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This study describes a new mechanism of intercellular communication originating from extracellular vesicles (EVs). We demonstrate that in the context of prostate cancer, EV populations isolated from human patients harbor AKT1 and that AKT1 kinase activity is sustained in these particles, nominating them as active signaling platforms. Consistently, active AKT1 in circulating EVs from the plasma of metastatic prostate cancer patients is detected predominantly in large, tumor-derived EVs, termed large oncosomes (LO). LO internalization induces reprogramming of human normal prostate fibroblasts, as reflected by high levels of α-SMA, IL6, and MMP9. In turn, LO reprogrammed normal prostate fibroblasts stimulate endothelial tube formation in vitro.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It has gradually become clear that the tumor stromal environment, also called the tumor microenvironment (TME), plays a crucial role in the initiation, progression and metastasis of cancer; hence, targeting the TME has emerged as a novel therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment (1). The TME consists of a compendium of cells (e.g., fibroblasts/myofibroblasts, vascular cells, immune cells, and etc.) along with the extracellular matrix (ECM) and extracellular molecules (2). Though many classic extracellular signaling molecules (e.g., hormones, peptide growth factors, and cytokines) are water-soluble, increasing evidence has shown that a variety of extracellular molecules are confined in extracellular vesicles (EVs), such as exosomes and microvesicles, and that EV-mediated intercellular communication plays an important role in cancer progression (3).

This project tested the hypothesis that the loss of Cav1 in prostate stroma promotes prostate cancer (PCa) progression and metastasis by activating the Src-mediated PEAK1 and PI3K pathways (Aim 1) and by regulating the release of certain extracellular proteins (Aim 2). To this end we purified EVs derived from cancer cells with the PI3K pathway constitutively active in order to study its interaction with cells from the tumor microenvironment. We first addressed whether stromal cells, vascular endothelial cells, cancer associated fibroblasts and tumor cells could take up tumor-derived EVs. To infer whether EVs have the potential to reprogram cells from the tumor microenvironment we performed a transcription factor array analysis in stromal cells after EV uptake. During the second year of the funding period we tested whether stromal cells promote cancer metastasis in vivo.

Specific Aim 1. Determine whether Cav1 loss activates the Src/PEAK1 and Src/PI3K pathways, whose cooperation enhances the dynamics of invadopodia-like protrusions and thus ECM degradation and EV secretion.

Specific Aim 2. Determine whether Cav1 loss regulates the release of certain extracellular proteins, in water-soluble or EV-confined form, that are important for PCa progression.

2. KEYWORDS
PI3K, Prostate Cancer, Extracellular Vesicles

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS

What were the major goals of the project?

Training Goal 1: Training and educational development in prostate cancer research
Milestone: Presentation of project data at a national meeting
Target months: 24
Percentage of completion: 100%
Research Goal 1: Determine whether Cav1 loss activates the Src/PEAK1 and Src/PI3K pathways, whose cooperation enhances the dynamics of invadopodia-like protrusions and thus ECM degradation and EV secretion.

Milestones:
1) Determine whether Cav1 silencing activates the Src-mediated PEAK1 and PI3K pathways.
2) Determine whether targeting Src, PEAK1, or PI3K inhibits the dynamics of invadopodia-like protrusions, ECM degradation, and EV secretion.
3) Determine whether targeting Src, PEAK1, or PI3K in CAFs delay PCa growth in vivo.

Target months: 12
Percentage of completion: 100%

Research Goal 2: Determine whether Cav1 loss regulates the release of certain extracellular proteins, in water-soluble or EV-confined form, that are important for PCa progression

Milestones:
1) Determine whether EVs, EV-depleted conditioned medium, or both are the functional mediators of angiogenesis and tumor cell migration and invasion.
2) Identify the differentially secreted proteins using a quantitative proteomics approach
3) Determine whether manipulating the expression or activity of select proteins may regulate PCa progression in vitro and in vivo.

Target months: 24
Percentage of completion: 100%

What was accomplishing under these goals?

