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This report deals with scattering of electromagnetic waves from an infinite cylinder of uniform but complex dielectric constant. Its main purpose is to make available a set of curves showing the dependence of transmission and reflection coefficients and their phases on plasma frequency and cylinder radius. Two different collision frequencies are used. All quantities have been normalised to eliminate the dependence on the operating frequency and the distance between the transmitting and receiving horns. The incident wave is assumed to be a plane wave traveling in a direction normal to the cylinder axis. Both the transverse magnetic case and the transverse electric case are considered.
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The solution of a scattering problem involves three steps

1) Determination of the electric field \( E \) from the equation

\[
\nabla^2 E + k_0^2 \epsilon' E = \nabla(\nabla \cdot E)
\]

(1)

or of the magnetic field \( H \) from the equation

\[
\nabla^2 H + k_0^2 \epsilon \nabla^2 H = (\nabla \nabla^\top) \left( \frac{\nabla H}{\epsilon} \right)
\]

(2)

where \( k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}{\epsilon'}} \) is the free space propagation constant and \( \epsilon' \) is the complex dielectric constant*. Which of the two equations 1 or 2 is solved depends on which is simpler for a given geometry and incident radiation. Only one equation has to be solved because once \( E \) has been found \( H \) can be determined with the help of Maxwell's equations and similarly once \( H \) has been found \( E \) can be determined.

2) Satisfaction of the radiation condition at infinity.

3) Satisfaction of the boundary conditions at the interface between regions consisting of different media.

* See appendix 1
Outside the cylinder $\varepsilon' = 1$ and inside the cylinder $\varepsilon'$ is taken to be a constant given by

$$\varepsilon' = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_p^2 (1-i\gamma)}{1+i\gamma}$$

where $\varepsilon_p = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_p}$ is the ratio of the plasma frequency $\varepsilon_p$ to $\varepsilon$ the frequency of the incident wave,

and $\gamma = \frac{x}{2\xi}$ is the ratio of $\gamma$ the electron collision frequency to $2\xi f$ the circular frequency of the incident wave.

For the transverse magnetic case the incident electric field is parallel to the $z$-axis. In this case, equation 1 is simpler than equation 2 and reduces to the scalar equation

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}_z + k_0^2 \varepsilon' \mathbf{E}_z = 0.$$
Neglecting the time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ the incident field is given by

$$E^i = \hat{z} E_z^i = \hat{z} E_0 \ e^{i \ 2\pi \ cos\theta} = \hat{z} E_0 \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \ i^n \ J_n(2\pi \ r) \ \cos\theta$$

where $\hat{z}$ is a unit vector in the $z$ direction

$$\vec{r} = \frac{r}{\lambda_o}$$

$\lambda_o$ the free space wave length of the incident radiation

$$\epsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 \quad \text{when} \ n = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \quad \text{when} \ n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let the scattered field outside the cylinder be represented by

$$E^s = \hat{z} E_z^s = \hat{z} E_0 \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ A_n \ \epsilon_n \ i^n \ R_n^{(1)}(2\pi \ r) \ \cos\theta$$

and the total field inside the cylinder by

$$E^t = \hat{z} E_z^t = \hat{z} E_0 \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ C_n \ \epsilon_n \ i^n \ J_n(2\pi \ \sqrt{r}) \ \cos\theta$$

The choice of the Bessel function in $E_z^i$ insures that the solution will be finite at the origin and that of the Hankel function of the first kind in $E_z^s$ insures that the scattered field at large distances from the cylinder axis will behave as an outgoing cylindrical wave and the radiation condition will be satisfied.

The constants $A_n$ and $C_n$ can be determined from the boundary conditions. To obtain reflection and transmission coefficients and their
phases the scattered field outside the cylinder must be known and hence

\( A_n \) must be found. Using Maxwell's equation we find

\[
H_0^i = \frac{iE_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n i^n \frac{\partial J_n(2\pi r)}{\partial (2\pi r)} \cos n\theta
\]

\[
H_0^s = \frac{iE_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \epsilon_n i^n \frac{R_n^{(1)}(2\pi r)}{\partial (2\pi r)} \cos n\theta
\]

\[
H_0^t = \frac{iE_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \epsilon_n i^n \frac{J_n(2\pi r \sqrt{\sigma \\nu})}{\partial (2\pi r \sqrt{\sigma \\nu})} \cos n\theta
\]

where \( \eta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \) is the impedance of free space.

