**Abstract**

Learning a second language (L2) in adulthood is difficult but often desirable, and large individual differences exist in the ability to do so. Our funded program of research looked at the neurocognitive predictors of such L2 aptitude in healthy young adults. Across several experiments we showed that neural indexes outperformed behavioral measures when predicting subsequent L2 learning. We also showed that learning a second language creates changes in both brain functioning and cognitive abilities, at least in the short term. Finally, through our computational modeling efforts, we created a critical modification to the ACT-R architecture that allows us to account for individual differences in complex skill learning.
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1. What were the major goals and objectives of this project? (8000 characters - can be expanded perhaps to include approach)

Language is one of the most complex feats of the human brain, involving the representation, retrieval, and manipulation of symbolic codes for producing a nearly infinite set of communicative structures. Bilingualism increases the neurocognitive demands associated with language use, as it requires the selection and manipulation of multiple sets of co-activated codes and rules that interface with a largely overlapping meaning system. Individual differences research has shown that not all bilinguals are equally successful in meeting these demands, and that general executive functioning predicts how well bilingual individuals can “control” the use of their two languages (Festman, Rodriguez-Fornells & Münte, 2010). Because bilingualism increases demands for interference management, it has also been suggested that such bilingual language experience may have broader consequences for training the mind (e.g., Bialystok, Craik & Luk, 2012) and shaping the brain (e.g., Stocco & Prat, 2014; Stocco, Yamasaki, Natalenko, & Prat, 2014). Our program of research had three interrelated goals centered around a proposed, network-level mechanism for controlling multiple languages in the brain: (1) to investigate the impact of learning a second language in adulthood on neural functioning and on general cognitive abilities, (2) to relate individual differences in neural functioning to the ability to acquire a second language in adulthood, and (3) to develop a biologically-based computational model of complex skill learning that can ultimately account for second language acquisition in adulthood. Such modeling has important implications for research on language, learning, and neural plasticity, and has broad applications for education and industry, where language aptitude has been a desirable trait to measure.

To achieve these goals, our program applied iterative neuroimaging and behavioral experimental research with computational modeling efforts. A central feature of the experimental work is the use of the Operational Language and Culture Training (OLCT) system (Johnson & Valente, 2008) developed by ONR research, to systematically expose participants to a second language using an immersive, virtual and cultural immersion software. The OLCT system provides a rich language experience, including tests of auditory comprehension and speech production as well as the more traditional, memory-based tests of item recognition. To measure the impact of learning a second language on mind and brain, we had participants complete a large battery of standard psychometric tests, and then exposed them to 16 training sessions with the OLCT. Following training, parallel versions of these tests were re-administered. This research was conducted as proposed, with the important addition of an index of brain functioning (quantitative electroencephalography, or qEEG) which has proven to be quite informative (see results below). This data allowed us to conduct separate analyses in line with two goals: (1) to measure changes in brain and behavior that occurred following language training, and (2) to use indices of neural
functioning and cognitive abilities conducted at baseline to predict ultimate language proficiency. No significant changes in approach have happened or are to be expected.

2. What was accomplished toward achieving these goals? (8000 characters, no figures, attached separately)

Across the funding period, we tested over 100 individuals in longitudinal experiments aimed at predicting individual differences in the ability to learn a second language, and at measuring and modeling changes in brain and behavior associated with such learning. The results of this three-year research program resulted in 11 publications in high-impact journals and dozens of conference proceedings and media mentions. Perhaps the highest impact of this research was the development and testing of a neuropsychometric battery that predicts second language aptitude above and beyond the gold-standard behavioral measures. This research has been highly impactful in the field, and leaders in the field of bilingualism and second language aptitude have been reaching out for collaborations.