This study describes a new mechanism of intercellular communication originating from extracellular vesicles (EVs). We demonstrate that in the context of prostate cancer, EV populations isolated from human patients harbor AKT1 and that AKT1 kinase activity is sustained in these particles, nominating them as active signaling platforms. Consistently, active AKT1 in circulating EVs from the plasma of metastatic prostate cancer patients was detected predominantly in atypically large, tumor-derived EVs, termed large oncosomes (LO). LO internalization induced reprogramming of human normal prostate fibroblasts, as reflected by increased levels of α-SMA, IL6, and MMP9. In turn, LO reprogrammed normal prostate fibroblasts to stimulate endothelial tube formation in vitro.

Major accomplishments include:

1) Src and PI3K pathway activation promotes EV secretion and AKT is selectively present in EVs
The loss of caveolin-1 is related with PI3K/Akt signaling pathway activation and increased shedding of EVs (4). Recent reports demonstrate that cells can shed different populations of EVs, with a potential distinct role mediating intercellular communication. To test independently EVs ability to modify TME, we isolated small exosomes (Exo) and large oncosomes (LO) using optimized protocols for EV purification (Fig 1).

We used a protocol based on differential centrifugation to separate LO from Exo, followed by flotation to exclude proteins and other EV-attached molecules (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the protocol used for purification of LO and exosomes (Exo) starting from conditioned media (CM).

A recent report identified AKT1 and other kinases in EVs circulating in blood from patients with different epithelial tumor types (5). Because AKT1 is frequently activated in patients with metastatic PCa as a result of genomic aberrations in the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway we analyzed the distribution of EV-bound AKT1 in both LO and Exo. We found that LO harbor p-AKT1Ser473 at significantly higher levels than Exo (Fig 2).

Figure 2. Large Oncosomes (LO) are EVs that harbor active AKT1. A, Protein lysates from LO and Exo purified from the plasma (500 µl) of patients with castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (n=12) were blotted with a p-AKT1Ser473 antibody. p-AKT1Ser473 band intensity was normalized to protein content for each patient. Circulating LO carry significantly higher levels of active AKT1 than Exo (*** = p < 0.002). C, Tunable resistive pulse sensing (qNano) analysis of LO (left) and Exo (right) using NP2000 and NP100 membrane pores, respectively. D, LO and Exo were purified from LNCaPMyrAKT1 cell media by gradient centrifugation (iodixanol), and protein lysates (10 µg) from the indicated fractions (1.10 and 1.15 g/ml density of the 10k and 100k pellets) were blotted with the indicated antibodies, including LO marker CK18 and Exo marker CD81. D, Equal amounts of protein from PC3 and 22Rv1 derived LO were blotted with the indicated antibodies.
2) Src pathway activation promotes plasma membrane blebbing and EV secretion

Next, we addressed whether the activation of Src through epidermal-growth-factor (EGF) and IL-6 stimulation (6) could increase the number of invadopodia-like protrusions (Figure 3A and 3B). We tested the activation of Src pathways by Western blot, phosphorylation of ERK is a downstream event (Fig 3B). Increased plasma membrane blebbing and shedding of EVs was detected after EGF incubation. Plasma membrane blebbing is associated with amoeboid motility and increased metastatic propensity (Figure 3). By time lapse video microscopy we detected the increase shedding of LO after IL-6 and EGF stimulation (Fig 3C).

Figure 3. Increased plasma membrane blebbing and shedding of EVs through EGF and IL-6 stimulation. A, Average of blebs per cell in DU145 under EGF and IL-6 stimulation B, sustained ERK phosphorylation in response to EGF and IL-6, quantified by Western blot in DU145 cells. C, Increased shedding of EVs under EGF stimulation in DU145 cells.

3) Inhibition of MAP kinase pathway prevents plasma protrusions

Next we used a selective inhibitor of MAP kinases, PD98059, to address whether we can prevent plasma membrane blebbing. The average number of blebs per cells was lower after incubation with PD98059 (Fig 4).

