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Currently available pharmacological therapies do not improve MS symptoms, such as weakness. Exercise training improves multiple symptoms associated with MS, including weakness, fatigue and mood disturbance. Exercise produces alterations in multiple metabolic pathways and some of these changes may underlie the beneficial effects of exercise. We hypothesized that metabolic alterations produced by strength training will predict symptomatic changes in people with MS. In this study we are enrolling adults with MS (N=20) and age- and gender-matched healthy controls (N=20). Participants undergo 12-weeks of guided Progressive Resistance Training 3-times weekly. At baseline and end-of-study we collect measures of fatigue, strength, physical fitness, cognition and overall impairment, in addition to blood for metabolomics. At the end of the study plasma samples will undergo global untargeted metabolomics analysis to identify metabolites and determine their concentrations. We will then perform analyses to identify metabolites that are altered with exercise and also identify metabolites that are related to changes in MS symptom severity. This study has the potential to identify the mechanisms by which exercise impacts the metabolome in MS patients. Identification of metabolic pathways that are associated with symptomatic benefits could lead to the development of alternative treatment strategies, both pharmacologic and neuro-rehabilitative, for targeting these pathways.
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1. INTRODUCTION:

Currently available pharmacological therapies do not improve MS symptoms, such as weakness. Exercise training improves multiple symptoms associated with MS, including weakness, fatigue and mood disturbance. Global untargeted metabolomics is a relatively new technology that enables the measurement of multiple metabolites in plasma or serum. Exercise produces alterations in multiple metabolic pathways and some of these changes may underlie the beneficial effects of exercise. Metabolomics analyses reveal differences in the metabolome between people with MS and healthy controls (HC). We propose that the effects of exercise in people with MS may be mediated through its effects on the metabolome, and that utilizing metabolomics, we can link alterations in the metabolome to changes in MS related symptoms.

While the beneficial effects of exercise on MS related symptoms are known, the mechanisms by which exercise produces these pleiotropic effects are not well understood. We propose to use an innovative technology – metabolomics, to investigate the effects of an exercise intervention on the metabolome of MS patients. This study has the potential to identify the mechanisms by which exercise impacts the metabolome in MS patients. This information could help in personalizing care for MS patients by helping to individualize interventions for patients. Additionally, identification of metabolic pathways that are associated with symptomatic benefits could lead to the development of alternative treatment strategies, both pharmacologic and neurorehabilitative, for targeting these pathways.

2. KEYWORDS: Multiple Sclerosis, metabolomics, Progressive Resistance Training, rehabilitation

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

What were the major goals of the project?
The major goals of the study are –

1. Identify alterations in the metabolome produced by strength training in people with MS and healthy controls.
2. Determine the extent that metabolite alterations produced by strength training result in improvements to affected metabolic pathways in people with MS.
3. Determine the relationship between alterations in metabolites and change in measures of strength, cognition, fatigue and overall impairment.

Below are the lists of tasks as stated in the Statement of Work (SOW):

a) Major Task 1: Assess protocol for the study
b) Major Task 2: Coordinate study staff for PRT clinical trial
c) Major Task 3: Determine logistics for PRT exercise intervention
d) Major Task 4: Participant recruitment, PRT, Participant evaluation
e) Major Task 5: Metabolomics analysis
f) Major Task 6: Data analysis
What was accomplished under these goals?
The accomplishments of each stated tasks correspond with each bullet point above.

a) **Assess protocol for study**: We refined our study protocol (including study outcomes) and completed associated forms (consent form, etc.) and submitted this to local IRB on 8/15/2017 and received approval on 9/14/2017 (Milestone achieved). We also amended the protocol as required by the local IRB and this protocol amendment was approved on 11/2/2017. Required documents were submitted to HRPO for review on 10/11/2017 and approved on 11/30/2017 (Milestone achieved). We also submitted and received approval of our continuing review from the local IRB on 8/14/2018.

b) **Coordinate Study staff for PRT trial**: We identified a study coordinator – David Buchanan and he was trained in all aspects of conduct of the study by the PI and study physical therapist (Milestone achieved). He however resigned his position and we then trained a back-up coordinator Esther Ogbuokri in all aspects of conduct of the study. We interviewed and hired a new coordinator who unfortunately had to leave his position two weeks after starting the job. We are now in the final stages of hiring a replacement study coordinator who will be trained in all aspects of the study conduct by the PI, the study PT and the current back-up coordinator.

