}{T = 2120^\circ} \quad (\text{Hf, Ta}) (\beta) + (\text{Hf, Ta})C_{-\infty} (\delta)
\]
Two three-phase equilibria result from this reaction towards higher temperatures.

(c) The formation of the miscibility gap in the binary (Hf, Ta) system is reflected in the existence of a critical tie line in the ternary system (dotted line "l" in Figure 2). One three-phase equilibrium results from this reaction towards lower temperatures.

The invariant and univariant reactions (p = const) occurring in the ternary alloy system are summarized in the Scheil-Schultz reaction scheme shown in Figure 4. The phase reactions in the metal-rich solution are shown in consolidated form in the isopleth across 33 atomic % carbon (Figure 5).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. BOUNDARY SYSTEMS

In the binary hafnium-carbon\(^{(2, 7-11)}\) system (Figure 6) one intermediate carbide phase with extreme high melting point\(^{(2, 6, 12)}\) and a wide homogeneous range exists\(^{(11, 13)}\).

Hafnium-monocarbide, with a face centered cubic (B1) unit cell, extends from approximately 34 (a = 4.608 Å) to 49.5 At% C (a = 4.640 Å) at \(~2300°C^{(8, 2)}\). The lower carbon boundary at 1500°C\(^{(11)}\) lies at 37.5 At% C. The face-centered cubic \(\alpha\)-modification is stabilized to higher carbon concentrations by incorporation of carbon-atoms into interstitial lattice sites\(^{(10)}\), and decomposes in a peritectic reaction at 2360° into the monocarbide phase and melt\(^{(2)}\). The carbon solubility in \(\beta\)-Hf is below one atomic percent\(^{(2)}\).
A eutectic is formed between the α- and β-Hf-solution at 2180° and a carbon concentration of approximately 1.5 atomic percent(2).

Figure 6. Constitution Diagram Hafnium-Carbon
(E. Rudy, C.E. Brukl, and D.P. Harmon, 1965)

The eutectic point in the carbon-rich portion of the system is located at 3180° and 65 ± 1 At% C(2,8).

The tantalum-carbon system has been investigated by F.H. Ellinger(14). The phase-diagram, based on a recent redetermination of the system by E. Rudy, C.E. Brukl, and D.P. Harmon(3) is shown in Figure 7. Partial investigations of the system were recently carried out by R.V. Sara and C.E. Lowell(8) and C.F. Zalabak(15).
Two refractory intermediate phases are formed:

$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}^{(7)}$ with a hexagonal close-packed arrangement of metal atoms ($a = 3.102 \, \text{Å}$; $c = 4.940 \, \text{Å}$ at 33 At% C) and a negligible range of

![Figure 7. Constitution Diagram Tantalum-Carbon](image)

homogeneity at temperatures below 1700°C is unstable above 2180°C and decomposes in a peritectoid reaction into $\beta$-$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$ and tantalum$^{(3)}$.

$\beta$-$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$, probably with identical arrangement of metal atoms$^{(16)}$ but differing in the degree of disorder in the carbon sublattice from the $\alpha$-modification$^{(3)}$ forms in a peritectic reaction at 3330°C from the mono-carbide and melt. At 2843°C, the temperature of the Ta-$\beta$-$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$ eutectic, the low carbon boundary is located at 26 At% C$^{(8, 3)}$. The phase, which extends
to a maximum carbon concentration of \( \sim 35.5 \text{ At\%} \) at 3330°C, decomposes in a eutectoid reaction at 1930°C into the monocarbide and the \( \alpha\text{-Ta}_2\text{C} \)-phase\(^3\). The appearance of the \( \zeta\)-phase reported by R. Lesser and G. Brauer\(^1\) was attributed as being the result of a non-equilibrium precipitation from the monocarbide\(^8,3\).

The extreme high melting monocarbide\(^6\) forms an extended range of defect solid solutions\(^{14,16,17,19,20}\). The carbon-rich boundary is located closely to 50 At\% C\(^7\) (Figure 8). Reported melting temperatures vary between 3800°C\(^{21}\) and 3890°C\(^6\). More recent determinations at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory yielded 4000 - 4200°C\(^{22}\), and 3983° at 47 At\% were measured in this laboratory\(^3\). A transformation of the monocarbide at high temperatures reported by P. T. B. Shaffer\(^{23}\) was not confirmed in our investigations\(^3\).

Our determination of a solid solubility of 7.5 atomic percent carbon in tantalum at the Ta-\( \text{Ta}_2\text{C} \) eutectic temperature\(^3\) is in essential agreement with the very recent investigations by E. Fromm and U. Roy\(^{24}\).

A tentative phase diagram for the system tantalum-hafnium has been proposed by D. K. Deardorff\(^4\) (Figure 9), showing the formation of a miscibility gap between tantalum and \( \beta\text{-Hf-ss} \) at temperatures below 1500°C. Somewhat higher critical solution temperatures (1600 - 1800°C) were reported by H. Kato and M. L. Copeland\(^5\). The hafnium-rich \( \beta\text{-} (\text{Ta,Hf}) \) solution decomposes at 1050°C into a tantalum-rich (\( \sim 12 \text{ Wt\% Hf} \)) metal phase and \( \alpha\text{-Hf} \) solid solution (7 Wt\% Ta)\(^4\).
Figure 8. Lattice Parameters of TaC$_{1-x}$

B. TANTALUM-HAFNIUM-CARBON

The formation of a continuous series of solid solutions between the monocarbides has been ascertained by the work of C. Agte and H. Alterthum$^{(6)}$ as well as by H. Nowotny and co-workers$^{(26, 27)}$. The plot of the lattice parameters of the solution of the compounds at
composition close to stoichiometry shows a slight contraction over the entire concentration range (Figure 10).