Figure 4. MAPK inhibition prevents plasma membrane blebbing. Average of blebs per cell in DU145 is prevented using PD98059 inhibitor.
4) LO are internalized by heterologous cells. EV uptake typically represents an important step for intercellular communication (7). In order to further investigate the consequences of LO uptake by cells from the microenvironment, we exposed immortalized WPMY-1 myofibroblasts to LO labeled with the fluorescent dye PKH26. LO uptake by target cells was quantitatively analyzed by flow cytometry (FACS) (Fig. 5A). Confocal imaging of FACS sorted LO-positive cells showed intact PKH26-labeled LO in the peripheral and perinuclear area (Fig. 5B). Increased PKH26 signal correlated with an increasing number of vesicles (Fig. 5C). Additionally, we found co-localization of PKH26 with MyrAKT1 (Fig. 5D), as detected with an HA-FITC antibody that binds with high specificity to the HA tag of the MyrAKT1 construct (8), which is expressed in the donor cells but absent in the target cells. These results suggest that the particles were intact LO rather than empty circular membrane structures capturing the lipid dye. We then determined whether cells other than myofibroblasts could also internalize LO. We tested normal human prostatic fibroblasts (NAF), human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), CD8+ lymphocytes, and DU145 and LNCaP cancer cell lines. NAF are primary cells generated from prostatectomy tissues not associated with PCa. LO uptake varied among these cells, and was almost completely impaired in CD8+ lymphocytes (Fig. 5E), implying a selective mechanism of uptake. These observations suggest that LO enter target cells by a mechanism that might involve defined interactions between LO and the recipient cells.

To further rule out the possibility that LO uptake occurs by a passive fusion of EV and cell membranes, we incubated target cells with LO at 4°C. This strategy has been previously used to inhibit ATP-dependent processes that are involved in EV endocytosis but not fusion (9). This approach efficiently prevented LO uptake (Fig. 5A) suggesting an active endocytic process. Due to their large size, we considered both phagocytosis and macropinocytosis as possible mechanisms, and tested the effect of known inhibitors of the major steps of these two processes on LO uptake.

**Figure 5: Internalization of LO by cells from the microenvironment.** A, WPMY-1 fibroblasts were exposed to PKH26-labeled LO from LNCaPMyrAKT1 cells, or vehicle for 1h. The shift of the red line to the right, which is quantifiable, indicates LO internalization by the target cells. B, Cells positive for PKH26 were FACS-sorted and imaged by confocal microscopy demonstrating the presence of abundant vesicular structures in the LO size range. C, WPMY-1 cells were incubated with increasing doses of PKH26-labeled LO and then analyzed by FACS. Uptake rates, expressed as relative fluorescent intensity (RFI), correlate with LO doses. D, PKH26 positive WPMY-1 cells were sorted and stained with a HA-FITC antibody against the HA-tag on the MyrAKT1 construct. The two signals co-localize in internalized EVs. E, FACS analysis demonstrates variable uptake rate in the indicated cell lines exposed to PKH26-labeled LO.
The PI3K inhibitor, Wortmannin (WTN), and the actin polymerization inhibitor, cytochalasin-D (CYT-D) (10,11), typically used to block both phagocytosis and macropinocytosis (19), significantly perturbed LO uptake (Fig 6).

Figure 6. A, WPMY-1 fibroblasts were incubated with PKH26-labeled LO in presence or absence of Wortmannin (WTN) (1mM) or cytochalasin-D (CYT-D) (5mM), and then analyzed by FACS to quantify uptake inhibition. Bar plots show the mean of three biological replicates (*=p<0.05, **=p<0.02, ***=p<0.002). B, Representative FACS histograms of panel a.

To determine the relative contribution of these two processes, we used Dynasore-OH (Dyn) (12) and 5-(N-Ethyl-N-isopropyl) amiloride (EIPA) respectively. The primary and most ubiquitous target of Dyn is dynamin 2 (DNM2), which plays a role in the first stages of phagocytosis, including actin polymerization and augmenting of the membrane surface for particle engulfment (13,14). EIPA, which inhibits the Na+/H+ antiporter (15), is typically used to block macropinocytosis. LO uptake was significantly inhibited by Dyn but not by EIPA (Fig. 7A and 7B), suggesting that it occurs through a phagocytosis-like mechanism. The involvement of DNM2 in LO phagocytosis was further confirmed by a significant reduction in LO uptake upon transient silencing of DNM2 (Fig. 7 and 8).

