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SUMMARY

The wind study portion of testing showed that the SLV gantry tower is sufficiently stable to be used as a base for forced oscillation of the vehicle and that any measurement of relative motion between the vehicle and tower is of vehicle movement only.

The structural damping ratio of the first cantilever mode of Atlas Agena 461 and 698 BJ varied between a high of 2.14 percent and a low of 0.57 percent, depending upon the axes and the tanking condition. It was not possible to determine a damping factor for all cases by the methods used because of the internal (liquid) movements, but for many conditions damping factors were determined with good accuracy.

In general the theoretical frequencies were verified to an excellent degree. The experimental damping factors agreed reasonably well with the results of previous tests. Increased confidence in the recent SLV ground wind restrictions has been the direct result of this test.

Table 1 presents a summary of the results of the tests run on Vehicle 149D.
### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>D-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

GDA63-0376
21 June 1963
SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Recent wind tunnel tests of a SLV 1/15-scale model, required because of a lack of test information concerning or an analytical means of determining wind-induced vehicle oscillations, have resulted in severe ground wind restrictions on SLV launches. Upon investigation of the model test results and their conversion to full-scale data, it was found that damping factors were assumed equal to the damping factors determined in other than Atlas Agena tests, and would require clarification before complete confidence was placed in the new restrictions. This clarification took the form of the subject full-scale damping test.

Damping factors comprise the overall effect of innumerable small friction forces that tend to resist deformation of the vehicle. These small forces include all the different kinds of friction (coulomb, viscous, mechanical, hysteresis, etc.) that occur in and between various parts of the vehicle. The importance of each of these friction forces in a given tanking condition depends upon the relative amount of deformation in or between various parts. It follows, then, that different tanking conditions may have different damping factors and that if the mode shape is altered by a change in payload configuration, the corresponding damping factor may be changed.
SECTION 2

DESCRIPTION OF TESTS

2.1 TEST SPECIMENS. The test specimens were the Atlas 119D and Atlas 149D in flight condition, a partially stripped Agena B, and two dummy payloads with fairings, one with the mass and elasticity of the 461 payload and the other with 698 BJ. For the 698 BJ configuration, the Agena was modified in that the instrument rack was lengthened to that used for flight. The standard handling yokes and protective covers were not removed from the Agena. Weights were attached to the handling yokes to simulate the mass and cg of those items which had been removed.

The Atlas-Agena configuration was erected on the Pad 2 launcher, and the Agena umbilical tower was raised. All wind screens on the tower were closed and the umbilical tower sails were extended.

Tests were performed with various combinations of Atlas and Agena fuel and oxidizer tanking conditions. Throughout this report, tanking conditions will be expressed as Atlas tanking conditions first and Agena second. Atlas condition fueled means the fuel tanks are full and oxidizer tanks are empty. Condition full means fuel and oxidizer tanks are full.

Water was substituted for the Agena fuel and methylene chloride for the oxidizer. Additional weights were attached to the yokes to simulate the weight and cg of the actual fuel and oxidizer.

The Atlas was fueled with RP-1, but liquid nitrogen was substituted for liquid oxygen in the oxidizer tank. No weight or cg corrections were made for this substitution.

2.2 TEST METHOD. The vehicle was oscillated at its natural first mode frequency and then disconnected from the excitation mechanism. The rate at which the free oscillation decayed was a function only of the damping except to the extent that wind or fuel slosh disturbed the system.

2.3 EXCITATION MECHANISM. Excitation was accomplished by a hydraulic cylinder with a feedback transducer and servo valve. Varying frequency sinusoidal motion was secured by driving the hydraulic servo valve with a variable frequency electrical oscillator. Since the damping was obtained by measuring the rate of free decay missile oscillations, it was necessary to be able to disconnect the shaker from the vehicle. This was accomplished by a small hydraulic cylinder which would open or close a clamp. This clamp mechanism, its operating cylinder, and an oscillation cylinder were mounted on a single base easily moved by two men. This shaker unit was bolted to one of two built-up I-beam frames to secure oscillation in either the
X or Y axis. Each of the frames was bolted through the gantry tower floor directly to the tower to secure a stable platform.

