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FOREWORD

Work was started in August 1963 to develop a castable explosive superior in heat resistance to the HMX/Laminac series and similar to or better than the compressible formulation, PBXC-8, based on DATB, Viton A, and Chemlock 607.

In the present study DATB and a number of more recently developed explosives were examined and compounded with various types of castable binders to observe compatibility, processability and stability characteristics. The most promising products were subjected to further investigation, including measurements of explosive properties and performance of theoretical calculations to predict the effect of additives and to optimize constituent ratios.

This report summarizes the findings of the first four years' investigation and presents recommendations for further study based on the preliminary results. The project was conducted under Task Assignments (1964) RUME TA-3E-015/216-1/F008-10-004 (Problem 15), (1965) RMMO-62-063/216-1/F009-08-05, (1966) ORD-033-201/200-1/F009-08-05 and is continuing in 1967 jointly under Navy Task Assignment ORD-033-201/200-1/F009-08-05 and Air Force MIPR PC 7-6. Additional reports will be issued routinely on a quarterly basis and additionally as warranted by the progress of the work.
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dicyclopentadienedioxide, Union Carbide

VDS
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Vi
vinyl

Vibrin
unsaturated polyesters, Naugatuck Chemical
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Viton A
copolymer of vinylidene fluoride and perfluoro-propene, du Pont
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vacuum thermal stability

Watson Standard 21-726
vinyl plastisol resin

XR-65
vinyl silicone resin, Union Carbide

Y3466/3467/KLI800
silicone system curing by silane condensation, Union Carbide

Z 6018
hydroxy functional cyclic siloxane intermediate, Dow-Corning

Z 6020
N-8-aminooethyl-γ-amino propyl trimethoxy silane, Dow Corning

Z 6030
methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane, Dow-Corning

Z 6077
1,3-bis(3-glycidoxy propyl)tetramethyl disiloxane, Dow-Corning
INTRODUCTION

OBJECTIVES AND BACKGROUND

Increasing need for weapons and devices capable of withstanding severe environmental conditions encountered in modern military applications led to the initiation in August 1963 of a program to develop a castable explosive which could withstand temperatures of 500°F or higher. Compressible explosives are presently available which are resistant to temperatures in this general range. However, the most stable castable compositions in use today fall somewhat short of this goal, since they are based on HMX or on other explosive components of still lower heat resistance than that of HMX. Castable TNT formulations show relatively high decomposition points; however, these are limited in their use at higher temperatures because of the low melting point of TNT and the resultant problems arising due to exudation, dimensional instability, and segregation of components in the formulation.

Preliminary studies to formulate and characterize heat resistant compressible compositions were carried out at the Naval Weapons Center in 1958-1960. At this time the most promising explosive filler was diaminotrinitrobenzene (DATB). This material, when combined with fluorocarbon polymers as binders and pressed to desired size and shape, produced a composition with excellent physical properties and reasonably good stability when tested at temperatures of 300°F and 400°F over fairly prolonged periods of time. This work has been described in detail in a previous report (ref. 1).

More recently a number of new explosives have been synthesized which exhibit heat resistance superior to that of DATB. This characteristic as well as other possible advantages merit their investigation in both compressible and castable systems. Also much research effort has been expended during the past few years in a search for better binder materials for high temperature propellant and explosive applications. It would therefore be desirable both to re-examine the choice of possible components for a superior compressible composition as well as select the best candidates for castable applications. It is the purpose of this report to describe primarily efforts in the latter category as well as summarize information pertinent to both applications which might prove of value in upgrading compressible systems.
METHOD OF APPROACH

Present castable explosive systems utilize either a melt-cast method of preparation or rely on polymerization of monomeric resins to achieve their final form. In the latter category both polyester and epoxy systems have been used to good advantage (refs. 2,3). The explosive filler is combined with liquid binder plus suitable curing agents, the mixture is then poured into warheads, and curing is accomplished either at ambient or elevated temperature as required to effect solidification. It was felt that this type of preparative procedure would be most advantageous in formulating high temperature compositions with presently available explosive fillers; therefore, initial efforts have been directed primarily toward finding suitable monomers and resins which are compatible with explosive fillers, can be cured readily to a desirable physical state, and possess thermal stability at least as good as that of the filler material.

In formulating a new castable explosive suitable for use in high temperature weapons systems a number of factors must be considered in addition to the heat resistance of the components. One of the most important is energy. Since most of the new high temperature filler candidates are considerably less energetic than either HMX or RDX, maximum solids loading is a prime requirement, especially if the castable binder itself is non-energetic. To achieve the highest possible filler content, the particle size distribution of the filler must be optimum and the binder viscosity and wetting properties must be favorable. Thus, possible crystal size and shape as well as solubility characteristics to permit ready recrystallization might have a bearing on final selection of an explosive candidate. In the case of a liquid, castable binder, low viscosity would be an essential factor.

In general the following steps were carried out in order to survey the best possibilities:

1. Information concerning possible filler material was compiled. This included data reported by other agencies, results of tests conducted at the Naval Weapons Center and estimates of future availability and cost.

2. Similar studies were made of suitable binder systems. Promising materials were evaluated in respect to their processability, qualitative physical characteristics, thermal stability, and compatibility with explosive fillers up to the decomposition point of the filler.

Methods for formulating and testing compositions are described in Appendices A and B.

3. A survey was made of heat resistant additives or co-fillers which might augment energy by improving density, oxygen balance, or solids...
Loading. Ruby calculations were carried out on a limited basis to optimize compositions and predict what magnitude of energy output might be possible with available working materials.

PRESENT STATUS

A number of candidate explosive fillers have been investigated, alone and in combination with a variety of binder formulations. The latter include polyesters, epoxies, phenolic types, PVC plastisols, some fluorocarbons, silanes, and siloxanes. Potential fillers include TACOT, a fairly recent duPont explosive, and the polynitrophenyl derivatives developed by the Naval Ordnance Laboratory during the past ten years. Compositions with autoignition points well above 300°C (572°F) are readily obtainable.

TACOT proved to be one of the best filler explosives in respect to heat resistance, with an autoignition point of over 350°C. TATB and HNS with ignition values of 325°C were also promising as far as thermal stability was concerned. However, these three explosives possess a number of disadvantages which render formulation of an acceptable composition very difficult. First, their energetic potential is quite low compared with that of HMX or RDX. In a castable system with an inert binder, it is doubtful that detonation velocities much greater than 6500–6800 meters per second could be achieved. At present their cost is high and their availability limited. TACOT and especially TATB are fine powders as synthesized, ranging from a mean of 30 microns down to less than 5 microns in particle diameter. Therefore, in order to obtain a desirable level of solids loading with a fluid binder, they should be recrystallized to obtain a more favorable size distribution. However, TATB is essentially insoluble in all common solvents, while TACOT is only slightly soluble in a few. The characteristics which impart high stability unfortunately tend to work in opposition to high energetic output and ease in processability.

HNS has not been studied as extensively as either TACOT or TATB because a suitable supply was not available in the earlier part of the program and its energy characteristics did not appear as favorable based on existing evidence. Its potentially lower cost and greater susceptibility to size modification make it a comparatively attractive candidate for future investigation. Other high temperature explosives such as DIPAM and NONA, while somewhat more feasible energywise, are not promising economically at present.

Evaluation of available binder types and formulations showed silicones to be the most heat resistant, with fluorocarbons next. Other polymers such as polyesters or epoxies did not possess the thermal stability of the silicones. Not only did the binders themselves fail to withstand comparable temperatures; in many cases binder reacted with explosive filler at temperatures considerably below the decomposition
point of the latter to produce violent exotherms which usually led to premature ignition.

The most promising formulation developed originally was one based on the explosive TACOT combined with a silicone RTV rubber diluted with a reactive vinyl or alkoxy silane to reduce the viscosity. This composition did not autoignite up to 350-360, the autoignition point of TACOT. Furthermore, the binder alone in oven tests showed comparatively good resistance at temperatures up to and exceeding the decomposition point of the filler. Although high loadability was not possible because of the small particle size of available filler, physical properties at the loadings obtained (50-60%) appeared attractive.

Further work with silicone polymers, however, has shown that this type of structure, the -O-Si-O backbone with pendant reactive groups, may act as an "energy sink" during detonation. Resulting velocities may range 200-400 meters per second lower than those observed when the same explosive filler is combined with a different polymer such as a polyester. Thus, in view of other difficulties with this type of system which detract from the total final output - loadability problems and low energy fillers - choice of a binder which would further augment these shortcomings would be somewhat inadvisable.

Until the "energy sink" effect was disclosed, the superior thermal resistance and commercial availability of silicone binders favored their acceptance over that of fluorocarbons. However, during the past few years more commercial interest has been displayed in the latter field and increased production should lower costs and improve selection of raw materials and intermediates. In general, vinyl fluorocarbon monomers are advantageous from the standpoint of viscosity and density. However, they are often difficult to cure, especially in the presence of fillers containing nitro groups.

EXPLOSIVE FILLERS FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE CASTABLE COMPOSITIONS

During the past ten years the Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Maryland, has developed a number of heat resistant explosives based on benzene and polyphenyl derivatives (ref. 4). In addition, duPont has synthesized the explosive, TACOT. Some pertinent properties of these and several other explosives are compared in Table 1. More detailed information pertaining to those of particular interest is presented in the section following Table 1.
TABLE 1. Comparative Properties of Some Heat Resistant Explosives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosives</th>
<th>Melting point, °C</th>
<th>Autoignition point, °C</th>
<th>VTS, ml/g</th>
<th>Density, g/cc</th>
<th>Detonation velocity, m/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATB: diamino trinitrobenzene</td>
<td>275-280</td>
<td>295-305</td>
<td>3.4/hr (^b)</td>
<td>92.5/hr (^b)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATB: triamino trinitrobenzene</td>
<td>450 (^b)</td>
<td>320-325</td>
<td>1.0-1.2/hr (^b)</td>
<td>2.0/hr (^b)</td>
<td>1.938 (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACOT: tetranitrodibenzotetrazo-sa-pentalene</td>
<td>410 (^c)</td>
<td>350-360</td>
<td>0.1/hr (^b)</td>
<td>0.3/hr (^b)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNS: hexanitrostilbene</td>
<td>316 (^b)</td>
<td>300-325</td>
<td>0.23-1.68/hr (^b)</td>
<td>2.7/hr (^b)</td>
<td>1.74 (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONA: nonanitroterphenyl</td>
<td>440-450 (^b)</td>
<td>375(^b,d)</td>
<td>0.5/hr (^b)</td>
<td>0.89-3.7/hr (^b)</td>
<td>1.78 (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPAM: diamino hexanitrophenyl</td>
<td>306 (^b)</td>
<td>300(^b,d)</td>
<td>1.26-3.5/hr (^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.79 (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNG: tetranitrocarbazole</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07-0.51 hr/120°C</td>
<td>1.739 (^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnN: lead azide</td>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07-0.4 hr/100°C</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive &quot;D&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4-0.6 hr/150°C</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ammonium picrate</td>
<td>122-123 (^f)</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5-0.6 hr/120°C</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picric Acid trinitrophenol</td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6-0.8 hr/150°C</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX: cyclotetramethylene-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tetrantramine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 2°C temperature rise per minute. One gram samples. Referenced temperature is that of heating block.

\(^b\) Data furnished by the Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL/WO), White Oak, Maryland.

\(^c\) Data furnished by duPont.

\(^d\) DTA.

\(^e\) Data furnished by So. Dyestuffs Corporation.

\(^f\) Reference 5.

\(^g\) From autoignition thermogram.
DATB
2,6-diamino-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene

Autoignition Point, °C 295-305 (NWC)
Melting Point, °C 275-280 (NWC)
Impact Sensitivity No fires, 300 cm (NWC)\(^a\)
Friction Sensitivity 10/10 no fires, 440 kg-cm (NWC)
Electrostatic Sensitivity, 50% Pt. 4.65-8.03 joules (NWC)
Density, g/cm\(^3\) 1.83
Vacuum Thermal Stability 3-4 cc/g/hr @ 260°C (NOL)
Detonation Velocity, m/sec 7600 @ 98.2% TMD (NOL)
Heat of Formation, KC/mole -29.23 (NOL)
Detonation Pressure, K-bar 251 @ 98.2% TMD (NOL)
Plate Push, ft/sec 3028 @ 93% TMD (NOL)
Size Range, μ 6-40 unless recrystallized
Solubility Sol. DMF, DMSO
Cost per pound $7 - 50 depending on quantity
Suppliers Holston, Northrup-Carolina

Advantages: Comparatively high energy, low cost, availability, ease in recrystallization, insensitiveness.