Figure 7. A, Treatment of WPMY-1 cells with LO at 4°C inhibits the uptake. B, LO uptake by WPMY-1 cells was significantly inhibited by Dynasore (Dyn) (20 µM) but not by 5-(N-Ethyl-N-isopropyl) amiloride (EIPA) (50 µM). As expected, uptake was inhibited at 4°C. C, Transient silencing of DNM2 (siDNM2) in WPMY-1 cells resulted in a significant reduction of LO uptake. D, Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were seeded on matrigel-coated wells and exposed to Exo or LO (20 µg/ml). The number of branched tubes was significantly altered by both LO and Exo. E, Dyn treatment prevented the LO-induced tube formation. Bar plots show the average of three biological replicates (* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.02, *** = p < 0.002, **** = p < 0.000001)
5) LO promotes tube branching ability in HUVEC

To determine whether the internalization is important for LO function, we employed tube formation assays, which have been previously used to show bioactivity of Exo (16) but have never been used to test LO function. Notably, LO stimulated a significant increase of the tube branching abilities of HUVEC. This effect was greater than that elicited by Exo, and was obtained with amounts of LO (5-20µg/ml) that are lower than those typically used for functional EV experiments (20-200 µg/ml) (17,18) (Fig. 8D). Dyn treatment of HUVEC cells prevented LO-induced tube branching, but did not prevent the branching induced by full media (FM), which contains abundant soluble molecules that stimulate angiogenesis (Fig 7E). Collectively, these results indicate that LO enter the target cells through a phagocytosis-like mechanism, and that this is necessary for LO-mediated biological functions.

6) LO enhanced the expression pro-vascularization factors on NAF

Because it is known that tumor-activated fibroblasts release factors that can influence tube formation (19), and having observed a potent induction of tube branching in response to LO used directly to condition endothelial cells, we tested whether this effect in endothelial cells could be elicited by the secretions of fibroblasts that had internalized LO. Conditioned media (CM) from NAF pre-treated with LO induced a more significant increase in tube branching than Exo (Fig 7D). To understand the molecular basis underlying the LO-induced result on NAF, we tested changes in expression of factors that are upregulated in fibroblasts activated by cancer cells (18,20). LO treatment resulted in enhanced expression of interleukin 6 (IL6), matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) (Fig. 10E) and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (Fig.10C). Conversely, transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1), MMP1, thrombospondin 1 (TSP1), which also have been recognized as markers of an activated, myofibroblast-like phenotype (18,21-24)
were not altered (data not shown) suggesting that LO induce a distinct reprogramming of the fibroblasts, which results in a pro-vascularization phenotype.

7) LO activate the transcription factor MYC in NAF. Transcription factor (TF) activation might be an important mechanism underlying the responses of target cells to EVs (25). However, how frequently this happens and whether this phenomenon is specific for a given subpopulation of EVs, or for a given TF, has not yet been investigated. We thus tested if LO treatment perturbed TF activity, with the underlying hypothesis that this could be the mechanism modulating the effects described above. Nuclear extracts of fibroblasts exposed to LO or vehicle were tested for functional binding of TFs to DNA. We employed an activity array for TFs with a known role in somatic cell reprogramming (including EGR1, Nanog, SOX2, ETS, KLF4, MEF2, MYC, Pax6, TCF/LEF). Independent trials revealed reproducible enhancement of MYC binding to DNA in response to LO (Fig 10B). To further validate this result, we measured MYC activity by examining the stimulation of MYC-dependent transcription. We used a luciferase assay that tests the activity of the cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) promoter, which is regulated by MYC. Significant activation of this promoter was observed upon treatment with LO, but not with the same amount of Exo (Fig. 8D, p < 0.05).

This estimation was based on protein concentration (20 µg/ml), normalized to the number of cells. However, we reasoned that the array was composed of very few TFs, and a large-scale approach might be useful to unambiguously define the TF pathways involved in LO-mediated activation. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was carried out in NAF exposed to LO or vehicle to obtain an in-depth analysis of the transcriptome of these cells in response to LO. This analysis, performed in biological duplicate, identified 207 differentially expressed genes (DEG) (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.1, fold change ≥ 1.5) in response to LO. Master regulator analysis (MRA) was then applied to the DEG set using TF-target
interaction information collected from public databases. This allowed us to infer functional interactions between TFs and their target genes following a strategy we previously employed to identify important transcriptional regulators (26). 16 out of a total of 274 activated TFs emerged as strong putative TFs (empirical test p-value < 0.01 and hypergeometric test p-value < 0.01). The number of putative TFs that were activated by LO is relatively small (~6%), suggesting that modulation of gene expression is selective. MYC emerged as a highly activated TF in response to LO (Fig. 10E), confirming our initial results. Furthermore, NAF exposed to LO exhibited increased levels of fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), glutaminase (GLS) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), which are known transcriptional targets of MYC (Fig 10F). These results support an LO-dependent modulation of MYC activity in fibroblasts.