A temperature section of the ternary alloy system at 1850°C was determined by E. Rudy and H. Nowotny (Figure 11). No
new ternary compounds were found in the system, and the hafnium exchange in the \( \text{Ta}_2\text{C} \)-phase did not exceed 12 At\%. The phase-relationships occurring in this system were evaluated thermochemically with regard to compound stability and appearance of the ternary equilibria.

\[ \text{HIC} \quad \text{MOLE} \% \text{TaC} \quad \text{TaC} \]

**Figure 10.** Lattice Parameters of the Solid Solution \((\text{Ta}, \text{Hf})\text{C}_{1-x}\)

(H. Nowotny, et al., 1959)
III. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

A. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

1. Starting Materials

The elemental powders as well as pre-prepared tantalum and hafnium monocarbide served as starting materials for the preparation of the alloys.

For selected alloy compositions, hafnium sponge was used for introducing hafnium into the alloys. The hafnium metal powder was purchased from Wah Chang Corp., Albany, Oregon and had the following impurities (contents in ppm): Al-20, C-210, Nb-680, Cr-< 20, Cu-40,
Fe-265, H-55, Mo-40, N-200, O-810, Si-< 40, Ta-< 200, W-235. It also contained 4.1 At% zirconium. The lattice parameter of this starting material determined on a powder pattern with Cu-K\textsubscript{\alpha} radiation was \( a = 3.196 \, \text{Å}, \ c = 5.057 \, \text{Å} \). The hafnium sponge had the following analysis (in ppm): Al-94, Cu-< 40, Fe-185, Cl-100, Mg-450, N-30, O-680, Si-< 40, Ti-250, W-< 20. The zirconium content was 4 At%.

Hafnium monocarbide was prepared by direct combination of the elements under high vacuum (< 5.10\textsuperscript{-5} Torr) and temperatures ranging between 1000 and 2200°C. The resulting reaction product, which had a total carbon content of 49.3 At% was comminuted in hard metal lined ball mills and the cobalt traces, which were picked up during milling, were removed by acid-leaching.

Tantalum (Wah Chang Corp., Albany, Oregon) had the following impurities (contents in ppm): C-140, Nb-100, O-280, sum of other impurities - < 300. The lattice parameter obtained for this raw material (Cu-K\textsubscript{\alpha}) was \( a = 3.303 \pm 0.003 \, \text{Å} \).

Tantalum monocarbide was purchased from Wah Chang Corporation, Albany, Oregon and was acid-leached and high vacuum degassed at 2200°C. The lattice parameter of the purified material was \( a = 4.4560 \pm 0.0003 \, \text{Å} \). The total carbon content of the product was 6.15 Wt\%, from which 0.04 Wt\% were present in free form. Main contaminants (in ppm) were: Nb-150, Ti-400, Y-200, sum of other impurities- < 100.

The spectrographic grade graphite powder (Union Carbide Corporation, Carbon Products Division) had the following analysis:
Sum of metallic impurities (Al + Cu + Mg + Si + Fe) - < 9 ppm, ash - < 500 ppm, volatile matter - 100 ppm. No second phase impurities could be detected in strongly overexposed powder patterns, and lattice parameters of a = 2.463 Å, and c = 6.729 Å were obtained from a powder pattern with Cu-Kα radiation.

2. **Alloy Preparation and Heat Treatment**

Both hot- and cold-pressing of the well-mixed powder mixtures, with subsequent equilibration treatment under high vacuum, were used for the preparation of compact alloy samples. Pertinent equipment has been described in a previous report (25). For the preparation of alloys in the very metal-rich region, cold-compacted specimens were melted in an electron beam furnace (Heraeus ES 2/4) prior to the homogenization treatment. For the investigation of the solid state temperature sections of the system, approximately 0.1 Wt% cobalt were added to alloys located within the homogeneous range of the monocarbide solution to aid in the attainment of equilibrium.

Three principal equilibration temperatures, 1500, 2000, and 2200°C were selected for the investigation of the solid state sections. The annealing schedules of the alloys are described in Table 5. Except for those instances where rapid solid state reactions (α → β-Ta₂C, disproportionation of the (Ta, Hf) solid solution) interfered and prevented quenching of the high temperature equilibrium states, the majority of the alloys were homogeneous and the diffraction patterns sharp.

In addition to the equilibration treatment described above, the change of specific equilibria with temperature was studied on...
quenched alloys. Rapid quenching was achieved by dropping the sample from the equilibration temperature into a tin bath, which was preheated to 300°C.

Table 5. Annealing Schedules for Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equilibration Temperature, °C</th>
<th>Annealing Time (hrs)</th>
<th>Vacuum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15 to 25</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Melting Points

Melting temperatures of the alloys were determined with the previously described Pirani-technique (25). Excess metal containing alloys were melted under vacuum. To prevent carbon and hafnium losses from the alloys in the high melting monocarbide region, the furnace chamber was pressurized to 2 1/4 atmospheres with high purity helium after a short degassing treatment at 2200°C. The temperature measurements were carried out with a disappearing filament type micropyrometer, which was calibrated against a certified standard lamp from the National Bureau of Standards.