Disadvantages: Low melting point, inferior heat resistance.

References: Amcel correspondence, 1962-1965. (Refs. 6,7,8,9,10.)

\(^a\) Composition B = 36 cm
TATB
2,4,6-triamino-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene

Autoignition Point, °C .......................... 320-325 (NWC)
Melting Point, °C ................................ 450 (NOL)
Impact Sensitivity ................................ no fires, 300 cm (NWC)
Friction Sensitivity ............................... 10/10 no fires, 440 kg-cm (NWC)
Electrostatic Sensitivity, 50% Pt. ................. 1.83 - 6.3 Joules (NWC)
Density, g/cm³ ..................................... 1.938 (NOL)
Vacuum Thermal Stability ....................... 0.12-0.29 ml/g/48 hr, 120°C (NWC)
.................................................. 1.04-1.23 ml/g/hr, 260°C (NOL)
.................................................. 2 ml/g/hr, 280°C (NOL)
Detonation Velocity, m/sec ....................... 7658 @ 95% TMD (NOL)
Heat of Formation, KC/mole ...................... -36.85 (NOL)
Detonation Pressure, K-bar ...................... 259 @ 95% TMD (NOL)
Plate Push, ft/sec ................................ 3124 ft/sec @ 93% TMD (NOL)
Size Range, μ ...................................... 15-25 mean diameter (NWC)
Solubility ........................................... virtually insoluble in all common solvents
Cost per pound .................................... $150-200, 1-25 lb lots, 1965
Suppliers .......................................... Northrup-Carolina

Advantages: Comparatively high energy, high density, low sensitivity, good heat stability.

Disadvantages: High cost, doubtful availability, fine size, insolubility.

References: 11 and 12.

a Composition B = 36 cm
TACOT
Tetranitrodibenzo-1,3a,4,6a-tetraazapentalene

Autoignition Point, °C 350–360 (NWC)
Melting Point, °C 410 (du Pont)
Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt. cm 66–89 (NWC)\(^a\) 101 (NO\(_3\))\(^b\)
Friction Sensitivity, 50% pt. kg-cm 418 to no fires at 440 (NWC)
Electrostatic Sensitivity, 50% Pt. 2.55 Joules (NWC)
Density, g/cm\(^3\) 1.85 (NOL)
Vacuum Thermal Stability
0.04–0.08 ml/g/48 hr, 120°C (NWC)
0.1 ml/g/hr, 260°C (NOL)
0.3 ml/g/hr, 280°C (NOL)

Detonation Velocity, m/sec
6448 @ 78.8% TMD (NOL)
7250 @ 89% TMD (du Pont)

Heat of Combustion, Cal/g
3545 (NOL)

Plate Push, ft/sec
2725 @ 93% TMD (NOL)

Explosive Power
96% TNT (du Pont)

Size Range, \(\mu\)
20 median diameter (NWC)

Solubility
Slight - nitrobenzene, DMF, DMSO, HNO\(_3\)

Cost per pound
$275, 2-10 lb lots

Suppliers
du Pont

Advantages: Excellent heat resistance, possibility of size modification.

Disadvantages: Comparatively low energy, questionable availability.


\(^a\) Composition B = 36 cm
\(^b\) Composition B = 60 cm
**HNS**

2,2',4,4',6,6'-hexanitrostilbene

![Chemical structure of HNS](image)

- **Autoignition Point, °C**: 300-325 (NWC)
- **Melting Point, °C**: 313-318 (NOL)
- **Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt, cm**: 39-61 (NOL) 19-36 (NWC)
- **Autoignition Point, °C**: 300-325 (NWC)
- **Melting Point, °C**: 313-318 (NOL)
- **Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt, cm**: 39-61 (NOL) 19-36 (NWC)

**Friction Sensitivity**
- 10/10 no fires @ 440 kg-cm (NWC)

**Electrostatic Sensitivity, 50% Pt**
- 3.6 Joules (NWC), 0.001 mfd, 8 KV, to 0.0001 mfd, 17 KV (NOL)

**Density, g/cm³**
- 1.74 (NOL)

**Vacuum Thermal Stability**
- 0.23-1.68 cc/g/hr, 260°C (NOL)
- 2.71-2.78 cc/g/hr, 280°C (NOL)

**Detonation Velocity, m/sec**
- 7000 @ 1.70 g/cm³ (NOL)

**Heat of Combustion, Cal/g**
- 3451 (NOTS)

**Size Range, μ**
- <10-725 (NOL)

**Weight Loss, 210°C, 48 hr**
- Negligible

**Decomposition Rate at 500°F**
- 0.1%/hr

**Cost per pound**
- $300 approx. (NWC)

**Suppliers**
- Northrup-Carolina

**Advantages**: Potentially cheap, susceptible to size modification, thermally stable.

**Disadvantages**: Low energy, less heat resistant than TACOT, sensitive.

**References**: Ref. 4, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17.

- a Varies with grade. Difficult to measure because of light, fluffy consistency. NOTS: Composition B = 36 cm; NOL: Composition B = 60 cm.
- b May vary with grade.
- c Made from TNT and sodium hypochlorite. Price should come down with production.
NONA
2,2',2''-4,4',6,6',6''-nonanitroterphenyl

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Decomposition Point, } ^\circ\text{C, DTA} & \quad 375 \text{ (Northrup-Carolina)} \\
\text{Melting Point, } ^\circ\text{C} & \quad 440-450 \text{ (NOL)} \\
\text{Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt} & \quad 29-39 \text{ (NOL)}^a, 58 \text{ (Northrup-Carolina)}^b \\
\text{Electrostatic Sensitivity} & \quad \text{Fires } >0.001 \text{ mfd } @ 8 \text{ KV (NOL)} \\
\text{Density, g/cm}^3 & \quad 1.78 \text{ (NOL)} \\
\text{Vacuum Thermal Stability} & \quad 0.5 \text{ cc/g/hr, } 260^\circ\text{C (NOL)} \\
\text{Detonation Velocity, m/sec} & \quad 7400 @ 1.70 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ (NOL)} \\
\text{Size Range, } \mu & \quad 10-150 \text{ } (\text{NOL}) \\
\text{Weight Loss, } 210^\circ\text{C, 48 hr} & \quad 0.5\% \text{ (NOL)} \\
\text{Cost per pound} & \quad $1,800^c \\
\text{Suppliers} & \quad \text{Northrup-Carolina}
\end{align*}
\]

**Advantages:** Excellent thermal stability, fair energy.

**Disadvantages:** Costly and limited availability, somewhat sensitive.

**References:** Ref. 3, 4, 15, 18, 19.

- a Composition B = 60 cm.
- b HMX = 65 cm.
- c Availability very limited. Made from dibromotrinitrobenzene and picryl chloride. Difficult to synthesize.
DIPAM
3,3'-diamino-2,2',4,4',6,6'-hexanitrobiphenyl

Decomposition Point, °C, DTA 300 (NOL)
Melting Point, °C 304-306°C (NOL)
Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt 95-132 (NOL)a, 171 (Northrup-Carolina)b
Electrostatic Sensitivity Fires >0.001 mfd 8 KV (NOL)
Density, g/cm³ 1.79 (NOL)
Vacuum Thermal Stability 1.26-3.5 cc/g/hr, 260°C (NOL)
Detonation Velocity, m/sec 7400 @ 1.76 g/cm³ (NOL)
Heat of Detonation, Cal/g 845 (NOL)
Weight Loss, 48 hr, 210°C negligible
Decomposition Rate, 500°F 0.2-0.3%/hr (NOL)
Size Range, μ 10-40 (NOL)
Cost per pound $600-700
Suppliers Northrup-Carolina

Advantages: Comparatively fair energy and heat resistance.

Disadvantages: Costly and unavailable, fine particle size.

References: Ref. 3, 4, 12, 15, 20, 21.

a Composition B = 60 cm.
b HMX = 65 cm.
TNC
1,3,6,8-tetranitrocarbazole

Autoignition Temperature, °C  310 (NWC)
Melting Point, °C  293-305 (NWC)
Impact Sensitivity, 50% Pt. cm  3/3 no fires or burns @ 79 cm
burned at greater drop heights (NWC)
Impact Sensitivity  10/10 no fires, 440 kg-cm (NWC)
Friction Sensitivity  50% Pt. 1.41 Joules (NWC)
Electrostatic Sensitivity, 50% Pt. Density, g/cm³  1.739 (NWC)
Vacuum Thermal Stability  0.07 cc/g/51 hrs @ 120°C (NWC)
Detonation Velocity, m/sec  No information available.
Heat of Combustion, Cal/g  3817 (NWC)
Size range, μ  18 μ mean diameter (NWC)
Solubility  Sol. hot acetone
Cost per pound  $2.50 (1965)
Suppliers  Southern Dyestuff Co.

Advantages: Low cost, excellent availability, insensitive, could be recrystallized.

Disadvantages: Low energy, somewhat low melting point.

References: Correspondence, Southern Dyestuff Co. to B. A. Stott, 4-12-65.
At the time this project was undertaken, available data for heat resistant explosive candidates indicated TATB or TACOT to be the most feasible for immediate investigation. TATB, however, has the disadvantage of very small particle size and very poor loadability in castable formulations. Moreover, any attempts to recrystallize it to obtain a more favorable size distribution appear discouraging because of its negligible solubility in all common solvents. Although TACOT may be less promising from the standpoint of energy than TATB, possibilities of recrystallizing to larger size with resultant improvement in loadability might well compensate. Furthermore, the outstanding thermal stability of TACOT provides further recommendation for primary consideration.

Most preliminary screening studies with various binders were carried out with three different explosive fillers, TACOT, TATB, and DATB. The latter was included because of its comparatively low cost, relatively high energy and ease of recrystallization. However, in respect to heat resistance, its melting point at 275°C could put it at a disadvantage. Lead azide was excluded from immediate consideration because of handling hazards, tetranitrocarbazole because of unfavorable energy characteristics, and the two polyphenyls, NONA and DIPAM because of high cost and questionable availability. HNS was regarded as a possible replacement candidate for either TACOT or TATB. However, since its explosive properties were at the time relatively unknown, its thermal stability was inferior to that of TACOT, and it was more sensitive than many other explosives in its category, initial efforts were concentrated on TACOT and TATB with HNS to be studied at greater length if the former two should not prove feasible.

Solubility studies of TACOT indicate that this explosive will dissolve to a limited extent in a number of solvent systems. Results are described in Table 2. A similar survey has not been made as yet in the case of TATB; however, communication with its suppliers indicate much difficulty may be anticipated in attempting recrystallization. In the case of TACOT, dimethyl-sulfoxide appears to be one of the best solvents and a promising product was obtained by slow cooling and addition of hexanes to a saturated solution of TACOT in DMSO. Further work is needed to verify and optimize initial procedures.

In conducting the observations listed in Table 2, progressive attempts were made to completely dissolve TACOT in the specified solvent, first by warming, then by dilution. When appreciable solution occurred, crystals were obtained by slow cooling. These were then

---

1 Process developed by M. Pakulak (Naval Weapons Center).
observed microscopically and in the case of DMSO were studied by
differential thermal analysis in an attempt to determine whether degra-
dation, adduct formation, or other modification had occurred. In several
instances, namely pyridine, hydroxyethylmorpholine, and dimethyl formamide/
nitrobenzene, the appearance and odor of the recovered product strongly
indicated chemical interaction with the solvent. The DMSO or DMSO/hexane
product, however, seemed to be unchanged except for physical size and
shape.
## Table 2: Solubility of TACOT in Various Liquids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent system</th>
<th>Concentration: TACOT/solvent</th>
<th>Appearance after cooling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 g/10 ml</td>
<td>0.1 g/20 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ambient temperature 80° C</td>
<td>Ambient temperature 80° C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl Sulfoxide</td>
<td>Appreciably soluble.</td>
<td>Completely soluble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma butyrolactone</td>
<td>Very slightly soluble.</td>
<td>Appreciably soluble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl Formamide/Toluene 1:1</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
<td>Formed cake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric Acid, 95%</td>
<td>About 40% dissolved.</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyethyl morpholine</td>
<td>Appreciable attack.</td>
<td>Nearly complete solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl Formamide/Nitrobenzene 1:1</td>
<td>Appreciable attack.</td>
<td>Appreciable attack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl Formamide/CCl₃ 1:1</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
<td>Slightly soluble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzeno</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacetone Alcohol</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl isobutylcarbinol</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl acetate</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
<td>Very low solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylen Chloride</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
<td>Negligible solubility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copious long, fine needles, orange, 200-300μ long, fragile. Resembles original product. Rust-colored aggregates 0.01-0.03 inch diameter which break down to give fine powder <1μ diameter. Bright orange platelets, roughly hexagonal, very fine to >150μ. Thin rust-colored needles, 20μ thick x 200-500μ long, discolored at rent, evidence of chemical change. Viscous and black. Obvious decomposition. Dark and tarry. Chemical reaction. Brick shaped aggregates of fine crystals plus powder <1μ. Pronounced odor. Lemon colored, not much size change.
BINDERS FOR HEAT RESISTANT EXPLOSIVES

A number of different types of castable, polymerizable resins and monomers were investigated for use with heat resistant explosive fillers. Included were polyesters, epoxies, unsaturated hydrocarbons, and silicones. A vinyl plastisol system was also examined in some detail because of its promising physical properties and convenient curing schedule. Fluorocarbons were considered but were not included in preliminary work because suitable types were not available commercially at the time.