Figure 10) LO treatment of normal associated human prostatic fibroblasts (NAF) induces a MYC-dependent reprogramming. A, HUVEC cells were exposed to CM from NAF, previously incubated with LO and Exo. The CM from NAF pretreated with LO, but not Exo, induced tube formation. B, qRT-PCR of NAF exposed to LO or vehicle shows increased levels of IL6 and MMP9 mRNA in response to LO treatment. C, Immunoblot experiments demonstrated increased levels of α-SMA in NAF upon 24h exposure to LO. D, Luciferase activity of MYC regulated CDK4 promoter significantly increased in NAF exposed to LO but not Exo. E, Master regulator analysis (MRA) of differentially expressed genes (DEG) obtained after RNA-Sequencing in NAF treated with LO or vehicle. MYC is one of the most active TF in NAF in response to LO. TF network illustrating interactions between key TFs and the degree of influence to potential target genes among the DEGs (node size and color respectively). TFs with a large number of targets (> 105) is represented by big red nodes while TFs with smaller numbers of targets (< 50) are indicated with small yellow nodes. Cyan and purple connectors indicate TF-target and protein-protein interactions, respectively. F, qRT-PCR in NAF, exposed to LO or vehicle, shows increase levels of MYC targets in response to LO. G, Immunoblot analysis showing that MYC inhibition, using either the MYC inhibitor 10058F4 (MYC-i) (20 µM) or siRNA specific for MYC (siMYC) prevents LO-dependent induction of α-SMA. H, MYC inhibition (MYC-i, siMYC) induces a reduction of tube formation in response to LO. I, Luciferase activity of the MYC-regulated promoter in response to LO is inhibited by Dyn.
8) LO-induced NAF activation is mediated by MYC
The above results prompted us to test whether MYC plays a functional role in LO-mediated fibroblast reprogramming. Both genetic silencing of MYC using MYC inhibition by the low molecular weight compound 10058-F4 (27) and two independent siRNAs (Fig 11A) in NAF were sufficient to block the LO-induced α-SMA increase and the ability of these cells to stimulate branching morphogenesis (Fig 11B-D). The LO-induced MYC activity was blocked by the MYC inhibitor confirming the specific effect of the compound (Fig 11G). A tumor supportive role for stromal MYC was also independently demonstrated by animal experiments in which overexpression of MYC in wild-type mouse primary prostatic fibroblasts induced hyperplasia of the adjacent normal prostatic epithelium in tissue recombinants grafted into the subrenal capsule of syngeneic C57BL/6 mice (Fig 11H). Interestingly, the LO-induced MYC activation was reduced in NAF by blocking LO uptake with Dyn (Fig 11I).

To investigate the contribution of LO–stroma interactions in vivo, DU145 cells, alone or recombined with NAF, were injected subcutaneously in nude mice, and
tumor growth was measured for up to 35 days. Recombination of tumor cells with NAF led to an approximately 1.5-fold increase of the mean tumor volume compared with the tumor cells alone. Notably, ex vivo pretreatment of NAF for 3 days with LO isolated from LNCaPMyrAKT1 significantly enhanced tumor growth (~3-fold higher than tumor cells alone). This effect was completely prevented by blocking LO uptake with Dyn and reduced by treatment with the MYC inhibitor (Fig 11A and B). Treatment with the MYC inhibitor alone also prevented the NAF-supported tumor growth (data not shown). Together, these data provide evidence of an important functional role for MYC in fibroblast reprogramming and modulation of tumor growth mediated by AKT1-loaded LO.

9) MYC activation in the stroma is dependent on AKT1 kinase activity

Because most of the results described so far were elicited by LO originating from cells expressing a constitutively active AKT1, and because we found high levels of p-AKT1Ser473 in LO, we wondered whether this kinase was functionally active in the particles. We first demonstrated that MyrAKT1 can be readily immunoprecipitated in LO (Fig 11C). Then, the AKT1 immunoprecipitation products from the two EV populations, cultured in cell and serum-free culture conditions for up to 72 hours, were submitted to a AKT1 kinase activity assay, which demonstrated abundant phosphorylation of the AKT1 target glycogen synthase kinase 3a/b (GSK3a/b) in LO, but not in Exo (Fig 11D). In support of the hypothesis that LO might function as mobile platforms for active kinase, LO induced upregulation of p-AKT1Ser473 in NAF (Fig 11E and 11F).