The temperature correction terms to be applied to the observed temperatures, including absorption losses in the quartz observation window as well as deviations due to non-black body conditions of the observation hole were calculated from the equation (25)
\[
\Delta T_{\text{corr}} = - T_m^2 \cdot \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \ln D \cdot A \cdot \varepsilon
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta T_{\text{corr}} &= \text{Correction to be added to the measured temperature} \\
T_m &= \text{Measured Temperature} \\
\varepsilon &= \text{Emissivity coefficient of the black body hole} \\
C_2 &= \frac{hc}{k} = \text{const} = 1.4384 \ [\text{cm.deg.}] \\
\lambda &= \text{Wave length of radiation used in the measurement (0.65 \mu)} \\
D &= \text{Relative reflection loss at the quartz window} \\
A &= \text{Relative absorption loss in the quartz window}
\end{align*}
\]

From experimental measurements, a total transmission of 0.92 for the quartz system was obtained\(^{(25)}\). The resulting temperature correction curves are shown in Figure 12. From the bore-hole geometry (1 mm dia x 4 mm deep) an average emissivity coefficient of \(\varepsilon = 0.98\) was used\(^{(25)}\) to account for non-black body conditions (curve 4 in Figure 12).

A total of 91 ternary alloy (Figure 13) compositions were investigated and subjected to X-ray diffraction and chemical analysis after the runs.

Two independent series of runs were performed for the highest melting composition series across 47 to 49 atomic \% carbon. Alloys within the homogeneous range of the B1-phase and excess carbon containing alloys were analysed for free and combined carbon.

In no instance did the analysed carbon contents after the runs deviate more than one atomic percent from the weighed in composition. The same also applies to the metal ratio where in four
specimens from the monocarbide region, no deviations from the nominal compositions could be detected.

![Temperature Correction Chart](image)

**Figure 12. Temperature Correction Chart**

These low losses are probably to be attributed to the use of porous (20 - 40%) samples in the melting point determinations. The steep temperature gradient between the interior and the surface of the sample causes the melt first to form in the center portion of the specimen (Figure 14a and b). The experiment is usually completed before
the boundaries of the melt cavity reaches the sample surface, i.e. the temperature of the sample surface stays below that of melting throughout the experiment and consequently material losses due to vaporization from the surface are diminished.

![Figure 13. Ta-Hf-C: Composition of Melting Point Specimens](image)

4. **Differential Thermal Analysis**

Differential thermal analysis provided an extremely useful and important tool for the elucidation of the phase-reactions occurring in the vicinity of the $\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$-phase: The $\alpha$-$\beta$-$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$-phase reaction proceeds with extreme speed and hence would be extremely difficult to follow by metallographic techniques on quenched alloy materials.

DTA also proved to be a valuable tool in determining the temperatures
of the four-phase reaction planes in the ternary system and the reaction isotherms in the binary Ta-Hf system. For the determination of solidus temperatures, it provided a valuable supplemental technique to the Pirani method, where incipient melting close to homogeneity ranges of phases was difficult to observe.

Figure 14a and b.

Appearance of a Pirani Melting Point Specimen After Melting.

Alloy: Ta-Hf-C (33/18.5/48.5 At%), 3920°C Melting Temperature

(a) Section of the Specimen After the Experiment. Note Melt Cavity in the Interior

(b) Same Sample, Showing Black Body Hole Filled with Liquid.
The DTA-investigations in the binary alloy system Ta-Hf were carried out with electron-beam molten alloy material which was machined to the required dimensions (14 mm dia x 16 mm high, with a concentric black body hole of 4 mm dia x 10 mm deep at one end). Ternary alloys were prepared by hot-pressing the well-blended powder mixtures in graphite dies.

Figure 15. Ta-Hf-C: Position of the DTA-Samples

A total of 18 ternary sample compositions were prepared and investigated (Figure 15). Graphite containers were used in all experiments. Measurements were performed under a high purity helium atmosphere as well as under vacuum, yielding identical results.

5. **Metallography**

Approximately 45 alloys of defined heat-treatment and composition were metallographically analyzed. The samples were mounted in an electrically conductive mixture of diallylptalate-lucite-copper
powder. Coarse grinding was performed on silicon carbide paper with
grit sizes varying between 120 and 600. The samples were finally polished
on microcloth, using a suspension of 0.05 micron alumina in Murakamis
solution. Etchants and etching procedures varied with the carbon con-
centrations of the alloys. Good results for alloys with total carbon con-
centrations below 25 atomic percent were obtained by electropolishing and
subsequent electroetching in a 10% oxalic acid solution. Alloys from the
concentration range 30 to 50 atomic percent carbon were dip-etched in an
aqueous solution of aqua regia and hydrofluoric acid (60% HCl-20% HNO₃-
20% HF). The concentrated solution was applied to single phase mono-
carbide alloys.

6. **X-Ray Analysis**

Powder diffraction patterns with Cu-Kα-radiation
were prepared of all alloys after the equilibration treatments.

The crystal structures of all phases in the ternary
alloy stem are simple, and furthermore were known from previous work.
Indexing of the patterns and evaluation of the lattice parameters therefore
offered no problem and need therefore not be described in detail.

7. **Chemical Analysis***

The carbon determinations were carried out using
the standard combustion technique. A wet-chemical method was used for
the determination of the metal-constituents. Oxygen analysis on the
starting materials was carried out with the gas-fusion technique in a

---

*The chemical analysis quality work was performed under the supervision
of Mr. W. E. Trahan, Quality Control Laboratory of Aerojet-General
Corporation.*
platinum bath (2100 - 2300°C for Hf). Low metallic impurity contents were determined in a semiquantitative way spectrographically.

In general, slightly higher oxygen contents (10 - 15%) than those reported by the supplier were found in the starting materials; however, because of the relatively low level of the total impurity contents, this difference is insignificant. The main objective of the check analysis on the starting materials was to ascertain that selected purities, (iron metals, silicon, etc) which would drastically influence the melting temperatures and consequently affect the resulting layout of the high temperature phase relationships, were below a critical level.