Binders were evaluated in respect to the following considerations.

Processability. For adequate loadability viscosity should not be higher than that of Laminac EPX 147-2, the binder used for PBXN-101 and PBXN-102. Gel times should be reproducible and controllable within practical limits. Curing conditions should not be overly exacting and should not require or produce temperatures which might affect the stability of the filler.

Compatibility. The presence of the binder should not degrade the explosive. Combined filler and binder should exhibit as good thermal stability as the filler alone when subjected to autoignition, DTA, sensitivity, or vacuum thermal stability tests.

Heat Resistance. The binder alone should withstand temperatures up to the decomposition point of the explosive without severe gas evolution, burning, charring, liquefaction, or other serious degradation.

Physical Properties. The composition should cure with a minimum of shrinkage to a dense, reasonably strong solid, resistant to mechanical and thermal shock.

Various candidate binders were formulated and cured both alone and combined with the explosive fillers, DATB, TATB, TACOT, and in a few cases TNC. Loadability was limited to 40-60% filler because of unfavorable particle size distribution of the fillers. Satisfactory cured compositions were then evaluated and compared on the basis of their autoignition thermograms. Heat stability of the binders alone was determined by oven tests in which the material was heated in closed tubes at a rate of approximately 150°C per hour to a temperature of 380°C. Observations at 50° intervals and after cooling at the conclusion of the test revealed major decomposition characteristics. Very few formulations were found which could satisfactorily meet all the requirements described.

Two of the more promising, which contained TACOT with silicone binder, were scaled up from the preliminary 5-10 gram scale to 150-200 grams. These were cast without difficulty into 0.5-inch teflon molds, cured, and
sectioned into 0.75-inch lengths for measurements of detonation velocity and plate dent. HMX/silicone and TACOT/Laminac formulations were prepared as controls in order to more accurately compare the behavior of new explosive and binder with materials of better known characteristics.

EPOXY BINDERS

These varied in type from the conventional bisphenol A derivatives to the newer epoxy functional silanes. A few polyfunctional types such as Oxiron 2002 and allyl-epoxies were also investigated. In cases where high viscosity was a problem satisfactory dilution could usually be effected by use of either a low viscosity curing agent such as methyl nadic anhydride or a liquid amine, or a reactive diluent such as phenyl or allyl glycidyl ether.

Epoxy binders all exhibited one serious difficulty which rendered them unacceptable as high temperature materials. Autoignition tests on cured filled and unfilled compositions revealed the occurrence of severe exotherms at temperatures often as low as 160-230°C. This heat evolution, presumably the result of a secondary curing reaction, was usually sufficient to ignite the explosive filler and in a few cases even resulted in ignition of unfilled binder. Many of the epoxy materials were also quite hard and brittle and would probably require plasticization in order to withstand vibration and sudden temperature changes.

A summary of typical epoxy binders investigated is presented in Table 3.

POLYESTER BINDERS

Polyester resins in general are not noted for their resistance to extremely high temperatures. However, a few, usually modified by triallylcyanurate crosslinker, are reported to withstand exposures up to 500°F. Two sources were investigated, American Cyanamid Laminacs and Naugatuck Chemical Vibrin polyesters.

An initial test with Laminac EPX 147-2, a styrenated polyester used as binder for PBXN-101, revealed very poor heat resistance in combination with the three explosives DATB, TATB, and TACOT. Respective autoignition temperatures were 263, 276, and 256°C, thus representing decreases from 30 to 100° below the ignition points of the explosives themselves.

Vibrin resins 135 and 136A, polyesters modified with triallylcyanurate, were evaluated, both undiluted and thinned with various low viscosity monomers. Viscosity before dilution was unacceptable. Monomers tried as diluents included styrene, divinyl benzene, and diallylphthalate. Benzoyl peroxide or t-butylperbenzoate were used as initiators. In every case the resulting filled, cured compositions when subjected to thermal analysis showed pronounced exotherms at temperatures between 189 and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of material</th>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Curing agents used</th>
<th>Other additives</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell Epon 815</td>
<td>bisphenol A derivative</td>
<td>m-phenylenediamine, methyl nadic anhydride, BP complex, peroxides when applicable.</td>
<td>phenylglycidyl ether, Allyl glycidyl ether.</td>
<td>Good physical properties.</td>
<td>Diluent required. Autoignition with explosive 200-250°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Carbide ERLA 0510</td>
<td>p-aminoglycidyl phenol</td>
<td>Union Carbide 0812 and 0822 liquid amines, methyl nadic anhydride, tetrapropenyl succinic anhydride</td>
<td>Monsanto Modepox, polylallylglycidyl ether, GE Methylol</td>
<td>Good viscosity, Good physical properties.</td>
<td>Post-cure exotherms on heating to 230-270°C. Low autoignition values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Carbide Unox 207X</td>
<td>dicyclopentadiene dioxide</td>
<td>Maleic anhydride</td>
<td>polypropylene glycol</td>
<td>Good viscosity.</td>
<td>Low autoignition values, Exotherms at 255 and 274°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift Epoxy 9-5</td>
<td>long chain polyepoxide of glycerol</td>
<td>methyl nadic anhydride</td>
<td>General Electric Methylol</td>
<td>Highly reactive, Used principally as acid scavenger &amp; stabilizer.</td>
<td>Poor viscosity, questionable compatibility, premature exotherms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMC Corp, Oxiron 2002</td>
<td>epoxidized polyolefin</td>
<td>methyl nadic anhydride, dicumyl peroxide.</td>
<td>ethylene dimethacrylate, phenyl &amp; allyl glycidyl ethers, GE Methylol</td>
<td>Multifunctional, Variety of formulations possible.</td>
<td>Diluent required. Unstable at high temperatures, low autoignition points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Corning 26077</td>
<td>1, 3-bis (3 glycidoxypropyl) tetramethyl disiloxane.</td>
<td>methyl nadic anhydride, Shell curing agent CL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very low viscosity. Good physical properties with curing agent CL.</td>
<td>Incompatible with explosives. Autoignition as low as 188°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson-Cuming Ecomold L-266</td>
<td>Not known.</td>
<td>Catalyst 17 Emerson-Cuming.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Good physical properties.</td>
<td>High viscosity unless warmed, Low autoignition values.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a See section on silicone binders.
248°C, which were sufficient to ignite the test sample. In this case, as with epoxies, probably a secondary curing reaction is involved which liberates substantial amounts of heat.

Preliminary observations were carried out with Laminac resin 4232. This, like the Vibrin polyesters, is modified with triallylcyanurate. The material was highly viscous as received and was difficult to handle and dissolve in suitable monomeric thinners. Since previous work with other triallylcyanurate-based polyesters indicated serious stability problems after introduction of filler, further studies in this area were not continued.

VINYL PLASTISOLS

A brief survey was made to determine whether this type of system would withstand temperatures over 300°C when combined with explosive filler.

Plastisol systems offer a number of advantages. First, since curing is a physical rather than chemical mechanism, no hazardous exotherms from this source are anticipated. Also, curing times are generally very short. Furthermore, viscosity can be readily modified by addition of more plasticizer usually without too great a sacrifice in physical properties. The cured formulations are resilient, solid and very strong and tough, provided plasticizer content is not excessive.

Two commercial materials were investigated, Union Carbide formulation QYLF/QYNL/ZKRA, and Watson Standard 21-726. The first was rejected on the basis of difficult processability and poor heat resistance; the latter showed some promise when compounded with TATB and a suitable stabilizer. However, oven tests on unfilled binders revealed liquefaction and degradation starting below 200°C.

Table 4 summarizes observations made on Watson Standard plastisols containing TATB filler. TACOT and DATB were also studied; however, in the case of these fillers autoignition results were inferior.

Watson standard 21-726 is polyvinyl chloride dispersed in phthalic ester. Santicizer S-141 is octyl triphenyl phosphate and Epoxol 9-5 and MethyIon 75108 possess the following structures:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_2\text{OC} & \quad \text{CHCHCH}_2\text{CHCH}_2\text{CHCHCH} \quad \text{CHCHCH}_3 \\
\text{CHOC} & \quad \text{CHCHCH}_2\text{CHCH(CH}_2\text{)}\text{CH}_3 \\
\text{CH}_2\text{OC} & \quad \text{CHCH(CH}_2\text{)}\text{CH}_3
\end{align*}
\]

Epoxol 9-5
The effect of Methylon in raising the autoignition point of TATB-filled compositions is interesting. Parallel results were not noted when either DATB or TACOT was used as filler. Autoignition tests only were performed on most of these formulations. Since physical consistency could therefore not be directly observed during the heating process, additional oven tests on binders alone may be of interest. The limiting factor in considering the PVC plastisol type of binders appears to be physical deterioration at temperatures considerably lower than the desired 500°F.

MISCELLANEOUS BINDERS

The following materials were screened with respect to high temperature compatibility with DATB, TATB, and TACOT.

Oxiron 2002 (Food Machinery and Chemical Corp.)

This is a polyfunctional compound containing unsaturated, hydroxy, ester, and epoxy groups. Viscosity without dilution was too high for good solids loading. Autoignition tests showed that heat resistance of filled compositions was too low to warrant further consideration.

Butarez CTL (Phillips Petroleum)

This resin is a carboxy terminated butadiene prepolymer. Like Oxiron 2002, it requires dilution or warming to achieve desired solids loading. Autoignition tests revealed that heat resistance was inadequate.

Methylon 75108 (General Electric)

This material was described in a previous section as an additive to stabilize PVC plastisol systems. It was also investigated as a component in epoxy and vinyl formulations. Alone it is too viscous to permit
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binder components</th>
<th>Respective ratio, %</th>
<th>Viscosity of binder</th>
<th>Cured appearance</th>
<th>Autoignition point, °C</th>
<th>Oven tests, binder alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726 unmodified(^b)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Tough, flexible, solid.</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>180°C tacky, 200°C liquefied, fumes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726/S-141(^b)</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Rubbery and flexible, softer and more flexible as S-141 increases.</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726/S-141/Epoxyol 9-5(^c)</td>
<td>50/30/20</td>
<td>Needs improvement</td>
<td>Rubbery and flexible.</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726/S-141/PAGE(^d)</td>
<td>50/30/20</td>
<td>Thin and fluid</td>
<td>Rubbery and flexible.</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726/DOP(^e)/Methylol(^f)</td>
<td>45/45/10</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Excellent, tough and rubbery.</td>
<td>302-315</td>
<td>160°C liquefied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/30/20</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Similar to above.</td>
<td>300-306</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40/40/20</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Similar to above.</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 21-726/DAP/DO(^g)/Methylol with dicumyl peroxide</td>
<td>40/20/20/20</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Rubbery, weaker than preceding samples.</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% peroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Contains approximately 30% phthalate ester as received.
\(^b\)Octyl diphenyl phosphate, Monsanto Chemical.
\(^c\)Shell epoxidized glycidyl ester.
\(^d\)Polyallylglycidyl ether, Shell.
\(^e\)Diocetylphthalate.
\(^f\)C. E. phenolic resin described in previous section.
\(^g\)A sample containing 21-726/Methylol 90:10 with no diluent showed only slight tackiness with no fumes at 200°C. Viscosity uncurd was similar to that of unmodified 21-726. Testing above 200°C with or without filler was not carried out for this composition.
desired solids loading; therefore, a number of diluents were tried and resulting compositions were evaluated with respect to cured properties and stability. Included as diluents and comonomers were phenyl glycidyl ether, allyl glycidyl ether, styrene, glycidyl acrylate, polyallyl glycidyl ether, diallylphthalate, ethylene dimethacrylate, Swift Epoxol 9-5, and octylene oxide. A variety of anhydride and peroxide curing agents were tried. Resulting products were all very brittle and showed questionable compatibility with the explosive fillers, either producing discoloration or autoignition exotherms as low as 160-200°C.