Goal 1: To investigate the effects of learning a second language on behavioral and neural indices of general cognitive functioning. Across our funding window, we trained 49 participants to speak Sub-Saharan French using the OLCTS software developed by the ONR. Our results show that L2 language exposure significantly improves executive functioning (including Simon Task performance as modeled for goal 3) and working memory scores, as compared to either working memory training or no-contact controls (see Figure 1). We also found significant changes in resting state EEG (primarily in the left fronto-temporal regions across beta and gamma frequencies) following such language training (Figure 2), and that these increases in beta power were also correlated with how much language training an individual received. A preliminary version of these results was presented at the Organization for Human Brain Mapping (Yamasaki & Prat, 2015).

Goal 2: To investigate predictors of individual differences in second language (L2) aptitude. Results aimed at discovering the neural predictors of L2 aptitude have been remarkably successful. In particular, we were the first lab in the world to report that data obtained from EEG while participants closed their eyes for five minutes could predict with remarkable accuracy both rate of L2 learning (Figure 3) and subsequent speaking accuracy (Figure 4). Our work has also shown that indices of brain structure (Figure 5) and function (Figure 6) collected with magnetic resonance imaging can be related to subsequent L2 learning. We plan to follow up on these exciting results by using machine learning techniques to integrate data collected across modalities to form better predictors of L2 learning.

Goal 3: To develop and test neurocomputational models linking bilingualism and general cognitive processes. The computational modeling efforts of this grant have taken two forms. First, dynamic causal modeling (DCM) of fMRI data (Friston, Harrison & Penny, 2003) was conducted to better understand the impacts of bilingualism on mind and brain. Specifically, we investigated the claim on which the current proposal is based, that bilingual language experience drives the influence of the basal ganglia on prefrontal regions. To test this, DCM analyses were conducted on a data set collected while bilingual and monolingual individuals completed a mathematical rule task (Stocco & Prat, 2014). We found that bilingual and monolingual individuals showed different patterns of effective connectivity between brain regions known to underlie executive control. Specifically, the influence of the anterior cingulate cortex on basal ganglia and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and the influence of the basal
ganglia on DLPFC was significantly different in monolinguals and bilinguals (see Figure 4). These results were published in Neuropsychologia during the report period (Becker, Stocco, & Prat, 2016).

Second, our modeling efforts were critically advanced by the development of a new framework within the ACT-R architecture. Specifically, to test the proposed relationship between basal ganglia signal routing in bilingual individuals and improvements in executive functioning, ACT-R was modified to include a more realistic model of basal ganglia function. This modification is firmly grounded in the neurobiology of the fronto-striatal circuitry, which contains at least two pathways: (1) A direct pathway modulated by D1 dopamine receptors which has an overall excitatory effect on signals traveling to the prefrontal cortex, and (2) an indirect pathway modulated by D2 dopamine receptors, which has an overall inhibitory connection on signals traveling to the prefrontal cortex. Previous research has shown that learning involves separable contributions of direct (D1) pathways and indirect (D2) pathways, which correspond to learning about the likelihood that an action will be rewarding (D1) and learning about the likelihood that an action will not be rewarding (D2). These contributions can be measured through a simple behavioral task, whose results have been linked to the functioning of the two pathways through behavioral genetics and pharmacological investigations. In fact, we have shown that accounting for this distinction is not only essential to model human data, but might also have an evolutionary reason, since it significantly reduces optimistically biased estimates of future rewards in Reinforcement Learning models (Rice & Stocco, 2017). To reflect these fundamental features of the basal ganglia, ACT-R was modified to allow for two independent and competing sets of production rules. One set reflects the contribution of the direct pathway, and consists of production moving information into working memory buffers. The other set reflects the indirect pathway and consists of productions protecting working memory buffers. Learning in the two sets of productions follows the same reinforcement learning-like rules, but is controlled by two different rate parameters, reflecting individual differences in the expression of D1 and D2 receptors. This implementation was tested in a new model (Figure 7) that accounts for data obtained from our L2 learning prediction study, and correctly demonstrating that sensitivity to actions that are not rewarding (D2) covaries with performance on the Simon Task (Figure 8). This model represents a critical change in the ACT-R architecture toward a system of action selection that is more firmly grounded in cognitive neuroscience. Additionally, the model of the Simon Task is critical to the 3rd aim of this proposal, as it is the most frequently used measure of executive functioning to demonstrate the influences of bilingual experience on cognitive control.