B. RESULTS

1. Tantalum-Hafnium

This alloy system was only superficially examined in the course of the investigations of the ternary phase diagram. The main objective was to ascertain basic features of the system reported previously (4, 5).

Alloys in the concentration range from 20 to 60 At% hafnium melt very heterogeneously (Figure 1), indicating a comparatively wide separation between the solidus- and liquidus line in this concentration region. The thermal arrest due to the eutectoid reaction appeared relatively sharp at ~1100°C on the heating as well as the cooling cycle of the DTA-experiments in alloys with hafnium contents varying between 70 and 80 At% Hf. At 90 At% Hf only a very faint signal was obtained, indicating that the boundary of the α-Hf-solid solution almost had been reached.

In addition to the thermal arrests stemming from the eutectoid reaction at 1100°C, a second, strongly rate dependent and gradually initiating series of heat effects were observed to occur between
1500 and 2000°C, and were correlated to the formation of the miscibility gap in the body centered cubic Ta-Hf solution.

Metallographic studies were carried out on (Ta-Hf) samples available from another project. They consisted of electron-beam melted samples, which had received annealing treatments at temperatures varying between 800 and 1500°C (Figures 16 to 24).

Figure 16. Ta-Hf (9 At% Hf), 1500°C X70
Single Phase β

The metallographic examinations as well as the results from the differential-thermoanalytical investigations indicate the miscibility gap to extend to somewhat higher temperatures than estimated by D.K. Deardorff(4). This finding is in accordance with the recent investigations at the National Bureau of Standards(5), showing the
Figure 17. Ta-Hf (9 At% Hf), Annealed at 1500°C, X70
Reannealed at 815°C
\( \beta + \alpha \)

Figure 18. Ta-Hf (19 At% Hf), 1500°C. X70
Single Phase \( \beta \) with Beginning Precipitation of \( \beta' \). Pores (black)
Figure 19. Ta-Hf (19 At% Hf), 1500°C, Reannealed at 815°C. $\alpha + \beta$

Figure 20. Ta-Hf (30 At% Hf), 1500°C. $\beta + \text{Traces of } \beta'$ at the Grain Boundaries
Figure 21. Ta-Hf (30 At% Hf), 1500°C, Reannealed at 1300°C.

$\beta + \beta'$ (dark), with Initiation of the Eutectoid Reaction in the $\beta'$-Phase

Figure 22. Ta-Hf (40 At% Hf), 1500°C.

$\beta$ with Precipitations (light phase), and $\beta'$ (dark), Showing Initiation of Eutectoid Reaction
Figure 23. Ta-Hf (40 At% Hf), 1500°C. X1000
Nucleation of the Eutectoid Reaction in Originally Homogeneous β'-Grains

Figure 24. Ta-Hf (40 At% Hf), 1500°C. X1000
Rows of Nucleation Centers for the Eutectoid Reaction in the β'-Phase
miscibility gap to close somewhere between 1600 and 1800°C. Based on the results from the latter authors as well as the present investigation, a critical solution point at ~ 50 atomic % hafnium and a temperature of approximately 1900°C was assumed. A more detailed investigation, however, will be necessary in order to clarify system details within the solidus range.

Figure 25. Ta-Hf-C: Sample Position and Qualitative Phase Analysis of the Alloys Equilibrated at 2000°C.
-- Phase Relations at 2000°C.
2. **Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon**

A total of 114 alloy compositions in the ternary phase field were prepared for the investigation of the solid state phase relationships. Principal equilibration temperature within the solidus region was 2000°C (Figure 25). At this temperature, tantalum and hafnium form a continuous series of solid solutions. Disproportionation of the metal alloys occurs relatively rapid and the cooling rates obtainable in the high vacuum furnace were not sufficient to prevent partial dissolution of the metal phase in the ternary alloys.

![Figure 26. Lattice Parameters of the (Ta, Hf)C_{1-x} Solid Solution as a Function of the Carbon Defect.](image-url)

---

35
Tantalum monocarbide and hafnium monocarbide form a continuous series of solid solutions. The plot of the lattice parameters shows a slight contraction (Figures 26 and 27).

![Figure 27. Lattice Parameters of the Solid Solution (Ta, Hf)C<sub>1-x</sub> at a Carbon Defect of 5 Atomic Percent.]

At the chosen equilibrium temperature, α-Ta<sub>2</sub>C takes under lattice expansion approximately 18 mole % 'Hf<sub>2</sub>C' into solid solution (a = 3.102 Å, c = 4.940 Å for the binary Ta<sub>2</sub>C; a = 3.124, c = 4.986 Å for the terminal solid solution) (Figure 28).

The α-Ta<sub>2</sub>C (γ) phase is terminated in the ternary phase field by a three-phase equilibrium.
Another three-phase equilibrium in the metal-rich portion of the system involves the carbon-stabilized α-Hf solid solution (α), the monocarbide phase (δ) and the metal solid solution (β). Both three-phase equilibria are separated by a wide two-phase range, with the monocarbide and the metal solution as coexisting phases.

![Graph showing variation of lattice parameters](image)

Figure 28. Variation of the Lattice Parameters of the Ta₂C-Phase with the Hafnium Content.
(Alloys Equilibrated at 2000°C).
Towards lower temperatures, no new equilibria are introduced on the Ta-side of the system, i.e. the three-phase equilibrium \( \alpha \)-Ta\(_2\)C-Ta, Hf-(Ta, Hf)\(_{1-x}\) persists, although the hafnium-exchange in the Ta\(_2\)C decreases with decreasing temperatures (approximately 10 At\% at 1500°C, and 3 mole \% at 1000°C), and the limiting tie line of the three-phase equilibrium moves closer to the tantalum-corner of the system.

