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Background

"Because in the 21st century, military strength will be measured not only by the weapons our troops carry, but by the languages they speak and the cultures they understand.”

~ President Barack Obama

“Both military and civilian personnel should have cross-cultural training to successfully work in DOD’s richly diverse organization, and to better understand the global environment in which we operate.”

~ Leon Panetta, Secretary of Defense
Study Objectives

• To investigate the role of understanding emotions and managing emotions in the development of cross-cultural competence.

• To examine the impact of cross-cultural competence on cross-cultural adjustment.
## Cross-Cultural Competence (3C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge and Cognition</th>
<th>Skills</th>
<th>Affect and Motivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Awareness</td>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schema</td>
<td>Interpersonal skills</td>
<td>Openness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Complexity</td>
<td>Self-regulation</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: adapted from Abbe & Hajjar, 2009; Abbe et al., 2007)
Study Variables

• Emotional abilities as predictors
  ▫ Emotional intelligence (EI)
    • Ability model
      • Emotion understanding
      • Emotion management

• Outcomes of cross-cultural competence
  ▫ Cross-cultural Adjustment

• Role of personality
  ▫ Agreeableness
Understanding emotions:

- Contributes to the understanding of key cultural concepts (i.e., cultural awareness).

- Helps to garner knowledge of the new social environment by using that information to develop a cross-cultural schema.

- Helps to identify and decipher emotional cues.

- **H1**: The ability to understand emotions will be positively related to one’s cross-cultural knowledge and cognition.
Managing Emotions and Cross-Cultural Skills

Managing emotions:

• Is integral to social functioning and the development of interpersonal skills.

• Contributes to an individual’s flexibility whereby the modulation of emotions target subsequent changes in thought and behavior.

• Is an important aspect of self-regulation.

• H2: The ability to manage emotions will be positively related to one’s cross-cultural skills.
Managing Emotions and Cross-Cultural Affect and Motivation

Managing emotions:

• Shows the individual’s openness to learn about the new culture.

• Increases one’s likelihood to initiate and engage in social interactions.

• Influences one’s level of cultural empathy.

• Facilitates positive social interactions, which contribute to one’s motivation to engage the culture.

• H3: The ability to manage emotions will be positively related to one’s cross-cultural affect and motivation.
Cross-cultural competence:

• Enhances the psychological and sociocultural adjustment of individuals.

• Provides the requisite knowledge, cultural flexibility, relational and perceptual skills, extra-cultural openness, etc., that are critical to one’s cross-cultural adjustment.

• H4: Cross-cultural competence, defined as (a) knowledge and cognition, (b) skills, and (c) affect and motivation will be positively related to cross-cultural adjustment.
Mediated Models

- Emotion Understanding
- Cross-cultural Knowledge
- Cross-cultural Skills
- Cross-cultural Affect
- Cross-cultural Adjustment

H5, H6, H7
Hypothesized Model

- Emotion Understanding
- Cross-cultural Knowledge
- Cross-cultural Skills
- Cross-cultural Affect
- Cross-cultural Adjustment
- Agreeableness

H1: Emotion Understanding → Cross-cultural Knowledge
H2: Emotion Management → Cross-cultural Skills
H3: Agreeableness → Cross-cultural Affect
H4: Cross-cultural Knowledge → Cross-cultural Adjustment
H5: Cross-cultural Skills → Cross-cultural Adjustment
H6: Cross-cultural Skills → Cross-cultural Affect
H7: Cross-cultural Affect → Cross-cultural Adjustment
Methodology

• Pilot Study
  ▫ NEO-FFI
  ▫ Agreeableness was related to the ability to manage emotions ($r = .20, p < .05$).

• Focal Study
  ▫ Participants
    • 425 Department of Defense (DOD) military, civilian, and contract personnel
      • Data were representative of both genders and all age groups
      • Had previous or current overseas experience or deployment history; had some contact with host nationals
  ▫ Procedure
    ▫ Online survey; addendum to the DEOCS
    ▫ Data analyses
      • SPSS, AMOS v. 18.0 and the Sobel test for mediating effects.
## Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Variable</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th># of Items</th>
<th>Scale Dimensions</th>
<th>Reliability (α)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Understanding</td>
<td>STEU (MacCann, 2006)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Emotions in Work Context</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Management</td>
<td>STEM (MacCann, 2006)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anger; Sadness</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Various sources</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Knowledge &amp; Cognition</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affect &amp; Motivation</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>NEO-FFI (Costa &amp; McCrae, 2004)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Hypothesized Measurement Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/df</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>PNFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesized Exogenous</td>
<td>124.47***</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesized Endogenous</td>
<td>1063.12***</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***$p < .0001$
### SEM Fit Statistics for Alternate Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$df$</th>
<th>$\chi^2/df$</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>PNFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Endogenous Measurement Model</td>
<td>101.92***</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Overall Measurement Model</td>
<td>378.54***</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Structural Model</td>
<td>455.47***</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***$p < .0001$
## Mediated Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1 (DV: Cross-Cultural Adjustment)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>124.02**</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Management</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>75.12**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 2 (DV: Cross-Cultural Competence)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>205.19**</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Management</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>112.51**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 3 (DV: Cross-Cultural Adjustment)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>124.02**</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Management</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>75.12**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-Cultural Competence</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>102.10**</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .01

**p < .001
Summary of Results

• There exists a “cascading effect” between the emotional abilities.