The boundary conditions at \( r=a \) require that

\[
E_a^s + \epsilon_a^i = E_s^t
\]

\[
H_a^s + \epsilon_a^i = H_s^t
\]

Substituting the series expressions for the field components and letting

\( \tilde{a} = \frac{a}{\lambda_0} \) we obtain

\[
A_n R_n^{(1)}(2\tilde{a}) + J_n^{(2\tilde{a})} = C_n J_n^{(2\tilde{a}) \sqrt{\sigma \nu}}
\]

\[
A_n \frac{\partial R_n^{(1)}(2\tilde{a})}{\partial (2\tilde{a})} + \frac{\partial J_n^{(2\tilde{a})}}{\partial (2\tilde{a})} = C_n \sqrt{\sigma \nu} \frac{\partial J_n^{(2\tilde{a}) \sqrt{\sigma \nu}}}{\partial (2\tilde{a} \sqrt{\sigma \nu})}
\]
From these using the expression for the derivative of a Bessel function

\[ A_n = A_{n1} + iA_{n2} = \frac{-1}{1 + iA_n} \]

where

\[ A_n = \frac{\mathcal{E} J_{n+1}^\prime(2\pi a \sqrt{\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}^\prime}) N_n(2\pi a) - \mathcal{J}_n(2\pi a \sqrt{\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}^\prime}) N_{n+1}(2\pi a)}{\mathcal{E}^\prime J_{n+1}^\prime(2\pi a \sqrt{\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}^\prime}) J_n(2\pi a) - \mathcal{J}_n(2\pi a \sqrt{\mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}^\prime}) J_{n+1}(2\pi a)} \]

Similarly for the transverse electric case the incident magnetic field is parallel to the \( z \)-axis, and neglecting time dependence is given by

\[ H_i^z = i \frac{B}{\eta_0} e^{i2\pi z \cos \theta} = i \frac{E_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2 \pi \delta \cos \theta \]

proceeding as before let the scattered magnetic field outside the cylinder be given by

\[ H_s^z = \frac{E_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_\delta \epsilon_n^2 \epsilon_n^{(1)} (2\pi a) \cos \theta \]

and the total magnetic field inside the cylinder by

\[ H_t^z = \frac{E_0}{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_\delta \epsilon_n^2 \epsilon_n^{(1)} (2\pi a) \cos \theta \]

using Maxwell's equations we find
\[ E_\theta^i = -iE_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n i^n \frac{\delta J_n(2\alpha)}{\delta (2\alpha)} \cos \theta \]

\[ E_\sigma^s = -iE_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \epsilon_n i^n \frac{\delta H_n^{(1)}(2\alpha)}{\delta (2\alpha)} \cos \theta \]

\[ E_\theta^t = -iE_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n \epsilon_n i^n \frac{\delta J_n(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})}{\delta (2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})} \cos \theta \]

For the transverse electric case the boundary conditions which must be satisfied at \( r=a \) give

\[ \frac{\partial J_n(2\alpha)}{\partial (2\alpha)} + B_n \frac{\partial H_n^{(1)}(2\alpha)}{\partial (2\alpha)} = D_n \frac{\partial J_n(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})}{\partial (2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})} \]

\[ J_n(2\alpha) + B_n H_n^{(1)}(2\alpha) = \sqrt{\epsilon'} D_n J_n(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'}) \]

From which

\[ B_n = B_{n1} + iB_{n2} = \frac{-1}{1 + iB_n} \]

where

\[ b_n = \frac{J_{n-1}(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})J_n(2\alpha) - J_n(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})J_{n-1}(2\alpha)}{J_{n-1}(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})J_n(2\alpha) - J_n(2\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon'})J_{n-1}(2\alpha)} \]
The program makes use of an IBM library subroutine to calculate the
Bessel and Neumann functions for real arguments and of the series expres-
sion together with the recursion formula for the Bessel functions to
determine the Bessel functions for complex arguments. Care has been
taken to avoid overflow and round off troubles in the calculation of $A_n$
and $B_n$.