3. What opportunities for training and professional development did the project provide?
Two graduate students, Brianna Yamasaki and Roy Seo received research assistant funding as part of this grant that was critical to their training and completion of a Ph.D. in Cognitive Psychology. Yamasaki will graduate in May, and has secured a postdoc position at Vanderbilt University, where she will continue to understand the impact of bilingualism on the mind and brain by looking at children developing monolingually and bilingually. Seo will graduate in Fall of 2018, and recently had her first author manuscript (supported in part by this proposal) accepted for publication in Neuroimage. We also mentor dozens of undergraduate volunteers from diverse backgrounds in the execution of this program of research.
4. **How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?**

The results from this program of research have been published (or are being reviewed) in top journals such as Neuroimage, Cognition, the Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, and Brain and Language. Our results have also been presented dozens of times at international conferences such as the Society for the Neurobiology of Language, Organization for Human Brain Mapping, and the International Conference on Cognitive Modeling. These results have also received quite a bit of coverage in the media. Press releases both at the University of Washington and through the Office of Naval research resulted in the story being picked up through international news outlets including: Science Daily, Science Blog, The Sun Daily, Quartz, Neuroscience News, Newswise, EurekAlert, The Daily Mail (UK), CTV News (Canada), The Australian, Language Magazine, Futurity, Egypt Independent, Malaysia Digest, and DNA India. Additionally, these have been discussed in two Scientific American articles including one written on Unsupervised Learning by Doug Fields, and another specifically about second language aptitude.

5. **Honors: What honors or awards were received under this project in the reporting period?**

Dr. Chantel Prat was awarded tenure at the University of Washington in September of 2015. She also received a Research Royalty Funding Award ($40,000) to pilot research using Neurofeedback training to improve attention and support learning. Brianna Yamasaki, a graduate student funded on this award, received the Distinguished Teaching Award from the Department of Psychology at the University of Washington, and also received the Stroum Fellowship ($44,586) which covers her stipend, tuition, and health care during the completion of her dissertation research and writing in 2016-2017, and an Earl B. Hunt fellowship for her dissertation research.
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Figure 1: Changes in composite working memory span scores as a function of three training conditions.
Significant Changes in Delta, Alpha, Beta, and Gamma Frequencies following Second Language Training

**Figure 2:** Changes in resting-state qEEG power spectra following eight weeks of French language training.
Predictive Utility of **Low**, **Mid**, and **High** beta Frequency Ranges for Language Learning Rate

**Figure 3**: Resting state qEEG predicts rate of L2 learning (Prat, Yamasaki, Kluender & Stocco, 2016).
Unique Resting-state qEEG Predictors of L2 Learning Rate* and Speaking Accuracy°

Figure 4: Resting state qEEG uniquely predicts rate of L2 learning and speaking accuracy (Prat, Yamasaki & Peterson, under revision).
Correlations between Structural Properties of the Basal Ganglia and Second Language Learning Rate

Figure 5: Structural properties of the basal ganglia predict L2 learning rate.
Correlations between Patterns of Activation for “Filter” vs. “No-filter” Working Memory Trials and Subsequent Second Language Learning

Figure 6: Semantic filtering in a working memory task predicts L2 learning accuracy.
Figure 7: Model of the Simon task that uses the modified ACT-R architecture that accounts for D1 and D2 pathways in the basal ganglia (green and red rectangles).
Figure 8: Experimental results showing the correlation between sensitivity to unrewarding outcomes ("Avoid accuracy") correlates with better performance (faster RTs) on the Simon task.