Included in the force transmission system of the shaker was a load cell; the output of this cell was the source of the maximum load redline.

The special yokes which can be seen on Page C-5 were bolted to the 502 ring with 30 bolts to distribute the load. They were flexible in all directions except horizontal to ensure that they did not twist the vehicle. The X-axis displacement was measured on the Y-axis adapter and the Y displacement on the X-axis adapter with motion transducers.

2.4 INSTRUMENTATION. The vehicle instrumentation as recorded on the main Sanborn recorder consisted of an X-axis position transducer, Y-axis position transducer, X-axis strain gages (regular and standby), and Y-axis strain gages (regular and standby). The output from the load cell and the position feedback from the transducer were also recorded on this instrument.

The X-axis and Y-axis rate gyro's and Z-position transducer were recorded on a separate Sanborn recorder. The wind velocity as measured on the blockhouse anemometer was recorded on a Honeywell Visacorder. The time of day as generated by the Pacific Missile Range Clock (see Reference 1) was included on each recorder so that the results could be compared.

2.5 CALIBRATION OF TRANSDUCERS AND RECORDERS

2.5.1 Position Transducers. The position transducers were calibrated by placing test blocks between the end of the transducer and the yoke. Sanborn recorder channels were calibrated so that full pen deflection equaled ±1/4 inch in transducer deflection.

2.5.2 Load Cells. The load cell was calibrated using a dead weight system. The Sanborn recorder channel was calibrated so that full-scale pen deflection equaled ±400 pounds on the load cell. This measured loading was accomplished by placing the load cell in a mechanical screw system, so that the tensile and compressive force could be applied to the yoke.

2.5.3 Strain Gages. Strain gage recording channels were calibrated so that full-scale pen deflection was ±400 pounds static load at the 502 ring. Deflection of the position transducers was also recorded at this time.

2.5.4 Rate Gyros. The rate gyro recorder channels were calibrated by applying calibration signals and comparing pen deflection to factory calibration charts.
2.6 **WIND STUDY.** The motion of the Gantry Tower was observed through a transit for a period of several days. It was observed that very little motion occurred even though the wind was quite strong. This small tower sway measurement eliminated the possibility that the position transducers could not be used to measure the decay of missile oscillations.

2.7 **DAMPING TEST CONFIGURATIONS.** The damping test on missile 149D was divided into a test of both the 461 and 698 BJ configurations. Each configuration was tested in the X and Y axes for six different tanking conditions. The Atlas-empty/Agena-empty condition was tested with umbilical connected and disconnected in order to determine the effect of the umbilicals upon missile damping. In addition to the test on Atlas 149D, the following testing was made on Atlas 119D in a 461 configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN NUMBERS</th>
<th>ATLAS</th>
<th>AGENA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 through 4</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 through 8</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 through 12</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1/2 Full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 through 26</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 through 28</td>
<td>Fueled</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tests on 119D were discontinued because the pad was scheduled for a launch.

2.8 **BENDING MOMENT MEASUREMENTS.** Strain gages were installed and their output recorded in an attempt to determine actual bending moments and as a backup system for the position transducers. These strain gages operated satisfactorily until the Atlas was fueled. Then the hoop stress caused a deformation many times greater than that caused by the oscillatory bending moments.

2.9 **REDLINES AND SAFETY FEATURES.** The Atlas vehicles used for these tests were scheduled for flight. Therefore multisystems of redline values were established to ensure the safety of the Atlas.

2.9.1 **Load Cell System.** A load cell was always used to measure the force applied to the vehicle. A maximum unidirectional force of ±400 pounds as specified in GD/A memo 663-4-62-017 was the established redline for this system. During the test the dynamics engineer held the disconnect switch in his hand and watched the Sanborn recorder trace of the load cell output, and was prepared to disconnect if the load on the vehicle exceeded specifications.
2.9.2 Position Redline. When calibrating the strain gages the deflection at the 502 ring for ±400 pounds of loading was recorded and approximately 80 percent of this ratio was established as a deflection redline. This redline was used by the test conductor as the limiting value of deflection so that when forced oscillations reached a redline value, the command "disconnect" was given.