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
E^m_\nu &\sim E^m_\nu \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \quad (\nu = 0, \pi) \\
E^m_\nu &\sim E^m_\nu \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \quad (\nu = 0, \pi) \\
H^m_\nu &\sim H^m_\nu \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \quad (\nu = 0, \pi) \\
H^m_\nu &\sim H^m_\nu \left( \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \quad (\nu = 0, \pi)
\end{align*}
\]

the scattered electric field for the transverse magnetic case ($\nu = 0$
and $\pi$) at a large distance from the axis of the cylinder \( (\nu = 0, \pi) \)

the scattered magnetic field for the transverse electric case ($\nu = 0$
and $\pi$) at a large distance from the axis of the cylinder \( (\nu = 0, \pi) \)

the incident electric field at a large distance from the axis of the cylinder \( (\nu = 0, \pi) \)

the incident magnetic field at a large distance from the axis of the cylinder \( (\nu = 0, \pi) \)

We define the following eight quantities

\[
\mathcal{E} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{M} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{T} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{M} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{T} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{M} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{T} = 2 \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1}) \right|^2 \right)
\]
\[ \text{PIM} = \text{phase of } \left( \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}} \right) = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n2} - A_{n1})}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (A_{n1} + A_{n2})} \]

\[ \text{RM} = x^2 \left| \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{E}_{\text{p}}} \right|^2 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n A_{n1} \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n A_{n2} \right)^2 \]

\[ \text{PRM} = \text{phase of } \left( \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}} \right) + \pi/4 - 4\pi \frac{\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_n} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n A_{n2}^2}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n A_{n1}} \]

\[ \text{TE} = 2x^2 \left| \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}} \right|^2 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (B_{n1} + B_{n2}) \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (B_{n2} - B_{n1}) \right)^2 \]

\[ \text{PTE} = \text{phase of } \left( \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{p}}} \right) = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (B_{n2} - B_{n1})}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (B_{n1} + B_{n2})} \]

\[ \text{RE} = x^2 \left| \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\text{p}}}{\mathbf{E}_{\text{p}}} \right|^2 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n B_{n1} \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n B_{n2} \right)^2 \]
\[
\text{PRE} = \text{phase of } \left( \frac{H_n^+}{H_n^+} \right) + \frac{x}{4} - 4\pi x = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n R_{n2}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n (-1)^n R_{n1}}
\]

these are the transmission and reflection coefficients and phases appearing in the graphs.
APPENDIX I

I. Dielectric Constant

Assuming a time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ Maxwell's equations are

$$\nabla \times E = i\omega \mu H$$

$$\nabla \times H = -i\omega \varepsilon E + J$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ and $\mu_0$ are the dielectric constant and permeability of free space respectively. For a single electron

$$m \ddot{\vec{x}} = e \vec{E} - mv \dot{\vec{x}}$$

$v$ being the electron collision frequency and $m$ and $e$ the mass and charge of the electron.

Hence

$$\ddot{\vec{x}} = \frac{ieE(1-i\nu)}{m\omega(1+\nu^2)} ; \quad \dot{\nu} = \frac{\nu}{\omega}$$

If $J = Ne \dot{\vec{z}}$, where $N$ is the electron density, then

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = -i\omega \mu \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{1 - \frac{Ne^2(1-i\nu)}{m\omega^2(1+\nu^2)}}{\frac{Ne^2}{m\omega^2} \frac{1}{(1+\nu^2)}} \right\}$$

or

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = -i\omega \mu \varepsilon' \varepsilon \vec{E}$$

where

$$\varepsilon' = 1 - \frac{Ne^2(1-i\nu)}{m\omega^2(1+\nu^2)}$$
This is the effective complex dielectric constant.

\[ f_p = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_m}} \]

is usually referred to as the plasma frequency of the medium.

If \( f_p = f^2 \), where \( f \) is the frequency of the incident radiation then

\[ \varepsilon' = 1 - \frac{f_p^2 (1 - i\nu)}{1 + \nu^2} \]

This is the form in which the dielectric constant is used in the program.