• Findings hold after controlling for agreeableness.

• Cross-cultural competence (as one construct) plays a mediating role.

• Cross-cultural competence positively impacts adjustment.

• All hypotheses were generally supported.
  ▫ Hypotheses 1-3
  ▫ Hypothesis 4
  ▫ Hypotheses 5-7
Alternate Model with Control Variables

- Emotion Understanding
  - Agreableness: .64*
  - Emotion Management: .81*
- Emotion Management
  - Agreableness: .54*
  - Cross-cultural Competence: .25*
- Cross-cultural Competence
  - Age: .11*
  - Emotion Understanding: .11*
  - Gender: .11
- Gender
  - Age: .02
  - Cross-cultural Adjustment: .11
- Cross-cultural Adjustment
  - Emotion Understanding: .05
  - Emotion Management: .02
  - Gender: .65*
Summary of Findings

• Hierarchical relationship between emotional abilities (Joseph & Newman, 2010; Mayer & Salovey, 1997; Mayer et al., 2008).
  ▫ Emotion understanding serves as an antecedent to emotion management.

• Validation of cross-cultural competence as one construct.
  ▫ Cross-cultural dimensions act in a cumulative, interdependent manner.
Summary of Findings

• The role of agreeableness
  ▫ Incremental validity of emotion abilities

• Mediating role of cross-cultural competence

• Cross-cultural adjustment as an outcome of cross-cultural competence

• The role of gender and age
Limitations and Future Directions

- External validity of findings
- Common method variance
- Validate a cross-cultural competence measure
- Explore the specific role of cognitive ability
- Development of a DOD 3C framework
Questions?

For more information, please contact:

Patrice Reid, Ph.D.
Defense Language and National Security Education Office
Patrice.Reid@patrick.af.mil
Support Slides
### Results: Correlation Matrix

| Variables                | Mean | S.D. | 1   | 2   | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7 | 8 | SK | KT |
|--------------------------|------|------|-----|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|----|--|--|-----|
| 1. Understanding Emotions | 7.62 | 2.98 | (.69) | -- |   |   |   |     |   |    |    | -.31 | -.60 |
| 2. Managing Emotions     | 13.64 | 3.93 | .52** | (.70) | -- |   |   |     |   |    |    | -.77 | -.32 |
| 3. CC Knowledge          | 3.66 | .78  | .33** | .42** | (.95) | -- |   |     |   |    |    | .17  | -.58 |
| 4. CC Skills             | 3.42 | .62  | .26** | .35** | .80** | (.88) | -- |     |   |    |    | .63  | .22  |
| 5. CC Affect             | 3.45 | .63  | .24** | .34** | .69** | .73** | (.91) | -- |   |    |    | .59  | .19  |
| 6. CC Adjustment         | 3.89 | .82  | .36** | .40** | .55** | .56** | .59** | (.98) | -- |    |    | -.25 | -.70 |
| 7. Agreeableness         | 3.80 | .85  | .40** | .50** | .59** | .48** | .48** | .48** | (.82) | -- |    | -.81 | .74  |
| 8. Cross-cultural Competence | 3.51 | .62  | .31** | .41** | .93** | .92** | .88** | .62** | .57** | (.95) | .49  | -.18 |
Data generation procedures

- Single-factor (SFA) method
  - Understanding emotions (4 parcels)
  - Job satisfaction (3 parcels)

- Content-oriented method
  - Cross-cultural adjustment (3 parcels)

- Total disaggregation technique
  - Managing emotions
  - Cross-cultural knowledge, skills, affect
  - Agreeableness

- Model trimming
Role of Cognitive Ability ("g")

- "g" focuses on cognitive abilities
  - Not specific to particular types of context
    - Culturally diverse situations
  - Does not include behavioral or motivational aspects of intelligence

- Emotional abilities predict above and beyond verbal ability (MacCann, 2006).

- Emotional abilities requires some assessment of emotion-related knowledge.

- Relationships between "g" have been small to moderate in size (Ciarrochi et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2001).
Practical applications

Training programs could include these modules:

• Behavioral and cognitive component of 3C
  ▫ Cognitive structure analysis
  ▫ Dramaturgical exercises (e.g., role plays)
  ▫ Simulation tasks with real-time feedback

• Emotion understanding
  ▫ Virtual reality scenarios

• Emotion management
  ▫ Antecedent-focused strategies
  ▫ Response-focused strategies