c) **Determine logistics for PRT intervention**: We identified space to conduct the PRT intervention and obtained necessary equipment for the exercise intervention (Milestone achieved).

d) **Participant recruitment, PRT, Participant evaluation**: We assembled a flow chart for the study visits and finalized data collection forms for the PRT and study assessments. As of 7/31/2018 we had enrolled 7 participants in the study, of whom 3 had completed the study intervention (PRT) (Milestone achieved). We are in the process of actively recruiting subjects for the study and have enrolled an additional 4 subjects in August 2018. We expect recruitment to be even more rapid once we have a new study coordinator hired and trained later this month.

e) **Metabolomics analysis**: We have begun collection and processing of pre- and post-intervention blood samples for metabolomics analysis. These samples will undergo analyses at the end of the study.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?
The project has allowed for training on how to administer the neuropsychology battery,

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
Nothing to Report

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
During the next reporting period, we plan to enroll at least one participant per week. We are aggressively screening charts and have a number of potential candidates identified for the study. We will continue to administer the study intervention to eligible participants and collect various
study outcome measures as planned. We will plan to perform metabolomics analyses – once all participants have completed the study intervention and will then proceed with data analysis and manuscript preparation.

4. IMPACT:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
Nothing to Report

What was the impact on other disciplines?
Nothing to Report

What was the impact on technology transfer?
Nothing to Report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
Nothing to Report

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

Changes in approach and reasons for change?
Nothing to Report

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them
We have had slower than expected recruitment for the study. This was primarily due to two issues - 1) study coordinator turnover; 2) injury to study PT. We had a hiatus in recruitment due to the sudden departure of David Buchanan our initial study coordinator. We then identified a back-up coordinator (Esther Ogbuokri) who helped continue recruitment, however this was not at an optimal pace since she had limited time devoted to the study due to additional responsibilities. We then identified a new coordinator – Austin Gabbala who had to leave the position within two weeks of starting due to personal reasons. Esther Ogbuokri continues to function as the study coordinator at this time and is now devoting more time to the study to help accelerate recruitment. Indeed, we have already recruited 4 new participants in August 2018 and have identified several more potential candidates. We are currently in the process of finalizing the selection of a new study coordinator, who can provide sufficient dedicated time to the study and hence resolve this issue. We anticipate that with recruitment and training of a new coordinator we will be able to speed up recruitment and meet our original goals.

In addition to the study coordinator turnover, we also had to content with our study PT – Jen Keller sustaining an injury resulting in a fractured finger. This caused a short hiatus in study recruitment and in addition to the study coordinator turnover has resulted in a slower than expected rate of recruitment. This problem has now been resolved.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures
Nothing to Report.
Significant changes in use or care of human subjects
Nothing to Report

6. PRODUCTS
Nothing to Report

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Project Role</th>
<th>Nearest person month worked</th>
<th>Contribution to Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pavan Bhargava</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Dr. Bhargava has performed work in the area of study management and oversight (including drafting/revising protocol and IRB documents, submission of documents to HRPO and training the research coordinator). He is also performing EDSS assessments for all study participants at baseline and end-of-study visits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Bastian</td>
<td>Site-Principal Investigator</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Dr. Bastian has performed work in the area of study oversight in providing oversight of the study physical therapist and logistical support at Kennedy Krieger Institute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Keller</td>
<td>Co-Investigator</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ms. Keller is the study physical therapist and has been involved in training of study coordinator and performing various study interventions and outcome measurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Buchanan</td>
<td>Study Coordinator</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mr. Buchanan was the study coordinator but has now left Johns Hopkins.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Name: Esther Ogbuokri
Project Role: Back-up Study Coordinator
Nearest person month worked: 1
Contribution to Project:

Ms. Ogbuokri was the back-up study coordinator and is currently responsible for patient recruitment, oversight of study intervention and performing various patient assessments at baseline and end of study visits.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?
Nothing to report

What other organizations were involved as partners?
Nothing to Report

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
   Nothing to Report

9. APPENDICES
   None