Polyurethanes

This type of binder is not especially noted for heat resistance. Some consideration was given use of the polyphenyl isocyanate, PAPI

\[
\text{OCH}_2\text{-} \text{NCO}
\]

as a nucleus for designing a more thermally stable structure. However, since it was felt that other types of polymers such as silicones would ultimately prove superior, experimental work was postponed.

FLUOROCARBON RESINS

Little work was undertaken in evaluating the feasibility of fluoropolymer/DATB-TATB-TACOT compositions for high temperature applications because of limited availability and high cost of suitable commercial monomers. Based on present knowledge and experience, fluorinated resins should be fairly heat resistant, especially if structures are selected to avoid dehydrohalogenation reactions.

To verify compatibility of explosive fillers with this general type of binder, autoignition tests were conducted on intimate mixtures of TACOT, TATB, DATB, and HNS with du Pont Viton A, a copolymer of vinylidene fluoride and perfluoropropylene. The following results were obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosive</th>
<th>Binder</th>
<th>Autoignition Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TACOT</td>
<td>Viton</td>
<td>350°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATB</td>
<td>Viton</td>
<td>312°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATB</td>
<td>Viton</td>
<td>287°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNS</td>
<td>Viton</td>
<td>325°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Test samples were prepared by dissolving Viton A in ethyl acetate, mixing the solution with explosive powder, and then removing ethyl acetate by evaporation.
Since the autoignition thermograms were typical of those for the explosive alone, complete compatibility is indicated and further investigation would appear to be warranted. Future effort should include attempts to prepare suitable monomers from available fluorinated intermediates.

SILICONE BINDERS

For resisting high temperatures silicone resins are generally considered superior to all other conventional types. However, a number of problems involved in using them as binders for explosives delayed their consideration somewhat for the present project.

Commercially available silicone casting resins range from clear, fairly low-viscosity potting media to thick, heavy rubber prepolymers. Many of the former cure to form weak gels. The latter, while excellent from the standpoint of cured physical properties, are unacceptable in respect to initial viscosity and contain inorganic fillers such as iron oxide, calcium carbonate and siliceous materials. Curing of silicone resins may be effected by a number of mechanisms depending on the functional substituents attached to the siloxane backbone of the prepolymer molecule. Active groups, for example, may consist of epoxy, vinyl, isocyanate, or ether configurations which react upon the addition of suitable curing agents to form a solid polymer. Since results of earlier work with hydrocarbon systems had indicated limited stability in the case of some mechanisms such as epoxy, study of silicone polymerization was directed mainly toward three major types: vinyl addition, vinyl polymerization, and silanol condensation.

Vinyl Addition

These are two component systems consisting of polyfunctional vinyl and silane resins. Typical reaction involves the addition of silanic hydrogen to the double bond in the presence of a platinum catalyst.

\[ R_2\text{MeSiCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SiMeR} + R_2\text{MeSiH} \rightarrow R_2\text{MeSiCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SiMeR}_2 \]

R and R' are long chain methyl siloxane groups with repeating vinyl and silane functionality.

---

\(^3\) DATB value is somewhat lower than that previously quoted because of different source and grade.
The reaction may be difficult to control and is sometimes subject to variation with changes in environmental conditions, especially in the presence of various additives such as explosive fillers. In these cases gassing as well as failure to solidify may result. Furthermore, commercially available products are either marginal or unacceptable from the standpoint of viscosity. A diluent is needed, which may further complicate the reaction and adversely affect curing rates and final properties.

**Vinyl Polymerization**

A limited number of resins and a fairly wide selection of silane monomers are available which contain ethylenic groups capable of polymerizing by free radical mechanisms of the type:

\[ \text{R}^* + \text{CH}_2 = \text{CH} \rightarrow \text{RCH}_2 = \text{CH}^* \]

\[ \text{RCH}_2 = \text{CH}^* + \text{CH}_2 = \text{CH} \rightarrow \text{RCH}_2 = \text{CH} - \text{CH}_2 = \text{CH}^* \text{ etc.} \]

Theoretically, overly viscous resins could be diluted with reactive comonomers such as vinyl alkyl silanes or compounds such as styrene or diallylphthalate to obtain optimum viscosity and good cured properties. However, as in the case of vinyl addition, vinyl polymerization of silanic derivatives may be difficult to control in formulating explosive compositions. Silane diluents themselves are not readily polymerizable, often requiring severe conditions of heat and pressure. Furthermore, the reactivity of both diluents and resins is subject to retardation in the presence of explosive fillers, especially those containing nitro groups. Moreover, shrinkage and curing exotherms may constitute problems.

**Condensation Polymerization**

RTV rubbers are formed by the condensation of prepolymers containing alkox or acetoxy substituents:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

\[ \equiv \text{SiO} \text{R} \rightarrow \equiv \text{SiO} \text{H} + \text{ROH} \]

\[ 2\equiv \text{SiO} \text{H} \rightarrow \equiv \text{SiO} \text{Si} \equiv + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Hydrolysis occurs in moist air and curing may be accelerated by use of bases and heavy metal soaps such as lead octoate or dibutyl tin dilaurate. Reaction time is generally short, occurs at ambient or near-ambient temperatures, and may be affected by factors such as ambient humidity, size of casting, and design of mold. Furthermore, explosives such as DATB which contain primary amine groups may affect or enter into the curing reaction. Since volatile products are formed as a result of
silanol condensation, final castings may be porous if functionality is high and gasses cannot escape prior to solidification.

**Combined Curing Mechanisms**

Advantages of each type of cure might be realized and attendant difficulties minimized by combining functional types. For example, a polyfunctional diluent may react with an RTV rubber resin:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \quad \Rightarrow \quad \equiv \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si}-\text{OR} + \text{R'}\text{O}-\text{Si}-\text{R''} = \text{CH}_2 \\
\text{heat} & \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{HC}-\text{R''} \equiv \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si} \\
\text{initiators} & \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{H}_2\text{C} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{HC}-\text{R''} \equiv \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Si}
\end{align*}
\]

Initial viscosity is thus reduced, increased crosslinking may augment strength and resistance to thermal degradation, and porosity may be substantially lessened by selecting constituent ratios and curing schedules to permit gas evolving condensation reactions to be completed at lower temperatures before final vinyl polymerization has occurred.

Some fairly promising binders were obtained by the latter techniques. However, two major difficulties were encountered, namely: (a) excessively rapid gelation with high alkoxy contents and (b) tendency for vinyl containing diluents to volatilize rather than polymerize. Best properties resulted with a binder containing approximately 50% RTV resin diluted with both vinyl monomer and nonreactive polysiloxane fluid.

**Results for Resin Types Based on Silane Addition**

Detailed observations made on various commercial materials and modifications thereof are summarized in Appendix C, Table 11. These materials comprise chiefly the Dow-Corning Sylgard resins 182 and 184 and General Electric 615, all two-component systems. Most of the work was conducted with Sylgard 184 which was a representative type and comparatively low in viscosity.

Since viscosity as received, even in the case of the best resins, was marginal, three kinds of diluent were tried, inert polydimethylsiloxane fluids, vinyl silanes, and vinyl-alkoxy silanes. The first were not very effective in reducing viscosity. Furthermore, physical strength was lowered when enough was added for adequate thinning, and high temperature stability was impaired, with binder liquefaction occurring at temperatures over 300°C. This latter phenomenon may be attributed to the conversion of linear siloxane polymers to cyclic tetramers of relatively low molecular weight.
Vinyl and alkoxyvinyl silane diluents combined with Sylgard 184 at first appeared to produce promising compositions, especially with the explosive fillers TATB and TACOT. DATB filled compositions usually failed to solidify. Further investigation, however, showed that consistent results were very difficult to obtain in any case. Successful cures and subsequent stability were dependent on such environmental cures and subsequent stability were dependent on such environmental factors as mold configuration. Reducing the exposed surface area of the casting, for example, might result in gas evolution, discoloration, partial or complete failure to cure and other evidences of incompatibility. To overcome these difficulties several approaches were tried, including altering stoichiometric ratios of resin, curative, and diluent, precoating the explosive filler, and using non-silanic diluents such as styrene. In all cases, results were unacceptable, erratic and dependent on environmental factors. Binder alone cured differently from binder plus filler and evidence indicated the likelihood of competing reactions between various binder components and explosive fillers. Without fillers, cures were more consistent under all conditions. With filler, better cures were obtained under conditions of low humidity. Also, compositions containing the amino-nitro benzenes, DATB and TATB were stronger and tougher than those containing TACOT. It may be presumed, therefore, that in the presence of the former two compounds and the absence of moisture silanic addition of the two Sylgard components was favored, while the alkoxy diluent either reacted with the explosive filler or volatilized.

At higher ambient humidities, filled compositions tended to be porous, weaker, and tackier. In this case it is possible that the silanic hydrogen of the Sylgard curative was hydrolyzed, producing hydrogen gas, and the resulting silanol underwent condensation with the alkoxy diluent, leaving substantial percentages of unreacted vinyl resin and filler and under confined conditions, alcoholic byproducts.

Since Sylgard cures appeared difficult to control and condensable rubber resins at the time were showing more promise, work on the silane addition type was discontinued.

GENERAL ELECTRIC RTV 615. This material was very similar in behavior to Sylgard. Since it suffered from all the disadvantages of the latter besides having a higher viscosity, investigation was limited to initial examination only.

UNION CARBIDE Y-3466/3467. A three-component system comprising a methyl polysiloxane, Y-3467, a crosslinking agent containing silanic hydrogen, Y-3466 (L-31), and a metal soap catalyst, KL-1800, was tried alone and with the diluents vinyl triisopropoxy silane and divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane. Without diluent the resin was too viscous; however, compatibility with the three explosives, DATB, TATB, and TACOT appeared to be excellent, based on autoignition results. With or without diluent, gassing and subsequent porosity was impossible to control. Further work with this binder was therefore discontinued.
Silicone Resins Containing Polymerizable Vinyl Groups

Several proprietary resins were investigated as well as a number of low viscosity monomeric silanes. Results in general were very poor. Excellent, tough, solid polymers were frequently obtained on curing the binder alone; however, in the presence of the explosive filler curing was inhibited. Use of different curing agents and different comonomers failed to effect any improvement. The following formulations were studied:

UNION CARBIDE XR 65. This resin was too viscous as received. It was diluted with various monomers with the following results:

- styrene
- diallyl phthalate
- divinyl benzene
- tetraallyl orthosilicate
- vinyl pyrrolidone
- ethylene dimethacrylate
- glycidyl acrylate
- allyl glycidyl ether
- vinyl triisoproxy silane
- allyl ethoxy silane mixture
- methacryloxy propyl trimethoxysilane (Z-6030)

failed to cure with explosives
failed to cure with explosives
milky, waxy and weak
no cure with explosives
no cure with explosives
milky and tacky
milky and tacky
sticky, tacky, incomplete cure
failed to cure with DATB and TACOT, TATB putty-like
discoloration and gassing with explosive filler
cured to firm consistency but autoignition results indicated incompatibility

DOW-CORNING R-7521. This is a low viscosity material which without filler can be cured at 125-150°C with dicup to produce a flexible polymer. Physical properties both alone and in combination with other monomers are very promising. An exceptionally strong, tough rubber was obtained by curing with two other components, vinyl triisoproxy silane and Eccosil 4712A. However, in the presence of explosives either the compositions failed to cure or other incompatibility was evidenced by gassing, discoloration and low autoignition values.

DOW-CORNING R-7501. This resin is similar to R-7521 in its general behavior and properties but viscosity is higher, thus necessitating use of a diluent. In the absence of filler, promising cures were obtained with vinyl triisoproxy silane, vinyl pyrrolidone, styrene, ethylene dimethacrylate and methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane. Questionable or unsuccessful products resulted when tetraallyl orthosilicate, diallylphthalate or Dow-Corning 1330 polydimethyl siloxane fluid were used. In the presence of explosive fillers failure to cure was observed in all
cases except with ethylene dimethacrylate and the methacrylate functional silane. However, the latter two compositions were incompatible in auto-ignition tests.

VINYL TRISOPROPOXY SILANE. A number of different copolymers were tried but none offered sufficient promise to warrant continuing investigation. Either the formulation failed to polymerize or physical properties of the cured polymer were unacceptable.