2.9.3 Rate Gyros. Since with frequency and mode shape known the rate gyros' output is proportional to deflection, they were used as an independent redline system to assure that the missile was not overloaded. The deflection redlines as discussed above were established as 0.45-inch peak-to-peak deflection for the Y axis and 0.35-inch peak-to-peak deflection for the X axis. On a frequency of oscillation versus gyro output curve for the vehicle rate gyros, these deflections will appear as straight lines (see Figure 1).

Before starting forced oscillation, the forcing frequency was announced to the rate gyro monitor, who with the aid of (Figure 1) would calculate a redline for that run. Throughout the test he would monitor the Sanborn trace of the rate gyro output and notify the test conductor if the gyro indicated excessive deflection.

2.9.4 Mechanical Block. In order to protect the vehicle against human or electrical failure, a travel limit was placed in the forcing cylinder. This consisted of two sets of steel blocks, which when placed inside the cylinder limited the piston travel to 0.35 inch or 0.45 inch as required for the X and Y axes, respectively.

![Figure 1. Rate Gyro Limits Versus Frequency](image-url)
SECTION 3

DATA REDUCTION

The reduced data published in this report was obtained by directly measuring deflections on the Sanborn recorders. Frequencies were obtained by counting the number of cycles per unit of time; time was recorded on the same record from the range clock.

The technique employed to obtain the damping ratio consisted of measuring the peak-to-peak amplitude of the position transducers at each cycle of the transient decay. This amplitude was plotted against the number of cycles of decay on semilogarithmic graph paper. Several runs were superimposed on a single sheet, care being taken to superimpose at equal amplitudes. It was usually possible to find a smooth curve that represents these points. The slope of the line tangent to the curve, is related to the ratio of critical dampings at that amplitude. The damping ratio was then determined from a simple overlay made for this analysis.

The overlay was based on the damping ratio equation: 

$$G = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \ln \frac{x_o}{x_n}$$

Where 

- $x_o = \text{amplitude at start of measurement}$
- $x_n = \text{amplitude at } n^{th} \text{ cycle}$
- $n = \text{number of cycles}$

On semilog paper, this can be graphed as a number of lines, all originating at some amplitude $x_o$ and radiating outward to various cycles at amplitude $x_n$; the slope of each line was related to the damping ratio as calculated by the formula. The error inherent to this technique is much less than that due to reading the recorded data or in reading the records themselves.

The calculated damping factors presented in Table 1 were substantiated by the data acquired in the test of Missile 119D.

Superimposing the data of the tests of missile 119D on the data included in this report would have made no difference in the damping factor. Also the results of the test on 119D were not used because better weather conditions and improvement in technique resulted in less scattering of results on missile 149D.
Table 1. Test Result Summary  
(Vehicle 149D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATLAS TANK CONDITION</th>
<th>AGENA TANKS CONDITION</th>
<th>MISSILE AXIS</th>
<th>RUN NUMBERS</th>
<th>MEASURED FIRST MODE FREQ CPS</th>
<th>CALCULATED DAMPING FACTOR</th>
<th>PAGE NO. OF CALCULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>30 thru 34</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty with umbilical</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>35 thru 39</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1/2 Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>40 thru 50</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>51 thru 59</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fueled</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>60 thru 65</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>66 thru 73</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>104 thru 109</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty with umbilical</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>99 thru 103</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1/2 Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>93 thru 98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>74 thru 83</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fueled</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>84 thru 87</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Beating</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>88 thru 92</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>186 thru 188</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty with umbilical</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>181 thru 184</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1/2 Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>176 thru 180</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>170 thru 175</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fueled</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>157 thru 160</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Beating</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>161 thru 166</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty without umbilical</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>110 thru 115</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>B-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Empty with umbilical</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>116 thru 121</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>B-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>1/2 Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>122 thru 126</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>B-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>127 thru 141</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>B-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fueled</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>142 thru 150</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>B-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>151 thru 156</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>B-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 4