Figure 29. Ta-Hf-C (10/80/10), Cooled ~1°C per Second from 2150°C.
\( \alpha \)-(Ta, Hf)-Solid Solution with Monocarbide Precipitations

Carburization of tantalum-hafnium alloys occurs strongly preferential with regard to hafnium, as evidenced by the data.
presented in Table 6. The relative enrichment of hafnium in the carbide phase follows as a consequence of the higher free energy of formation of the hafnium carbides as compared with tantalum carbide phases.

Table 6. Lattice Parameters and Concentrations of Coexisting Phases in the Two-Phase Equilibrium \((Ta, Hf)-(Ta, Hf)C_{1-x}\)
(Alloys Equilibrated at 2000°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration At%</th>
<th>Present Phases (X-Ray)</th>
<th>Lattice Parameters, Å</th>
<th>Approximate Hf-Exchange, At%</th>
<th>Monocarbide Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ta    Hf    C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 15 15</td>
<td>β + γ + δ</td>
<td>3.318 4.532</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 15 25</td>
<td>β + γ + δ</td>
<td>3.315 4.531</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 20 15</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>3.320 4.539</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 24 15</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>3.324 4.547</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 28 15</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>3.326 4.560</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 34 31</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>3.319 4.555</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 30 31</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>3.327 4.565</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 20 37</td>
<td>β + δ</td>
<td>n.d. 4.564</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legent to Table 6: n.d. . . . not determined
β . . . . body-centered cubic \((Ta, Hf)\) solid solution
γ . . . . hexagonal close-packed \((Ta, Hf)C\) solid solution
δ . . . . face-centered cubic \((B1)\) \((Ta, Hf)C_{1-x}\) solid solution

As a result of the miscibility gap formed in the binary Ta-Hf-system, a three-phase equilibrium: Ta-rich-ss (β) —— Hf-rich-ss (β') —— monocarbide-ss is formed at temperatures below ~1900°C.
The maximum tantalum exchange in the $\alpha$-Hf phase is approximately 10 At% at temperature close to melting (Figure 29) and gradually decreases, as the temperature is lowered, (Figures 30 to 34).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 30.** Ta-Hf-C: Metallographic Examination of Hf-Rich Alloys Quenched from Temperatures Ranging Between 2050°C and 2100°C.

No $\alpha$-phase is observed in the alloys with tantalum contents of more than 20 atomic percent (Figure 35) and at temperatures above the four-phase reaction plane II$_d$, i.e. the three-phase equilibrium
Figure 31. Ta-Hf-C (10/80/10), Rapidly Cooled from 1900°C. X125
a (Major Constituent) with Precipitations, β' (dark, partially decomposed), and Small Amounts of Monocarbide Phase.

Figure 32. Ta-Hf-C (10/80/10), Rapidly Cooled from 1900°C, and Annealed for 32 hrs at 1100°C. X300
a + β (Stained Dark by Electroetch)
Note the Carbide Phase Agglomerates Within the a-Grains and at the Grain Boundaries
Figure 33. Ta-Hf-C (84/10/6), Rapidly Cooled from 2050°C. X250
α with Precipitations, and Partially Decomposed β

Figure 34. Ta-Hf-C (9/93/2), Cooled with Approximately 10°C Sec⁻¹ from 2000°C.
β (Decomposed), with Traces of α
\[ a + \beta (\beta') + (\delta) \]

is confined to the compositional limits

\[ \text{Ta}_{0.2}\text{Hf}_{0.8} - \text{Hf} - \text{Ta}_{0.2}\text{Hf}_{0.8}\text{C}_{1-x} \]

Figure 35. Ta-Hf-C (15/79/6), Rapidly Cooled From 2050°C, X500 β (Decomposed), and Monocarbide Solution (δ)

The β-solid solution, which can be retained by rapid quenching of the alloys (Figure 36) disproportionates into two distinct metal phases upon annealing at temperatures below the critical solution temperaturere (Figure 37).
Figure 36. Ta-Hf-C (40/50/10), Quenched from 2100°C X500
Monocarbide (Light Grains), and β.
(Metal Phase Stained Dark by Electroetch)

Figure 37. Ta-Hf-C (40/50/10), Quenched from 2100°C. X1000
Annealed for 30 hrs at 1380°C.
Monocarbide (Light) in a Matrix of β' (Dark) and β (Grey) -Solid Solution.
The eutectoid reaction isotherm in the binary Ta-Hf system is observed at 1100°C. The four-phase reaction in the ternary,

$$\beta' + \delta \rightarrow \alpha + \beta \quad (\Pi_d \text{ in Figure 2})$$

which emerges from this reaction, is observed at somewhat higher temperatures (Figure 38).

![Figure 38. DTA-Thermogram of a Ta-Hf-C (30/60/10 At%) Alloy](image)

The thermal arrest due to this four-phase reaction disappears, as the hafnium content of the alloys increases, (Figure 39).
Evidently, the disproportionation of the α-solution in the sample with 10 At% tantalum, 10 At% carbon, balance hafnium, is too slow in order to reveal the appearance of the four-phase reaction at the chosen cooling speed of the experiment.

![Diagram of DTA-Thermograms (Cooling) of Hf-Ta-C Alloys in the Hafnium-Corner of the System.]