METHACRYLOXYPROPYL TRIMETHOXY SILANE (Z-6030). Fair to good products were obtained both with 6030 alone and in combination with vinyl trisopropoxy silane, allyl ethoxy silanes, and vinyl trialloyx silane. However, low autoignition results indicated questionable compatibility when DATB, TATB or TACOT were included.

RTV Rubbers

A number of RTV rubbers were investigated as heat resistant binders. The most successful were Dow-Corning Silastic 521 and Emerson-Cuming Eccosil 4712A. These were combined with several types of diluent and formulated with explosive fillers. The most promising were evaluated for thermal stability and explosive properties.

Commercial RTV rubbers are characterized by good strength and toughness, excellent flexibility and resistance to thermal shock, and superior heat stability. However, they possess a number of disadvantages for application as explosive binders. First, the viscosity is high and loadability is poor. This defect can be more or less overcome by the use of suitable diluents. Secondly, all resins supplied by commercial sources contain inert mineral fillers such as iron oxide or talc and have not been available in any other form. Again, use of diluents serves to overcome the effect of this filler on viscosity. However, such inert additives contribute in no way to the eventual end purpose of the formulation and only serve to increase weight and volume. Lastly, the condensation curing mechanism may be a potential source of difficulty due to the evolution of volatile by-products which in some cases might affect the stability of the final product as well as produce porosity and voids. However, most of the resins tested were of low functionality so that with careful selection of diluent and judicious control of curing rate condensation gases per se did not appear to offer too great a problem.

ECCOSIL 4712. Initial studies were carried out using the resin system Eccosil 4712A with Eccosil catalyst 4712D. These materials were originally part of a four-component formulation, Eccosil A-B-C-D, comprising respectively: an RTV silicone resin, a low viscosity epoxy, an epoxy curing agent, and a curative for the silicone. The epoxy constituents were found to be incompatible with explosive fillers and evidenced characteristics typical of those already described in the section dealing with epoxies. The silicone portion, except for its high viscosity,
appeared promising, with evidence of good compatibility and excellent physical characteristics on curing with explosive fillers.

To improve viscosity five types of thinner were investigated: (a) non-reactive dimethyl polysiloxane fluids, (b) alkoxy silanes, (c) unsaturated silanes, (d) vinyl or allyl-alkoxy silanes, and (e) unsaturated hydrocarbons. The best diluent systems were then tried with other RTV resins as substitutes for the Eccosil in an attempt to find an alternate source with low viscosity and equivalent or superior properties.

POLYSILOXANE DILUENTS. Several different silicone fluids were investigated as diluents for Eccosil 4712A/explosive compositions. These included materials of different viscosities and structures, some containing phenyl as well as methyl groups. A summary of results is presented in Table 5.

All formulations based on RTV resin with silicone fluids were characterized by very high autoignition points; in fact, the stability of the explosive actually seemed to be improved in the presence of the binder. Oven tests on the binder alone revealed that liquefaction occurs at temperatures over 300°C. This reaction, if endothermic or producing products of greater heat capacity, could account for the rise in auto-ignition point.

Polysiloxanes were not found to be optimum diluents: first, because of their physical instability below the decomposition temperature of TACOT, secondly, because they failed to reduce viscosity adequately unless used in high concentration, and thirdly, because their effect on physical properties was detrimental. As the percentage of diluent increased, compositions became very flexible and soft with low tear resistance. Phenyl siloxanes were not compatible with the Eccosil resin and separated out before or during curing.

Peroxides were incorporated in an attempt to produce crosslinks and thereby better physical properties and heat stability. However, little improvement was effected. Moreover, the peroxide appeared to introduce minor compatibility difficulties, lowering the autoignition point by 15-25°C and in some cases producing slight discoloration.

Cerium acetyl acetonate has been used effectively as a complexing agent to prevent high temperature cyclization of polymethyl siloxanes (Ref. 22). This approach has not been tried as yet in the present work. While it might result in improved heat resistance, the disadvantages of poor viscosity reduction and inferior physical properties would still have to be considered in modifying formulations with silicone fluids.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diluents</th>
<th>Ratio Eccosil: Dileuent</th>
<th>Binder Viscosity</th>
<th>Appearance After Cure</th>
<th>Oven Test Results, Unfilled</th>
<th>Autoignition With Filler, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dow-Corning 1330</td>
<td>10:7-9</td>
<td>mediocre</td>
<td>rubbery, flexible, relatively soft, low tear resistance.</td>
<td>320-330°C starts to liquefy. 340 liquid.</td>
<td>331 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:9</td>
<td>mediocre</td>
<td>slightly stronger and harder than above.</td>
<td>310 fumes. 340 liquid.</td>
<td>317 335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Corning 200</td>
<td>10:7.8</td>
<td>mediocre</td>
<td>flexible, solid, low tear resistance.</td>
<td>310°C fumes.</td>
<td>315 310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric 910</td>
<td>10:9.3</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>rubbery, somewhat elastic.</td>
<td>310-320°C fumes. 330 liquefies 340 liquid</td>
<td>335 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric SF 96(50)</td>
<td>10:13.8</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>weak, porous.</td>
<td>290°C discolored 310 fumes 345 liquefaction</td>
<td>--- 329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric SF 96(100)</td>
<td>10:10.4</td>
<td>very poor</td>
<td>very porous, swollen.</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric SF 1017c</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a 4712D, lead octoate, or dibutyltindilaurate catalyst, 0.2% based on binder weight, step cured to 125°C from ambient in 48 hours.
b 1.5% dicup in binder
c Phenyl methyl silicone.
ALKOXY SILANE DILUENTS. A summary of results for this type of additive is shown in Appendix D, Table 12. In general, these materials were excellent thinners when used in sufficient concentration, and strong, tough products with outstanding heat resistance were often obtained. Good compatibility with explosive fillers was indicated by high auto-ignition values. There was some evidence that the filler entered into the curing reaction, since the curing rate was faster and resulting compositions were usually harder and stronger in the presence of the explosives, in particular those with primary amine groups. Alkoxy silanes were somewhat difficult to use as sole diluents, however, because of their high reactivity. Some of the more highly functional ones, in particular, produced gelation almost immediately, and porosity in the final product was often a problem, presumably due to too rapid a curing rate with resultant entrapment of gases. This problem was overcome to a certain extent by reducing the concentration of catalyst, using step curing techniques, and incorporating a non-reactive co-diluent such as Dow-Corning 1330 fluid. Another difficulty involved control of ambient humidity. Since reaction rates and conceivably properties of final products could be influenced greatly by this latter factor as well as by moisture adsorbed on the surface of the explosive filler, additional, carefully controlled studies are needed to verify the practicality of employing high concentrations of alkoxy silanes as diluents in compositions of the type described.

Phenyl alkoxy silanes, like phenyl silicones, were as a rule incompatible with other binder components and formed a separate phase immediately on addition or during cure.

VINYL- AND ALLYL DILUENTS. Unsaturated silanes and unsaturated alkoxy silanes were investigated as thinners for Eccossil 4712A, alone and in combination with inert silicone fluids such as Dow-Corning 1330. The former type of diluent appeared promising both from the standpoint of viscosity reduction and final cured properties. However, difficulty was experienced in consistently obtaining adequate vinyl polymerization. Preliminary results for some of these formulations are summarized in Appendix E, Tables 13, 14, and 15.

Properties of Eccossil 4712 cured with unsaturated silanes were variable, ranging from porous, weak structures to extremely tough, solid compositions. Shrinkage, also, appeared to vary considerably. Most successful results were obtained with sym.-divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane as a diluent. Vinyl triisopropoxysilane and allyl ethoxy silane also produced strong, solid rubbers when combined in various ratios with Eccossil 4712A. In most cases inclusion of DC 1330 or comparable silicone fluid as co-diluent was advantageous in raising the autoignition point, and with the alkoxy vinyl derivatives, in controlling the curing rate. Inferior physical properties and exudation above 300°C resulting from the presence of the silicone fluid could be minimized by keeping its percentage below 15-20%.
Difficult polymerizability of unsaturated silanes in general indicated the advisability of employing fairly rigorous curing conditions. Therefore, in initial work all formulations were carefully degassed and coated with a layer of paraffin to exclude air. Also peroxide curatives were incorporated along with the catalyst 4712D so that vinyl polymerization as well as condensation reactions would be promoted. As the investigation proceeded, it was noted that all formulations containing compounds with ethylenic double bonds, including both silane and straight hydrocarbon derivatives, were characterized by abnormally low autoignition points. In the case of TACOT filler, the autoignition temperature was reduced 40–60° below that of the explosive alone. With TATB, the reduction amounted to about 20–30°, while DATB with a much lower decomposition point initially, remained relatively unaffected. Elimination of variables proved that two factors were responsible for the apparent incompatibility: the paraffin used to coat the surface and the peroxide curative. The latter lowered the autoignition point by 12–25°C. Paraffin, although apparently immiscible and inert when tested by itself, reacted with the formulation or with a control sample of explosive alone to cause ignition at temperatures as low as 285–290°C. Typical thermal data are presented in Table 6. When the two detrimental factors were eliminated, further verification with a number of different vinyl compounds showed autoignition points comparable to those obtained with alkoxy diluents, with only a few minor variations resulting from the effect of the particular structure involved.

Scale-up of the best binder formulations based on Eccosil 4712 plus vinyl diluent filled with either HMX or TACOT revealed a serious problem not detected in the small scale preliminary evaluation. Densities were low and chemical analysis of the cured material failed to agree with that for uncured composition or with nominal values. Also, diameter measurements of cured cylinders showed considerable shrinkage. All these inconsistencies were far greater than would be expected from silane condensation alone. Further investigation indicated that a substantial percentage of the unsaturated diluent was being lost through volatilization during the curing stages, 80–125°C.

Table 7 lists such losses for a number of different monomers examined quantitatively under comparable conditions. While in some cases high losses were characterized by porosity, in others, such as divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane, there was no visible indication even at 30X magnification. Specimens appeared solid, dense, and tough. Diameters, however, decreased from a nominal 0.500 inch to 0.45–0.46. Volatility did not appear to be solely a function of vapor pressure of the diluent alone.

Attempts to correlate diluent structure with the tendency to volatilize rather than polymerize showed that allyl and vinyl functionality behaved in general similarly. Increasing the number of unsaturated substituent chains reduced volatility. For example, the tetrafunctional
### TABLE 6. The Effect of Paraffin<sup>a</sup> and Peroxide<sup>b</sup> on High Temperature Stability of TACOT/Silicone Compositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>No Dicup/No Paraffin</th>
<th>Paraffin</th>
<th>Dicup</th>
<th>Paraffin + Dicup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin alone</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Melts 50–55°C. No other reaction to &gt;350</td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT, as received</td>
<td>350–360</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-silicone fluid&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>335–340</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-silicone fluid-alkoxy silane</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-vinyl silane</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-silicone fluid-vinyl silane</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>298–305</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-vinylalkoxy silane</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGOT/4712-vinylbenzene</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>299–310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Used to exclude air during vinyl cures.
<sup>b</sup> Curing agent for vinyl polymerization and crosslinking.
<sup>c</sup> Polydimethyl siloxane diluent.
allyl was least volatile in the series: \((\text{Al}(\text{OR}))_3\text{Si} < (\text{Al}(\text{OR}))_3\text{Vi Si} < \text{All or Vi(OR)}_3\text{Si} < \text{All or Vi Me}_3\text{Si}\). Also, \text{All}_2\text{Me}_2\text{Si} was less volatile than \text{All Me}_3\text{Si}. Increasing alkoxy chain length reduced volatility as, for example, in the series \text{Vi(Al}(\text{OR})_3\text{Si} < \text{Vi(Pr}_3\text{O})_3\text{Si} < \text{Vi(Et}_3\text{O})_3\text{Si}.

While relative vapor pressures may have some bearing on observed volatility, that this is not a complete explanation is evident by examination of boiling point values in Table 7.

In attempts to reduce volatility, the following modifications were tried:

a. Altering the concentration and type of curing agent,
b. changing the curing schedule,
c. curing in a nitrogen atmosphere,
d. curing in a closed container under slight pressure (up to approximately 1.25 atmospheres),
e. substituting polydimethyl siloxane fluid for part of the monomeric diluent.

These variations were studied with divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane, tetra-allyl orthosilicate, styrene, and divinyl benzene. With the latter and methyl polysiloxane fluid in the binder, it was possible to reduce losses to 0.1% or less based on total binder.