DISCUSSION

It was observed that in all but two cases the damping ratio reduced with amplitude. This was the expected result for it is believed that when amplitude becomes very low, friction between built-up sections becomes negligible and the total damping will become lower. The two unusual cases have not yet been satisfactorily explained, but it is believed that as amplitude further decreases these cases will also have a lower damping ratio.

It is reasonable to assume that as the amplitude of the oscillation exceeds the redlines used in this test (this will occur well below the limiting stress level), the damping ratio will continue to increase, thus making the calculated limiting ground winds conservative.

A position transducer was installed to measure how much the 502 ring was lowered due to settling of launcher, thermal contraction, and the increased diameter of the tanks when loaded with fuel and LN\(_2\). This lowering was measured as approximately 1/4 inch, which agreed with calculated prediction. It was also observed that the vehicle leaned when the liquid nitrogen was in the oxidizer tank. Possible causes may have been temperature-induced shortening of the external oxidizer line and/or vehicle misalignments causing load eccentricities.

In the empty/full and fueled/full case, the motion of the fluids inside the vehicle caused considerable scattering of data points. In two fueled/full cases the natural frequency of the fuel sloshing and the vehicle were so close that beating occurred. The calculated damping ratio for these conditions is probably lower than the effective damping resisting the building up of oscillations.
SECTION 5

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE BENDING TESTS

In future tests of this nature, it is recommended that the use of a constant force exciter be studied. With a variable-frequency constant force exciter, the frequency could be tuned to maximum displacement and the damping factor calculated from this amplitude. Thus the damping factor could be determined at tanking conditions which beat under decaying conditions.

If a similar hydraulic shaker is contemplated, it is recommended that the electric controls be so constructed that there is no shift in the zero position of the oscillation with a change of amplitude.

It would be desirable to be able to determine the steady state and oscillatory bending moment in the vehicle in all tanking conditions. It may be possible to accomplish this by instrumenting the launcher. It is recommended not to depend only upon strain gages on the skin of the Atlas.
SECTION 6

REFERENCES


APPENDIX A

PLOTS OF INDIVIDUAL DAMPING RUNS
A-4
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A-24
APPENDIX B

SUPERIMPOSED PLOTS INDICATING DAMPING RATIOS
OVERLAY FOR DETERMINING DAMPING FACTOR

COMPOSITE, RUN 01-02-03-04
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUN 05-06-07-08
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUN 09-10-11-12
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUN 15-16-17-18
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUN 20-21-22-23
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUN 25-26-27-28
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.0% OF CRITICAL
COMPOSITE, RUNS 5, 7, 8, 10, 12
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.1% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUNS 6, 9, 13, 14, 18
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.1% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUNS 10, 12, 13, 14, 15
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 1.4% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUNS 11, 19, 20, 22, 24
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 1.4% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUNS 9, 12, 13, 14, 19
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.1% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE, RUNS 6, 9, 13, 14, 16
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 5.1% OF CRITICAL

B-3
COMPOSITE: RUNS 300, 301, 302, 303
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 0.5% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE: RUNS 400, 401, 402, 403
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 1.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE: RUNS 500, 501, 502, 503
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 0.4% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE: RUNS 600, 601, 602, 603
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 1.0% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE: RUNS 700, 701, 702, 703
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 0.4% OF CRITICAL

COMPOSITE: RUNS 800, 801, 802, 803
DAMPING FACTOR APPROX 1.0% OF CRITICAL

B-4
APPENDIX C

EQUIPMENT USED IN TESTING
Hydraulic Pump

Agena Umbilicals

Routing of Hydraulic Lines

Hydraulic Actuator Clamp Mechanism and Position Transducer
APPENDIX D

SAMPLE DATA RUN