![Figure 11 A) DU145 cells were injected subcutaneously into nude mice with or without NAF (ratio 4:1) and the tumor volume (mean + SE) measured at the indicated intervals (tumors n=5 per group). The NAF were either untreated or exposed, ex vivo, to LO in the presence or absence of Dyn or MYCi for 72 hours. LO treatment increased significantly the tumor volume, an effect inhibited by both Dyn and MYC. B) Representative gross photographs of the tumors. Plots shows the average of three biological replicate (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.02; ***, P < 0.002). C) Protein lysates were immunoprecipitated using an anti-HA antibody and blotted with p-AKT1Ser473. D) NAF exposed to LO or Exo for the indicated times were immunoblotted with p-AKT1Ser473 and AKT1 antibodies. The box plot shows the average of the p-AKT1Ser473 band intensity, normalized over b-actin, from three different experiments. E) NAF exposed to LO or Exo for the indicated times were immunoblotted with p-AKT1Ser473 and AKT1 antibodies. The box plot shows the average of the p-AKT1Ser473 band intensity, normalized over b-actin, from three different experiments. F) Western blot with AKT1 and p-AKT1Ser473 antibodies in NAF exposed to the same amount of LO or Exo at the indicated times. Representative images, from three independent replicates, are shown.

![Image of Figure 11]
We then tested whether AKT1 activity is necessary for the LO-mediated effects on the stroma. MYC activity (Fig. 12A), α-SMA levels (Fig. 12B), and tube branching (Fig. 12C-E) were reduced in NAF exposed to LO in the presence of the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (28) in comparison with vehicle treatment. The result on tube branching was reproduced with LO derived from an unrelated prostate cancer cell line that endogenously expresses an active AKT1 (Fig. 12F). Collectively, these data suggest that the LO-induced fibroblast reprogramming is dependent on AKT1 kinase activity.

**Figure 12.** A) Luciferase activity of the MYC-regulated promoter in response to LO inhibited by the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (AKT1-i; 1 μmol/L). Bar plot shows the average of three biological replicates (*, *P < 0.05). B) NAF exposed to LO or Exo for the indicated times were immunoblotted with p-AKT1<sup>Ser473</sup> and AKT1 antibodies. The box plot shows the average of the p-AKT1<sup>Ser473</sup> band intensity, normalized over β-actin, from three different experiments. C) Luciferase activity of the MYC-regulated promoter in response to LO inhibited by the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (AKT1-i; 1 μmol/L). Bar plot shows the average of three biological replicates (*, *P < 0.05). Representative images of HUVEC cells seeded on matrigel-coated wells and exposed to CM from NAF previously incubated with LO from LNCaP<sub>MyrAKT1</sub> (D) or 22Rv1 (E) in presence or absence of the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (AKT1-i). Tube branching in response to LO is reduced by AKT1-i. Bar plots show the average of three biological replicates (*, *P < 0.05; **, *P < 0.02).
8. Key research accomplishments. We have demonstrated:

- Activation of PI3K and Src pathways promotes shedding of LO
- Inhibition of MAP kinase pathway prevents plasma protrusions, involved in cancer cells motility
- LO are EVs that harbor active AKT1
- Active AKT1 was detected in circulating EV from the plasma of metastatic prostate cancer patients and was LO specific.
- LO can be internalized by cells from the PCa microenvironment
- LO internalization induced reprogramming of human normal prostate fibroblasts as reflected by high levels of α-SMA, IL6, and MMP9.
- The internalization of LO can be prevented by inhibiting phagocytic-like events
- LO enhanced the expression of pro-vascularization factors on normal associated human prostatic fibroblasts
- LO treatment of normal associated human prostatic fibroblasts induces a MYC-dependent reprogramming
- MYC activation in the stroma is dependent on AKT1 kinase activity
- LO-reprogrammed normal prostate fibroblasts promoted tumor growth in mice
- Inhibition of LO internalization prevented activation of MYC and impaired the tumor-supporting properties of fibroblasts

9. Conclusion

Overall, our data show that prostate cancer-derived LO powerfully promote establishment of a tumor-supportive environment by inducing a novel reprogramming of the stroma. This mechanism offers potential alternative options for patient treatment.