In respect to catalyst, the mixed peroxides, 1% each t-butyl perbenzoate/dicumyl peroxide, were found most efficient. Increasing the concentration of 4712D reduced volatilization to a slight extent but not sufficiently to compensate for the pot life problems involved. A step cure from ambient to 60 to 80 to 125° was superior to direct curing at 125° only, and curing with nitrogen under pressure effected slight improvement.

Compositions satisfactory for measuring detonation properties could be obtained with a binder comprising either 10:8:2 or 10:5:5 4712D silicone fluid/divinyl benzene respectively cured with the mixed peroxide as indicated. With these formulations it was possible to obtain a reasonably dense and non-porous filled composition with little shrinkage and weight loss in cure and with good strength and resiliency. Extensive evaluation of thermal resistance was not carried out for this type of binder; however, the following autoignition points were observed with various explosive fillers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosive</th>
<th>Autoignition Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMX</td>
<td>252°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATB</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNS</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATB</td>
<td>325°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNC</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACOT</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The low value for TACOT, compared with its autoignition point of 350-360°C, may be attributed to the peroxides in the composition.
### TABLE 7. Volatility of Diluents Cured With Eccosil 4712 A/D

**Eccosil/Diluent 5:4 Pts. by wt. 1% each tBPB/dicup. Step-cured to 125°C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diluent used</th>
<th>BP loss on curing</th>
<th>Consistency after curing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Si Me₃</td>
<td>83/705</td>
<td>41.5 Very porous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi Si Me₃</td>
<td>56/706</td>
<td>36 Porous but tough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (OEt)₃</td>
<td>123/760</td>
<td>35 Failed to solidify appreciably.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATFA</td>
<td>73/710</td>
<td>32 Extremely porous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All₂ Si Me₂</td>
<td>135/712</td>
<td>29 Tacky, highly elastic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vi Si Me₂)₂</td>
<td>136/704</td>
<td>20-30 Tough, rubbery, appears solid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α Me styrene</td>
<td>162/760</td>
<td>19 Weak, nonhomogenous, partly liquid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Si (OEt)₃</td>
<td>175/760</td>
<td>13.2 Tacky, weak, porous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi Si (OEt)₃</td>
<td>158/760</td>
<td>12 Porous but tough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All₂ Si (OEt)₂</td>
<td>190/741</td>
<td>11 Tacky, elastomeric, fairly strong.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi Si (OAIl)₃ + Si (OEt)</td>
<td>90.3/7.5</td>
<td>11 Porous, somewhat weak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All₂ Si (OEt)</td>
<td>202/760</td>
<td>9 Tough but porous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi Si (OIPr)₃</td>
<td>170/760</td>
<td>8 Tough, solid, strong.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi Si (OAIl)₄</td>
<td>90.3/7.5</td>
<td>6 Fair strength, slightly porous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (OAIl)₄</td>
<td>135/35</td>
<td>5 Tacky and weak, hardening on aging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl benzene (styrene)</td>
<td>145/760</td>
<td>5 Solid and tough, a few large voids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl benzene polymer-izes</td>
<td>135-150/710</td>
<td>Hard and solid. Thin film orange crust on surface.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a Approximate in some cases. Taken from literature or determined by micromethod.

*b 44% theoretical maximum if all diluent volatilized.

*c 4712A = 55%, alloxysilane = 39%, ethyl silicate = 6%.
SUBSTITUTE FOR ECCOSIL 4712A. While Eccosil 4712A was being evaluated with various diluents, a search was made to find alternate, possibly more suitable resins with similar chemical structure and properties. A number of silicone rubbers were examined and their behavior in explosive compositions was compared. Included were: General Electric resins RTV 11, RTV 60, RTV 4114 and RTV 5604, Dow-Corning Silastic RTV 850, 589, 601, 521, and S5346, and Sylgard 51 Dielectric Gel.

Suitability was judged by viscosity as received and after dilution, curability, compatibility with explosive fillers, consistency on curing, and heat resistance. Thinners used in evaluating were Dow-Corning 1330, divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane and vinyl triisopropoxy silane. Silastic RTV 521 appeared to be the best choice as a substitute for the Eccosil material, showing excellent thermal stability and good properties in general except for considerable shrinkage during cure.

ENERGY CHARACTERISTICS OF TACOT/SILICONE COMPOSITIONS—COMPUTED VALUES

Because of the relatively low energy of high temperature explosive fillers, it was not anticipated that any of the heat resistant candidates would be capable of extremely high damage potential compared with compositions such as PBXN-101. Added to the inherent deficiencies is the experimental difficulty of obtaining high loadability with fillers of unsuitable particle size and inert binders of relatively high viscosity. Accordingly, some consideration was given the possibility of including additives such as dense perchlorates which might contribute to detonation energy. Not only should such compounds be favorable in respect to detonation reactivity; many of them are, in addition, readily soluble and could therefore be recrystallized to a particle size which would improve overall filler loadability. Examples of such additives are listed in Table 8.

COMPUTED VALUES

In attempting to predict roughly which type of system and which additives would be feasible, a computer program was carried out on a limited basis to establish detonation parameters by means of the Ruby code. Such computations in past work with C-H-O-N systems have shown excellent correlation with experimental results (Ref. 23). However, in

---

4 Phenyl methyl silicone.
5 Later results showed this to be due to diluent volatilization during cure, as with 4712A.
6 Acknowledgements are due A. J. Dierolf and I. Kurotori for assistance with this section of the report.
In the present instance, predictions are more subject to doubt. The behavior of compounds containing elements such as silicon or metals is uncertain at the temperatures and pressures of detonation, assumptions must be made regarding possible products and their thermodynamic states, and experimental evidence is lacking which might be used to make corrections or substantiate any assumptions. It is therefore not possible to predict with any degree of certainty at the present time either the comparative effect of different additives or even the concentration effect of any one additive. However, the accumulation of experimental data should prove valuable in providing greater insight into the mechanisms involved. High temperature compositions provide a fertile field for such investigation since they may involve a variety of structures and components which have not yet been encountered in more conventional explosive systems.

Ruby calculations have been performed on silicone-based systems with the explosive fillers, TACOT, TATB, TNC, and HMX. Predicted detonation velocities for the high temperature candidates fall between 6300–6700 meters per second at the 15% binder level, with TATB and TACOT the most promising and TNC inferior. This is in accordance with expectations based on somewhat fragmentary experimental evidence. Theoretical computation also indicates an energy loss when siloxane is substituted for hydrocarbon polymer. This again agrees with observed values. For example, Ruby calculations predict:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Density (ρ)</th>
<th>Detonation Velocity (DV)</th>
<th>Pressure (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMX/Laminac 82/18</td>
<td>1.694</td>
<td>8016</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX/Silicone 83/17</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>7481</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX/Silicone 85/15</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>7719</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results for the latter two silicone compositions may be significantly affected by which, as yet questionable, thermodynamic variables are selected in performing the computations. However, the effect of silicone substitution is noteworthy and in good general agreement with experimental evidence shown in the next section (Table 9) for comparable formulations.

Formulations have not yet been prepared experimentally which contain a dense oxidant with TACOT or TATB and a silicone binder. However, the following additives have been examined on a theoretical basis: sodium perchlorate, lithium perchlorate, potassium perchlorate, sodium azide, sodium peroxide.

The effect of each of these compounds in a TACOT/silicone system was computed by Ruby code and the results for various compositions entered.
### TABLE 8. Potential Additives for High Temperature Explosive Compositions\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound (^b)</th>
<th>Melting or transition point, °C</th>
<th>Decomposition point, °C</th>
<th>Density, g/cm(^3)</th>
<th>(\Delta H_f), KC/mole</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiClO(_4)</td>
<td>236-239</td>
<td>410-430</td>
<td>2.429</td>
<td>-90.38</td>
<td>Low melting point detrimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KClO(_4)</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>-103.6</td>
<td>Very heat stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaClO(_4)</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>-92.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiClO(_4)</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Toxic. Good density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(ClO(_4))(_2)</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-192.8</td>
<td>May have a low transition point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(ClO(_3))(_2)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.998</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Good density, data scarce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O(_2)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>2.805</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs(_2)O(_2), Cs(_2)O(_4)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-O(_2), 600</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Good density and stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO(_2)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-O(_2), 800</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>-150.5</td>
<td>Good density and stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIO(_4)</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>-O(_2), 300</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Low decomposition point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaIO(_4)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Low decomposition point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsIO(_4)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.259</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiIO(_4)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(IO(_4))(_2)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(IO(_4))(_4)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(IO(_3))(_4)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(IO(_3))(_2)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6.155</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Good density, low decomposition point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(IO(_3))(_2)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Inadequate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiNH(_2)</td>
<td>380-400</td>
<td>&gt;430</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>-43.5</td>
<td>Heat resistant, energy contribution doubtful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaN(_3)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>385-388(^c)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Heat resistant, energy contribution doubtful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN(_3)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400 subl.</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Heat resistant, energy contribution doubtful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^a\) Data are conflicting for many of these compounds. Values were obtained from many miscellaneous sources and need to be confirmed. Principle sources were Refs. 5, 24, and 25, and manufacturers' data.

\(^b\) Those listed are available commercially.

\(^c\) Autoignition point.
on triangular simplets to permit graphing isometric contour levels of density, detonation velocity, and peak pressure. The following trends were indicated at the 15-20% binder level:

1. Substitution of alkali metal perchlorates for part of the TACOT may improve both velocity and pressure.

2. Sodium peroxide may offer some improvement at higher levels of substitution (25-30% Na₂O₂), but is generally inferior to the perchlorates.

3. Addition of sodium azide is detrimental to explosive properties.

Future experimental verification of these predictions should prove interesting.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Earlier test results obtained under another program with formulations based on HMX/Sylgard 182, 85:15 respectively, indicated comparatively low detonation velocity values. For example, a composition containing this silicone binder showed a velocity of 7760 meters per second, while a control with the same HMX loading but containing a hydrocarbon polyester averaged 8150. It was hypothesized that this difference was due to the siloxane bond structure which, because of either unfavorable kinetic or thermodynamic decomposition characteristics, acted as an "energy sink" during the detonation process.

To verify this observation scale-up was attempted with the two most promising RTV resins, Eccosil 4712A and Dow-Corning RTV Silastic 521. Divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane was used as a thinner and 150 gram batches were prepared containing 80% nominal HMX, a standard explosive of known behavior. Two additional batches were also prepared with TACOT as a filler. Only 60% loading was attempted in the latter case because of the small particle size of the explosive. The compositions were successfully mixed under partial vacuum, cast into molds 0.5-inch diameter by 3.0-inch high, and cured to solid cylinders with good strength and resiliency. Preliminary data for these compositions are presented in Table 9.

When low densities and low binder analysis prompted an investigation leading to the detection of excessive diluent loss during cure, an additional batch was formulated based on divinyl benzene/polysiloxane as a

8 In performing thermodynamic computations major metallic detonation products were considered incompressible, SiO₂ (liquid), compressible C (solid), K₂ (gas), KCl (gas), LiCl (gas), and Li₂O (gas). These assumptions have not been confirmed by kinetic studies.

9 Condor explosive development under contract RM3731-001/216-1/W107-00-01.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Respective nominal percentages</th>
<th>Analyzed % binder</th>
<th>Processability</th>
<th>Cured appearance</th>
<th>Density g/cm³</th>
<th>Aut- ign. °C</th>
<th>Impact 50% pt.</th>
<th>Friction</th>
<th>Electro- static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMX/Ecosil 4712A/ divinyl tetramethyl diisocyanate/4712D</td>
<td>80.0/11.2/8.8/0.8</td>
<td>19.5 uncured 12.4 cured</td>
<td>Very thin and fluid but thickened during mixing.</td>
<td>Slight shrinkage, solid, resilient. 30X - no visible voids.</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>225°</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.240 pounds 50% point</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 12.3 joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX/RTV 521/divinyl tetramethyl diisocyanate/521 catalyst</td>
<td>79.6/11.1/8.8/0.5</td>
<td>20.2 uncured 13.1 cured</td>
<td>Good loadability but thickened during mixing.</td>
<td>Similar to above. Slight shrinkage, solid, no visible voids.</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>260°</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.230 pounds 50% point</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 12.3 joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMX/Ecosil 4712A/ DC20/DVB</td>
<td>80/10.8/2</td>
<td>19.8 uncured 16.8 cured</td>
<td>Good, readily castable.</td>
<td>Solid, no visible voids, resilient.</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>252°</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 1,000 pounds</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 12.3 joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACOT/Ecosil 4712A/ divinyl tetramethyl diisocyanate</td>
<td>59.4/22.7/17.8/0.07</td>
<td>38.7 uncured 27.0 cured</td>
<td>Initial viscosity good but thickened during mixing.</td>
<td>Considerable shrinkage, solid, resilient, firm, fair strength. 30X - no voids.</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>350°</td>
<td>Partial burn at 250-300°C</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 1,800 pounds</td>
<td>10/10 no fires at 12.3 joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACOT/Ecosil 4712A/ divinyl tetramethyl diisocyanate</td>
<td>59.4/22.7/17.8/0.04</td>
<td>39.7 uncured 26.8 cured</td>
<td>Reduced catalyst showed gelation. Fluid and readily cast.</td>
<td>Considerable shrinkage, solid and resilient. 30X - some blow holes and cracks.</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The difference between nominal and analyzed binder is suspected to be due to loss of volatile constituents during mixing and curing.*

*Composition B = 36 ±3 cm.*

*ABL testing friction. 25/25 cycle at 700 pounds (50% point).*
diluent. Appearance of this composition resembled that of the first series, with the difference, however, of higher density and higher analyzed binder content, more nearly corresponding to the nominal value.