The lowest liquidus temperatures in the ternary system were measured at a Hf:Ta ratio around 4 and carbon concentrations below 10 atomic percent (Figures 38, 39, and 40). Differences between the solidus temperatures of the metal solution and the melting temperatures of the eutectic trough are small (10 - 20° for the lowest melting composition), indicating that over a large concentration range the eutectic trough is located very close to the Hf-Ta edge of the system.
Figure 40. Composition (Top) and Temperatures of the Eutectic Trough in the Metal-Rich Portion of the Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon System.
This was confirmed by metallographic examination of the alloys after melting and subsequent quenching, which with the exception of alloys in the very tantalum-rich region (Figure 41),

![Image](image_url)

Figure 41. Ta-Hf-C (85/7/8), Quenched from ~2800°C. X400 (Ta, Hf) - (Ta, Hf)\textsubscript{2}C Eutectic

showed the monocarbide to be the primary crystallizing phase in the alloy series with 5 atomic percent carbon (Figures 40, 42).

What probably corresponds most closely to a eutectic structure obtained in samples of the more hafnium-rich and low carbon portion of the system is shown in Figures 42 and 43. The structures are not very characteristic. This may be attributed to the low carbon concentration of the eutectic, as well as to interference from carbide precipitation from the body centered cubic solution at subsolidus temperatures.
Figure 42. Ta-Hf-C (29/66/5 At%), Quenched from 2150°C X500
Primary Monocarbide (6) and Eutectic
(Metal Phase Stained Dark by Electroetch)

Figure 43. Ta-Hf-C (17/80/30 At%), Quenched from 2130°C X500
β - δ Eutectic
The continuation of the \( \alpha-\beta \)-Ta\(_2\)C reaction into the ternary phase field was studied differential-thermographically on two alloys with nominal carbon concentrations of 31 atomic percent. Starting

![Figure 44. Ta-Hf-C (9/88/3), Quenched from 2170°C X750](image)

\( \alpha + \beta \) Eutectic

from the binary Ta-C system, in the average a slight shift of the observed reaction onset to higher temperatures upon hafnium substitution is observed (Figure 45).

Since the temperature of the \( \alpha-\beta \)-phase reaction is raised by hafnium additions, two types of four-phase reactions, leading to the ternary decomposition of the \( \alpha \)-Ta\(_2\)C-phase are to be considered:
Figure 45. Ta-Hf-C: DTA-Thermograms (Cooling) of Alloys in the Stability Range of the \((\text{Ta}, \text{Hf})_2\text{C}\) Solid Solution.

a. Decomposition of the \(\alpha\)-phase in a ternary peritectoid (Class III four-phase reaction) according to

\[ \gamma \leftrightarrow \beta + \gamma^I + \delta \]  
(Figure 46),

with the temperature stability maximum of the \(\alpha\)-phase coinciding with the four-phase temperature plane.

b. Termination of the \(\alpha\)-Ta\(_2\)C solid solution by a Class II four-phase reaction towards the ternary (hafnium-richer) field, according to
followed by a quasibinary peritectoid reaction isotherm at some intermediate composition and at a temperature which is higher than that of the four-phase reaction.

\[ \gamma + \delta \rightleftharpoons \gamma' + \beta, \]

Figure 46. Possible Decomposition of the $\alpha$-$\text{Ta}_2\text{C}$ Solution in a Class III Four-Phase Reaction (Schematic).

Due to the small temperature changes involved, no firm decision could be reached. Since the differential-thermoanalytical
studies (Figure 45) favor the second version (b), a class II four-phase reaction plane in conjunction with a maximum tie line was given preference.

Two-phase melting, with a wide separation between incipient melting and the temperatures where the black-body holes collapsed, was observed in all alloys with carbon concentrations varying between 10 and 40 atomic percent.

The maximum solidus temperatures of the (Ta, Hf)$_2$C solid solution obtained from incipient melting with the Pirani-technique as well as by differential thermal analysis, are shown in Figure 47.

Figure 47. Approximate Solidus Curve for the (Ta, Hf)$_2$C Solid Solution.
From the metallographic examination of samples, which were quenched from the temperature range 2300 - 2600°C, in conjunction with post X-ray examinations of the alloys, a maximum hafnium exchange of 28 atomic percent was deduced. The maximum lattice parameters for the Me₂C-phase were obtained from a three-phase sample Me-Me₂C-MeC, \(a = 3.131 \, \text{Å}, \, c = 4.994 \, \text{Å}\), which was quenched from 2500°C.

![Figure 48. Solidus Temperatures of the Hafnium and Tantalum Monocarbide Solid Solution at Carbon Defects of 10 and 13 Atomic Percent.](image)

The melting temperatures of the monocarbide solution were studied with approximately 40 alloys, which were analyzed after the runs. Alloys of the series with carbon concentrations of up to 45 atomic percent melted extremely heterogeneously, indicating a wide temperature range of coexistence of Liquidus + Solidus. Alloys from the concentration range 45 to 49 atomic percent melted fairly sharp.
Comparison of the solidus curves of the monocarbide solution at fixed carbon content (Figures 48 to 50) do indicate a solidus maximum such as proposed by C. Agte and H. Alterthum \(^{(6)}\). The carbon concentrations for the maximum solidus, however, vary with the hafnium exchange (Figure 3).

Figure 49. Solidus Temperatures of the \((\text{Ta}, \text{Hf})\text{C}_{1-x}\) Solid Solution at a Carbon Defect of 5 Atomic Percent.