\( f_p^2 \) and \( \nu \) are input values and \( \varepsilon' \) is calculated.
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APPENDIX II

The PRM and PRE curves possess a few interesting characteristics which will be briefly discussed here. It appears that for very low electron densities, i.e. for very small $\frac{P}{\rho}$, the phase of the reflection coefficient for both the transverse magnetic case and the transverse electric case becomes independent of the electron density. Given a normalized collision frequency $\bar{\nu}$ and any normalized radius $\bar{a}$, PRM always takes one of two values which differ by $\pi$, and the same is true for PRE.

Why this is so can easily be seen from the equations arising from the solution of the boundary value problem. Assuming $\frac{P}{\rho}$ to be small we may write

$$\epsilon' = 1 - \frac{\frac{P}{\rho}^2 (1-i\bar{\nu})}{1+i\bar{\nu}^2} = 1 - 3$$

and

$$\sqrt{\epsilon''} = 1 - \frac{5}{2}$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{\frac{P}{\rho}^2 (1-i\bar{\nu})}{1+i\bar{\nu}^2}$$

Discarding all terms involving powers of $\delta$ higher than the 2nd we obtain

$$\text{PRM} - \text{PRE} + \pi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \bar{\nu} + \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{\frac{P}{\rho}^2 K (2\pi \bar{\nu})}{-J_1 (4\pi \bar{a})} \right]$$

A-1
where

\[ K(2\pi \tilde{a}) = 2\pi \sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[ J_{n-1}(2\pi \tilde{a}) r_{n+1}(2\pi \tilde{a}) - J_n^2(2\pi \tilde{a}) \right]^2 \]

(PRME \neq PRE but PRM = PRE + \pi because we have defined the reflection coefficient in terms of the scattered electric field in the transverse magnetic case and in terms of the scattered magnetic field in the transverse electric case.)

This expression shows at once that for small \( \tilde{r}_p^2 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
PRM &= PRE + \pi = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \tilde{v} \text{ when } \tilde{a} \text{ is such that } J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) > 0 \\
PRM &= PRE + \pi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \tilde{v} \text{ when } \tilde{a} \text{ is such that } J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) < 0 \\
PRM &= PRE + \pi = -\tan^{-1} \tilde{v} \text{ when } \tilde{a} \text{ is such that } J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) = 0 \text{ and } K(2\pi \tilde{a}) < 0 \\
PRM &= PRE + \pi = \pi - \tan^{-1} \tilde{v} \text{ when } \tilde{a} \text{ is such that } J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) = 0 \text{ and } K(2\pi \tilde{a}) > 0
\end{align*}
\]

also

\[
\frac{d PRM}{d \tilde{r}_p^2} = \frac{d PRE}{d \tilde{r}_p^2} = \frac{\left[ \frac{K(2\pi \tilde{a})}{-J_1(4\pi \tilde{a})} \right]}{1 + \left( \frac{2 K(2\pi \tilde{a})}{-J_1(4\pi \tilde{a})} \right)^2} \approx \frac{K(2\pi \tilde{a})}{-J_1(4\pi \tilde{a})}
\]

Hence for small \( \tilde{r}_p^2 \) the slope of a curve representing PRM or PRE as a function of \( \tilde{r}_p^2 \) depends for a given \( \tilde{a} \) on both \( J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) \) and \( K(2\pi \tilde{a}) \). Suppose \( \tilde{a} \) is varied by small increments until \( J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) \) changes sign. Since \( K(2\pi \tilde{a}) \) will not change sign at the same time as \( J_1(4\pi \tilde{a}) \), PRM or PRE will change by \( \pi \), and the slope of a curve representing PRM or PRE as a function of \( \tilde{r}_p^2 \) will change sign. If \( \tilde{a} \) is varied further \( K(2\pi \tilde{a}) \) will eventually change sign too and the slope will change sign again. For \( \tilde{a} \) such that
$J_1(4\pi\tilde{a}) = 0$ the slope of a curve representing PRM or PRE as a function of $\tilde{f}_p^2$ will be $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ depending on whether $K(2\pi\tilde{a})$ is positive or negative. Figure 78 shows in some detail the behavior of PRM for small $\tilde{f}_p^2$ when $\tilde{a}$ is near a value $\tilde{a}_1$ where $J_1(4\pi\tilde{a}_1) = 0.$