Detonation velocity and plate dent measurements for these compositions are shown in Table 10 together with analogous results for HMX/polyester controls containing no silicone. At equivalent nominal compositions, the silicone/vinyl disiloxane show the lowest velocities and dent values. The silicone/polysiloxane/divinyl benzene results are somewhat higher due possibly to a less porous microstructure, higher density, and a slightly lower silicone content (10% DVB in binder). All values are significantly lower than those for corresponding non-silicone controls. As further confirmation, additional results are included for the earlier Sylgard work. Binders containing siloxane linkages do appear to be energy sinks, as previously suspected, at least as far as detonation velocity is concerned.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binder</th>
<th>% HMX</th>
<th>Density g/cm²</th>
<th>Diameter, in.</th>
<th>Detonation velocity m/sec</th>
<th>Plate dent, in.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminate EFX 147-2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.893</td>
<td>0.082 - 0.085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>7.980</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.699</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>8.167</td>
<td>0.084 - 0.087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excoill 4712/VDS 11.2/8.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.647</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.447</td>
<td>0.080 - 0.084</td>
<td>Low density and high analyzed filler due to VDS volatilization on curing. No visible voids - 30X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silastic 521/VDS 11.1/8.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.374</td>
<td>0.079 - 0.082</td>
<td>Low density and high analyzed filler due to VDS volatilization on curing. No visible voids - 30X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excoill 4712/DC 20/DBY 10.1/2.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.624</td>
<td>0.077 - 0.081</td>
<td>Substitution of less volatile diluent resulted in higher density. Explosive measurements still inferior to those of polyester although densities essentially same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syglex 182/DC - 200 110:35</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.669</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>7.761</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>This information furnished by C. W. Faltman and D. Sbrocca under contract. RM 3731-001/216-1/W1607-00-01.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: On uncured sample unless otherwise noted.

Cured sample.

NOTE: The TACOT/silicone compositions described in Table 14 were not detonable because of high inert binder content and low density due to diluent volatilization. However, at these binder levels (40%), HMX at 0.5-inch diameter is also borderline in detonability.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Studies both at the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, and Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, have shown that a number of explosives potentially suitable as fillers in castable compositions can withstand high temperatures—over 250-300°C—without sudden or extensive decomposition. TACOT was found to be very stable, with an autoignition point exceeding 350°C. TATB and HNS were comparable, igniting at somewhat lower temperatures but still above 300°C. Other new compounds such as DIPAM or NONA may also offer considerable promise. However, the latter are still more or less laboratory items and production quantities at reasonable cost do not appear at all imminent.

Unfortunately little information is available as yet concerning detailed explosive properties of many of these new heat resistant materials. Existing evidence indicates that they are all significantly inferior to HMX or RDX in destructive capability. With optimum formulation, it is doubtful that compositions can be made which will show detonation velocities better than 6000-6500 meters per second. Even to achieve this range, filler loadability will have to be high, with filler particle size optimum and binder viscosity low.

Of the explosives tested thus far in the laboratory, TACOT appears to offer the greatest promise. HNS, however, which may be eventually more feasible from the standpoint of cost, availability, and susceptibility to size modifications, has not been evaluated experimentally at this Center. Like TACOT, its energetic potential does not appear outstanding. TATB is costly and very difficult to recrystallize to modify particle size, while DATB and TNC are less heat resistant and the latter is comparatively unenergetic.

Binders which were the most heat resistant and most compatible at high temperatures with explosive fillers were based on silicones. Much difficulty was experienced curing silicone monomers with ethylenic unsaturation in the presence of nitro compounds. However, products with good physical properties were obtained through silanol condensation, with vinyl or alkoxysilanes and nonfunctional polysiloxanes employed to obtain the desired viscosity. RTV rubber resins, Eccosil 4712A or Dow-Corning silastic 521 could be diluted with materials such as vinyl triisopropoxy-silane, divinyl benzene, or methyl polysiloxanes to permit filler levels with HMX of 80% or higher. After curing, resulting products were tough, solid, resilient and heat resistant. Some difficulty was experienced with excessive diluent loss during cure, with attendant shrinkage and weight loss.

Detonation velocity and plate dent measurements revealed that the type of binder most attractive in respect to thermal stability might be detrimental in its effect on explosive properties. Compositions made
with binders containing Si-O linkages were found to have detonation velocities significantly lower than those made with hydrocarbon binders such as the unsaturated polyester, EPX 147-2. Since the potential energy of currently feasible heat resistant systems is already low because of the low energy filler, it would seem inadvisable to further augment this deficiency by using a binder which acts as an "energy sink." A possible means of reducing or eliminating the difficulty might be more extensive dilution of silicone with non-siloxanes such as divinyl benzene or vinyl silanes or complete substitution of the siloxane polymer backbone with a chain comprising Si-C or Si-N linkages. In the former instance curing of the diluent might be difficult since current results have shown a tendency for many vinyl additives to volatilize rather than polymerize. In the latter case, both Si-C and silazanes would be expected to have poorer overall stability than siloxanes and probably would be difficult to obtain as low viscosity liquids.

Fluorocarbons, although less heat resistant than silicones, are stable to temperatures close to the decomposition points of the fillers. Furthermore, this type of structure is compatible with most explosives and low viscosity monomers are becoming increasingly available. Initial results indicate that this type should be investigated further.

Epoxies, polyesters, polyurethanes and PVC plastisols were either insufficiently heat resistant or were incompatible with explosive fillers at temperatures well below their autoignition points. Epoxies, in particular, exhibited exothermic reactions on heating to temperatures of 160-230°C. When the binder was combined with explosive filler, these exotherms were sufficient to cause immediate and in some cases violent decomposition.

A limited number of Ruby calculations were made to predict the relative efficiencies of various binder systems and additives. Because of assumptions required in non-C-H-O-N systems and lack of experimental verification to back them up, due caution must be exercised in using computed predictions at the present time. However, computations agree with experiment in predicting an energy loss resulting from use of siloxane configurations. Calculations indicate that some advantage may be gained in including dense oxidants in TACOT/silicone formulations. Such additives, because of their more favorable size characteristics, should, when substituted for part of the explosive filler, provide higher solids loading and better processability.
SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Future needs fall into three general areas: Improvement of the explosive filler, the heat resistant binder, and the system as a whole.

New high temperature explosives are continuing to be synthesized at the Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, and elsewhere; it is possible that a fortuitous breakthrough could at any time provide a better filler material than those presently available -- one with higher energy, superior heat resistance, and better processability. Promising candidates should continue to be examined in both castable and compressible formulations.

With respect to existing products such as TACOT, TATB, or HNS, methods are needed to modify particle size so that maximum loadability may be realized. The latter explosive should also be examined in combination with some of the more promising binders found incompatible with TACOT or the amino nitrobenzenes. A modification in filler chemical structure could presumably eliminate some of the curing difficulties encountered with resins such as Dow-Corning R-7521 which appeared promising in many other respects but failed to polymerize in the presence of explosive fillers thus far investigated.

One possible approach to obtain high explosives loading with good processability and ultimate high stability might involve the attachment of functional groups to low melting explosive compounds so that they would be capable of reacting with binder materials on curing to form a crosslinked structure. In this way, candidates which would normally be limited by their low melting points could be irreversibly cured to solid consistency with the low melting point an asset as far as processability and castability were concerned. Compounds based on the fluoro-nitrobenzene structure might fall into this category.

In respect to high temperature binders, both immediate and long-range approaches may be profitably employed. In the former category it should be possible to develop reasonably stable systems based on fluoro-carbon monomers with a minimum of time and effort. This type of compound is generally compatible with explosive fillers and might be capable of providing a castable composition with heat resistance equivalent at least to that of PBX-8. Any new products developed should be evaluated at higher temperatures not only in respect to chemical stability but also for physical strength and performance.

Another short-range approach would entail successive replacement of siloxane diluents in the Eccosil system with reactive hydrocarbon diluents and determining the effect both on energy and heat resistance. Compositions studied quantitatively thus far have contained binders which were either entirely or predominantly silicone, for example Eccosil 4712A/
VDS/1330 or 4712A/DVB/DC20 containing 10% hydrocarbon. Substitution of DVB for all of the DC20 and increasing the overall percentage of diluent should improve both detonation velocity and binder viscosity. Preliminary experiments have indicated that ratios as high as 5:4 Eccosil/DVB may be cured with less than 1% weight loss to give strong, homogeneous consistencies. The effect on explosive properties of varying the concentration of siloxane in the binder should be interesting to observe and should contribute information which might be useful in attempts to correlate theoretical predictions with experiment.

As a longer range project other silicone structures can be studied including the silazanes, Si-N, and lesser known configurations involving elements such as metals bonded with silicon. Other types of compounds which show promise and should be examined concurrently include such structures as triazines, polyamines, polylimidazoles, carboranes, polyesters, metal organics, and highly fluorinated chains. The main problem in employing materials such as these will be devising a means of making the formulation castable since the basic monomeric units for starting materials are generally solids with fairly high melting points.

Lastly, there are a number of additives or co-fillers which might be considered both in computer and experimental programs. For example, high melting, very heat stable, heavy metal perchlorates are available which should contribute both to oxygen balance and density. These could be evaluated in the systems currently described as well as in future formulations.
APPENDIX A

PREPARATIVE PROCEDURES

For preliminary evaluation, binders were first hand-mixed on a 5-10 gram scale, then blended with each explosive and cured in cylindrical teflon molds, 0.5-inch I.D. Filler loadings averaged 40-60% in most cases depending on explosive particle size and binder viscosity. After curing, compositions were examined for porosity, physical hardness and strength, and any discoloration or other evidence of incompatibility. Heat resistance was determined by autoignition tests in the case of the explosive samples and by 400°C furnace tests for unfilled binders.

Larger batches, 150-200 grams in size, were prepared with a Baker-Perkins model 2-PX one-pint mixer. Samples were mixed and cast under reduced pressure. Both preliminary and larger compositions were degassed and vibrated in the mold to compact and eliminate voids.
APPENDIX B

TEST PROCEDURES

Reagent grade chemicals were used unless otherwise specified.

Autoignition Test

One-gram samples placed in an aluminum block with differential thermocouples leading to sample and reference wells were heated at 2°C/min. The ignition point was taken as the temperature of the reference thermocouple at time of ignition.

DTA was conducted with 30-50 mg samples heated at 3°C/min. Results by this method are generally about 20°C higher than by autoignition.

Oven tests were performed on inert binders with 0.5 - 1.0 gram samples placed in test tubes, covered to limit air circulation and put into a muffle furnace. Heating rates averaged 150°C per hour.

Sensitivity and Stability Tests

These are described in References 26 and 27.