Figure 50. Solidus Temperatures of the \((\text{Ta}, \text{Hf})\text{C}_{1-x}\) Solid Solution at a Carbon Defect of Approximately 1 Atomic Percent.
It is interesting to note, that due to the large drop of the solidus temperatures of TaC\textsubscript{1-x} when either stoichiometry is approached or the solution becomes more deficient in carbon, as well as the experimental observation, that the concentration line for maximum melting shifts to higher carbon concentrations upon solid solution formation with hafnium, solidus maxima at $x_c = \text{const}$ are simulated. The investigations of C. Agte and H. Alterthum were restricted to the measurement of one alloy series at a carbon concentration close to stoichiometry. The fact, however, that they did observe this phenomena in their limited number of experiments, is a proof of the high quality of their results, obtained over 30 years ago.

The metal and carbon-rich boundary of the monocarbide solution at high temperatures (2100 - 3200°C) was studied metallographically on quenched alloys with analyzed carbon content (Figures 51 through 56).

At 2550°C, the temperature of the four-phase-reaction isotherm, the tantalum-rich monocarbide solution in equilibrium with the solid metal phase extends to a maximum carbon defect of approximately 12 atomic percent; the width of the defect solution increases with increasing hafnium content, until at 2360°C, the peritectic reaction isotherm, the low carbon boundary reaches 34 atomic percent.

The carbon-rich boundary of the monocarbide solid solution is located at slightly substoichiometric compositions over the entire range of metal concentrations; Average carbon deficiencies varying between 0.4 and 0.7 atomic percent (2000 - 2300°C) were obtained.
Figure 51. Ta-Hf-C (58/5/37 At%), Quenched from 3200°C. X750
(Ta, Hf)\text{C}_{1-x} Solid Solution with Oriented
Precipitations of \([\text{and } (Ta, Hf)\text{C}]\)

Figure 52. Ta-Hf-C (35/26/38 At%), Partially Molten at X1000
3600°C, Equilibrated at 2600°C, and Quenched
Monocarbide Solution (Cored) with Formation
of New Phases \((Ta, Hf)\text{C} and (Ta, Hf)\) at the
Grain Boundaries Upon Cooling.
Figure 53. Ta-Hf-C (42/25/33 At%), Quenched from 2400°C. X400
Monocarbide (Light) and Metal Solution (Dark)

Figure 54. Ta-Hf-C (35/26/39 At%), Quenched from 2500°C. X1000
Single Phase Monocarbide Solution
Figure 55. Ta-Hf-C (59/7/32 At%), Quenched from 2400°C. X400
Monocarbide (Light) with Metal Phase at the Grain Boundaries

Figure 56. Ta-Hf-C (13/50/37 At%), Quenched from 2400°C. X400
Single Phase Monocarbide Solution
from analysis of free and combined carbon in excess carbon containing alloys. Although only a few analysis data were available for alloys which were equilibrated at slightly subsolidus temperatures (3000 - 3200°C), the results did indicate only an insignificant change of these values towards higher temperatures.

Figure 57. Ta-Hf-C (32/15/54 At%), Equilibrated at 3400°C, and Quenched. Monocarbide Solution with Graphite (Carbide-Depleted Eutectic) at the Grain Boundaries.

Temperatures for the eutectic trough in the two-phase area: monocarbide solution - graphite were obtained from three samples with nominal carbon concentrations of 60 atomic percent (Figure 13). A practically linear variation between 3445°C, the TaC-C eutectic, and 3180°C, the eutectic reaction isotherm in the hafnium-carbon binary system, was obtained.
The experimental evidence is summarized in the constitution diagram shown in Figure 2, covering the temperature range above 1000°C. In view of the extreme slow reaction rates to be expected

![Experimental evidence diagram](image)

**Figure 58.** Ta-Hf-C (20/20/60) At% Nominal Composition X1000 64 At% Carbon After Equilibration with Graphite at 3330°C Monocarbide - Graphite Eutectic

for temperatures below 1000°C, no attempt was made to extend the experimental investigations below this temperature.

To facilitate reading of the phase diagram, a series of temperature sections were prepared and are presented in Figures 59 through 80. Somewhat closer spaced temperature intervals were employed in the vicinity of the four-phase temperature planes in order to depict more clearly the phase changes taking place at these reaction isotherms. Only one isopleth, which was felt to be most comprehensive in the presentation
of the complex equilibria in the metal-rich portion of the system, was prepared (Figure 5). For specific needs, isopleths across any given composition ratios can be developed from the temperature sections.

Finally, the phase diagram data are supplemented by the sketch of the liquidus projections shown in Figure 81.
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Figure 81. Liquidus Projections in the System Tantalum-Hafnium-Carbon

(Liquidus Data Estimated from the Melting Behavior of the Alloys)
IV. DISCUSSION

A. PHASES AND PHASE EQUILIBRIA

With the exception of the equilibria in the hafnium-corner of the system, where the corresponding binary data have been established only recently\(^{(2)}\), the solid state sections at the corresponding temperatures determined in this investigation are in good agreement with the previous findings by E. Rudy and H. Nowotny\(^{(28)}\).