Detonation Velocity

Cylindrical samples 0.5-inch diameter were detonated with a tetryl booster and velocity was measured by the pin switch method with oscilloscope records indicating firing times.
### APPENDIX C

#### TABLE 11. Compositions Based on Vinyl-Addition Type Silicone Resins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binder components</th>
<th>Respective ratio</th>
<th>Viscosity of binder</th>
<th>Cured properties*</th>
<th>Autoginition point, °C</th>
<th>Binder in 350-400°C oven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Very poor, traps air</td>
<td>Binder firm but weak and granular. With filler, tough, dense, strong.</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ DC200/dicup</td>
<td>5:4.5:4:10</td>
<td>Fair, traps air</td>
<td>Binder &quot;cheesy.&quot; With filler weaker &amp; more flexible than above</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ V1320/dicup</td>
<td>78:7:21:0.5</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Binder solid but soft and weak. With filler failed to cure</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ V1320/dicup</td>
<td>63:4:3.2:1.5</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Failed to cure consistently. Better with more 184 curing agent but discolored &amp; nonhomogenous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ V1320/dicup</td>
<td>50:25:24:1.5</td>
<td>Milky, thixotropic</td>
<td>Soft, porous, discolored. Phenyl silane not compatible.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ styrene/dicup</td>
<td>63:6:3:1.5</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Binder cured hot milky and weak. With filler, porous and very weak.</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ Methyl cure catalyst</td>
<td>69:7:24:0.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Binder firm but weak. With filler no satisfactory cure obtained.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ VS105/dicup</td>
<td>45:35:21:1.5</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Touched, dense, strong</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silgard 184/106 curing agent/ VS105/dicup</td>
<td>57:14:28:1.5</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Flexible, solid, fairly strong</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>Binder solid, resilient, slightly weak. Explosives tacky or porous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615 A/B/DC 12/3</td>
<td>60:6:34</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Rubber, weak, granular</td>
<td>296-310</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615 A/B/VPS0/dicup</td>
<td>69:7:23:1.5</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Porous with explosives. Binder solid but weak. Explosives fairly strong &amp; solid but discolored.</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Very viscous</td>
<td>All compositions very porous.</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>Binder firm but weak and granular. With filler, tough, dense, strong.</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Fair, traps air</td>
<td>Binder &quot;cheesy.&quot; With filler weaker &amp; more flexible than above</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Binder solid but soft and weak. With filler failed to cure</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Failed to cure consistently. Better with more 184 curing agent but discolored &amp; nonhomogenous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Milky, thixotropic</td>
<td>Soft, porous, discolored. Phenyl silane not compatible.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Binder cured hot milky and weak. With filler, porous and very weak.</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Binder firm but weak. With filler no satisfactory cure obtained.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Touched, dense, strong</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Flexible, solid, fairly strong</td>
<td>Binder solid, resilient, slightly weak. Explosives tacky or porous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>Rubber, weak, granular</td>
<td>Porous with explosives. Binder solid but weak. Explosives fairly strong &amp; solid but discolored.</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE RTV 615 A/B</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>All compositions very porous.</td>
<td>All compositions very porous.</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cured at 80-125°C, 24-48 hours in cylindrical teflon mold.
* Divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane, Dow Corning.
* Divinyl dimethyl silane.
* Divinyl diphenyl silane.
* Vinyl trisopropyl silane.
* Comparatively low results may be due to paraffin used to exclude air.
* Dow Corning polydimethylsiloxane fluid.
* Other alloy and vinyl silanes and other curing agents were also tried. Results in the table are typical and representative of all.

**NOTE:** All compositions were tested under standard conditions. Results may vary depending on specific application and environmental factors.
**APPENDIX D**

**TABLE 12. Alkoxy Silane Diluents in Eccosil Binder Compositions,**
**Step Cured, Ambient to 125°C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diluent(s)</th>
<th>Component ratio Eccosil/Silane/1330/Catalyst¹</th>
<th>Binder viscosity³</th>
<th>Cured properties</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>400°C oven test</th>
<th>Autogignition point, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si</td>
<td>10/7.2/0.0.004</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good strength and rigidity filled, erratic cure unfilled.</td>
<td>Not run. Unfilled binder did not cure adequately.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>5/5/0.0.002</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Porous. Very fast curing rate when filled.</td>
<td>Liqecifaction on some samples 3014°C.</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.011</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Flexible. Slightly weak and tacky.</td>
<td>Same as above.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/4/4/0.004</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Weaker. TATB strongest.</td>
<td>330°C fumes, 380°C weaker, little visible change.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/7/0/0.0.006</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Tough and rubbery, slightly porous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.019</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Solid, slightly weak and stretchy. No cure without filler.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex(EO)₂Si</td>
<td>10/7/0/0.0.010</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No cure without filler. TATB incomplete cure, TACOT porous.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.024</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Solid, strong, flexible, filled and unfilled.</td>
<td>Fumes 300-320, melts &gt;320</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/7/0/0.0.008</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very porous. Very fast cure.</td>
<td>Fumes 330-350, Little visible change &gt;360. Slightly weaker.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.019</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Solid, rubbery, TATB best.</td>
<td>Fumes 320-340. Melts 150.</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/0.5/8/0.0.012</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Some porosity, fair strength, similar to Me(EO)₂Si/1330.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me+Me(EO)₂Si</td>
<td>10/7/0/0.0.003</td>
<td>Incompatible</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me+Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.019</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Incompatible on standing.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me+Me(EO)₂Si</td>
<td>10/7/1/0.0.008</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Failed to cure.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me+Me(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.009</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Incomplete cure.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EO)₂Si/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6/0.0.019</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Failed to cure.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Eccosil 4712D.

²Relative and approximate only. All were inferior to EPX 147-2.

³Eccosil/Silane/1330/Catalyst.

⁴Binder, TATB, TACOT strong and resilient. DATB tacky.
### APPENDIX E

**TABLE 13. Unsataturated Alkyl and Phenyl Silanes as Binder Components in Ecostorm Formulations.**

Cured ambient to 125°C without peroxide or paraffin unless indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silane additive</th>
<th>Binder ratio</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
<th>Cured properties</th>
<th>Oxidation point, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allyl trimethyl</td>
<td>10:8:0.02 and 10:5:0:02</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Hard, firm, strong, solid, resilient.</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl trimethyl</td>
<td>10:8:0.02</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Hard, firm, more rigid.</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl dimethyl</td>
<td>10:8:0.02 and 10:5:0.02</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Softer, weaker, more porous.</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane</td>
<td>10:8:0.02</td>
<td>Higher than above, Fair</td>
<td>Firm, flexible, strong, solid.</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl trivinyl</td>
<td>10:5:0.02</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Very porous but very strong.</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl tetramethyl disiloxane/1330</td>
<td>10:2:6:0.02</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Strong, flexible, tough.</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl diphenyl</td>
<td>Incompatible</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Solid, tough, slightly harder.</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinyl diphenyl/1330</td>
<td>10:2:6:0.032</td>
<td>Thixotropic</td>
<td>Solid, flexible, slight rubbery.</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excess 4712D.*

*Cured with paraffin.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dibuent system</th>
<th>Respective ratio 4712 - dibuent parts by wt&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
<th>Cured properties</th>
<th>400°C oven test</th>
<th>Autoignition, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>DATB</td>
<td>TATB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl triethoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8 &amp; 10/5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fairly hard, strong, &amp; resilient binder alone variable.</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diallyldiethoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Variable-rigid to elastic.</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 1330&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10/2/6 to 10/5/3</td>
<td>Poor to fair</td>
<td>Stronger &amp; more solid with dicup.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triallylthioxy silane</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Relatively hard but slightly weak, slightly porous.</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed allylethoxy silane&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Hard, Fair strength, relatively rigid and solid.</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl triethoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>More rigid with increased (ORZ). Fair strength.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl methyl diolethoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Porous, relatively soft and flexible.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl dimethyl ethoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8 &amp; 10/5</td>
<td>Fair to good</td>
<td>Porous but tough and resilient.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 1330&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10/4/4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>DATB porous, TATB &amp; TACOT solid, flexible, strong.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl trispropoxy silane</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Solid, hard, strong, resilient.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>317&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 1330&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10/1/7 to 10/6/2</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Rigidity varies with % VIPS. All solid and strong.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacryloyloxy propyl trimethoxy silane (2.6,010)</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>Mediocre</td>
<td>Variable, some porosity.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra alkoxy silane</td>
<td>10/7</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Porous, weak, poor cure.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 1330&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10/1/7 to 10/1/5/8</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Very reactive, gelled in mixing.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl triacetoxy silane</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>Immaculate</td>
<td>Varied and brittle.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>4712D = 0.2% 4712A. Most were cured both without and with 1-1.5% dicup.
<sup>b</sup>1 = 1.5% dicup in formulation.
<sup>c</sup>1330 last value in ratio.
<sup>d</sup>Cured with paraffin.
<sup>e</sup>Commercial blend.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diluent</th>
<th>Component ratio</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
<th>Cured properties</th>
<th>Antoignition, °C</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eccosil®/diluent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TACOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>10/7, 2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Solid &amp; tough but porous</td>
<td>266b,c</td>
<td>Cured with &amp; without dicup. Liquefication ≈ 350°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene/1330</td>
<td>10/2/6</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Tough, rubbery, a few voids</td>
<td>291b,c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diallylphthalate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divinylbenzene</td>
<td>23/13.7</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Tough, rubbery, a few voids</td>
<td>295b,c</td>
<td>Cured with 1.5% dicup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl acrylate</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Tough but porous</td>
<td>299b,c</td>
<td>Cured with dicup &amp; paraffin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decyl acrylate</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Weak and porous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl methacrylate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Solid &amp; tough but porous</td>
<td>300b,c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene dimethacrylate</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₇ &amp; C₅ fluoroacrylates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300b,c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td>Hard, firm, rubbery</td>
<td>333b</td>
<td>Cured with paraffin with and without dicup.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl pyrrolidone</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialyl Sebacate</td>
<td>10/5/0.02</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butenediol/1330</td>
<td>10/5/5/0.05</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td>Binder tough, elastic, greasy texture, TACOT filled-spongy</td>
<td>255b</td>
<td>Cured with and without dicup. Latter discolored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylpropene</td>
<td>10/5/0.02</td>
<td>Immiscible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a4712D = 0, 2% 4712A.
bCured with paraffin.
cContains dicup.
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CASTABLE HEAT RESISTANT EXPLOSIVE COMPOSITIONS CAPABLE OF WITHSTANDING 500°F AND HIGHER (U)

In an attempt to develop a castable explosive resistant to temperatures of 500°F or higher, TACOT, TATB, DATB, and in a few cases, TKIC were combined with a variety of binder types. These included epoxies, polyesters, vinyls, plastisols, silicone rubbers and various combinations. The silicones were the most promising in respect to heat resistance. However, their high viscosity required the use of diluents which introduced curing difficulties, and their unfavorable decomposition thermodynamics affected detonation characteristics adversely. Epoxies and polyesters at relatively low temperatures exhibited exotherms which were in some cases sufficient to ignite the explosive fillers well below their autoignition points. Plastisols and vinyls were less heat stable than silicones, with stability in the case of unsaturated compounds reduced still further by inclusion of peroxide curatives and paraffin used to exclude air in curing.

Explosive fillers, in particular TATB, were difficult to incorporate to high solids loadings because of their fine particle size. Insolubility of both TATB and TACOT in common recrystallizing solvents made size modification difficult or impossible. TACOT was superior in respect to autoignition characteristics, with decomposition in the neighborhood of 350°C. Ruby calculations to predict detonation properties indicate that with improved solids loadings and inclusion of an additive such as potassium perchlorate, TACOT compositions may show a detonation velocity greater than 6000 meters per second and detonation pressures on the order of 150 K-bars. These values may depend on optimization of binder structure as well as experimental verification of constants and assumptions used in performing computations. (CONFIDENTIAL)
INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capital letters immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, c, & d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subcontract number, system number, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and attached to originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

   (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."

   (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."

   (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through the Department of the Army."

   (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through the Defense Technical Information Center.

   (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through the Department of the Army."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technologically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.
ABSTRACT CARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Naval Weapons Center</th>
<th>CONFIDENTIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castable Heat Resistant Explosives .... (Card 2)</td>
<td>(Over) NWC TP 4461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further by inclusion of peroxide curatives and paraffin used to exclude air in curing.

Explosive fillers, in particular TATB, were difficult to incorporate to high solids loadings because of their fine particle size. Insolubility of both TATB and TACOT in common recrystallizing solvents made size modification difficult or impossible. TACOT was superior in respect to autoignition characteristics, with decomposition in the neighbor-
Ruby calculations to predict detonation properties indicate that with improved solids loadings and inclusion of an additive such as potassium perchlorate, TACOT compositions may show a detonation velocity greater than 6000 meters per second and detonation pressures on the order of 150 K-bars. These values may depend on optimization of binder structures as well as experimental verification of constants and assumptions used in performing computations.
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silicone rubbers and various combinations. The silicones were the most promising in respect to heat resistance. However, their high viscosity required the use of diluents which introduced curing difficulties, and their unfavorable decomposition thermodynamics affected detonation characteristics adversely. Epoxies and polyesters at relatively low temperatures exhibited exotherms which were in some cases sufficient to ignite the explosive fillers well below their autoignition points. Plastisols and vinyls were less heat stable than silicones, with stability in the case of unsaturated compounds reduced still