The tie line distribution in the two-phase field (Ta, Hf)- (Ta, Hf)\(_{C_{1-x}}\) is a direct consequence of the higher stability (free energy of formation) of the hafnium monocarbide phase as compared to tantalum monocarbide. A thermodynamic evaluation of the distribution equilibrium\(^{(28)}\) yielded a value of

\[
\Delta G_f (HfC_{\alpha,0.82}) - \Delta G_f (TaC_{\alpha,0.82}) = -8500 \text{ cal per gram atom}
\]

which is in reasonable agreement with data obtained by calorimetric methods\(^{(30)}\). Surprisingly high appears the free energy difference between a Ta\(_2\)C-type 'Hf\(_2\)C' phase and the homöotectic defect - monocarbide\(^{(28)}\), since the close structural relationships would suggest small energetical differences between both modifications only. The solid solution model for the Me\(_2\)C-phase employed in the previous calculations is certainly an oversimplification, since not only the metal exchange, but also the change of order in the carbon sublattice upon metal-substitution will affect the course of the free energy of the solid solution and hence the data obtained from such back calculations. Nevertheless, the same trend is indicated by the
phase relationships in the binary hafnium-carbon system (Figure 6), where the carbon-stabilized α-modification of hafnium is terminated at relatively low temperatures and carbon concentrations (14 At% C at 2360°C, the peritectic reaction isotherm) by an equilibrium monocarbide-metal-rich melt. An explanation for this behavior may possible be sought in differences in the electronic structure of the compounds, a factor which often is grossly underestimated\(^{(31)}\). A systematic application of the concepts followed by L. Brewer\(^{(32)}\) may shed some light into the complex nature of phase relations and allow, at least on a semiquantitative basis, a correlation between phase stability and electronic structure.

Other problems of extreme theoretical, as well as practical, interest concern phase-separation phenomena, and the question of internal partition equilibria in crystal phases, for which a semiquantitative mathematical treatment on the example of α- and β-Mo\(_2\)C has been given in an earlier report\(^{(25)}\); the ultimate problem, however, is far more extended, and efforts are being made\(^{(33)}\) to generalize the thermodynamic treatment with regard to phase composition, equivalency of crystal site, and order of equilibrium. A detailed thermodynamic treatment of this as well as other ternary systems investigated under this program will be given in a separate report.

B. APPLICABILITY - COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

For the possible high temperature application of Ta-Hf-C alloys probably the most interesting feature in the system is the existence of a wide two-phase equilibrium metal-monocarbide, which offers possibilities for reinforcing refractory carbides with ductile metal phases.
The concept of compatible metal-carbide composite systems has been pursued through a number of years in the Metallwerk Plansee Research Laboratories, and has resulted in the development of interesting composite systems for high temperature applications, (28, 34-37), such as in rocket propulsion.

Alloy combinations of such types, with proper adjustment of the microstructures, also could be of considerable interest for the development of cutting tools with improved temperature capabilities (cutting speeds), since the comparatively low-melting cobalt could be replaced by high strength and ductile refractory alloys.

Although the specific demands may vary within wide limits, the inherent brittleness of the carbides and, as a consequence, their fracture sensitivity, is in each instance the main obstacle to overcome. This problem becomes especially severe in the application of carbides in rocket nozzles, where single phased material fails within the first firing seconds as a result of thermally induced stresses. Carbide-graphite composites behave similarly, although an extremely fine and even distribution of the component phases (Figure 82) somewhat improves their characteristics (38).

Carbide-metal composites offer greater possibilities, although other problems, such as low interphase melting, have to be overcome by suitable choice of the alloy components (Figure 83) as well as preparation techniques.

Infiltration of porous carbide structures with high conductivity, low melting metals, such as silver or copper, essentially eliminates the problem of thermal stress-induced fracture (38), but the problem
of accelerated erosion of the porous carbide after complete vaporization of the low-melting metal phase has not yet been solved to full satisfaction\(^{(38)}\). Typical results from subscale solid propellant motor firings (Figures 84 and 85) showed good performance (erosion rates < 1 mil per second at a flame temperature of \(\sim 6500\,^\circ F\) and the first 30 firing seconds), while transpiration of the low melting phase was still operative; however, higher erosion rates (2-3 mils per second) and gradual deterioration of the inserts were encountered after the silver or copper had been lost.

Apart from these effects careful control of the microstructure is a necessity for adequate performance (Figures 86 through 89); as an example, weak linkage between carbide grains (low

Figure 82. Tantalum-Carbide-Graphite Composite Structure X500
strength carbide skeleton), and, likewise, use of 'heavy metal' type com-
posites results in practically immediate failure (washout).

Figure 83. Reinforcing Principles (Schematic)

I. Cementation

II. Disappearing Binder Phase

(e.... Eutectic Melting)
Figure 84. Silver- and Copper-Infiltrated Tantalum Carbide Test Nozzle Inserts (Scale in Centimeters)

Figure 85. Silver- and Copper-Infiltrated Tantalum Carbide Nozzle Inserts, Assembled in Holders
Figure 86. Silver-Infiltrated Tantalum Carbide. X1000
Note Heavy Crosslinkage of Carbide Grains (Light Phase)

Figure 87. Silver-Infiltrated Tantalum Carbide X500
Weak Interlinkage of the Carbide Grains (Dark Phase)
Analysis of the failure criteria and comparison with previous results\(^{(39)}\) indicates that low porosity, i.e. refractory metal-reinforced structures, or a combination of both concepts, reinforcement and infiltration, may offer certain advantages. In view of the phase-relationships found in the tantalum-hafnium-carbon system, ternary alloys on this basis seem to offer a logical choice for feasibility studies on metal-carbide composite structure systems for high temperature applications.
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The ternary alloy system tantalum-hafnium-carbon was investigated by means of X-ray, DTA, melting point, and metallographic techniques on chemically analyzed alloys, and a complete phase diagram for temperature above 1000°C was established.

The system is characterized by a very high melting solid solution of the refractory monocarbides in both binary systems, and a limited exchange of hafnium in the low- and high-temperature modification of Ta₂C. Four Class II four-phase reaction planes as well as three limiting tie lines occur in the concentration area metal-monocarbide solution.

The results of this investigation are discussed and compared with previous partial investigations of this system. Fields of application are outlined.
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