10. Other achievements

1) Stromal cells caveolin-1 silenced uptake higher percentage of tumor derived LO. Previous findings demonstrated that Cav-1 expression in the stroma can decline in advanced and metastatic prostate cancer, taking together this finding suggests that the loss of Cav-1 in stroma can facilitate the cells reprogramming and tumor progression (Figure 12).

![Figure 12. LO are preferentially internalized by stromal cells with low Cav1. Fibroblasts WPMY-1 Cav-1 silenced and controls using shRNA were exposed to increase concentration of LO tumor cells derived.](image-url)
2) Because nuclear deformability is a rate limiting-step during cancer cell migration (29) we studied the nuclear shape of LO-shedding cells. We stained the nuclear envelope and we detected increased nuclear membrane instability (Figure 13A). We observed emerin mislocalization in association with disruption of the nuclear envelope contour in LO-shedding cells, as well as nuclear envelope blebbing. We also observed increased levels of emerin in LO purified by gradient ultracentrifugation from the cell media (Figure 13B). Our data suggest that emerin can be a marker for extracellular vesicles originated from cells with nuclear membrane instability. Cav-1 has been previously described as EV marker and recent reports shown that Cav-1 directly interacts with emerin. Taken together, these observations reinforce the idea that nuclear derived material can be found in EVs and emerin can be a biomarker of aggressive cancer.

![Figure 13](image.jpg)

**Figure 13.** Nuclear membrane instability in cancer LO-shedding cells. A) Confocal 3D images of BT-549 control shRNA and DIAPH3 shRNA cells. DNA (Hoechst, blue), emerin (FITC, green) and membrane (Dil, red) staining are shown, scale bar 10 mm. B) emerin immunoblot of secreted EVs from BT-549 control shRNA and BT DIAPH3 shRNA cells culture for 24h in EVs-free complete culture medium. Equal numbers of cells were plated and the EVs were purified with layers of OptiPrepTM with the indicated densities.
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What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

I am a co-author of 2 published studies and I am preparing a first author study describing the relation between nuclear membrane instability, extracellular vesicles shedding and metastasis (see PRODUCTS section). I presented the results of this study at the 2016 and 2017 International Society of Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV). I gave presentations in lab meetings, journal club, and cancer biology workgroup meetings.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

From this work, we demonstrated for the first time that LO circulates in blood from prostate cancer patients, containing active AKT1. This is a major discovery in the field, which has allowed collaborations with other nationally prominent prostate cancer research teams, including UCLA. This work elucidates of the function of LO in the modulation of the tumor microenvironment and identifies the nodes that could be targeted to prevent tumor progression and metastasis. Manipulating the expression or activity of select proteins may regulate PCa progression in vivo. We will also identify the differentially secreted proteins and we applied a set of experimental and bioinformatics strategies to understand the function of LO in the tumor microenvironment and develop approaches directed toward targeting it.

4. IMPACT.

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

I have made an important conceptual and clinically relevant advance by characterizing the role of LO uptake in cells from PCa microenvironment. Consequently, this project is high impact and high reward, with potentially immediate opportunities to alter clinical practice if the classification scheme can be shown to have clinical utility. We additionally identify new markers to isolate EVs in patients.

What was the impact on other disciplines?
Nothing to Report.

What was the impact on technology transfer?
Nothing to Report.
What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
Nothing to Report.

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS

Changes in approach and reasons for change
Nothing to Report.

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them
Nothing to Report.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures
Nothing to Report.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents
Nothing to Report.

6. PRODUCTS:

Publications, conference papers, and presentations

Other publications, conference papers, and presentations.

Journal publications.
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Abstract: Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) have received considerable attention in recent years, both as mediators of intercellular communication pathways that lead to tumor progression, and as potential sources for discovery of novel cancer biomarkers. For many years, research on EVs has mainly investigated either the mechanism of biogenesis and cargo selection and incorporation, or the methods of EV isolation from available body fluids for biomarker discovery. Recent studies have highlighted the existence of different populations of cancer-derived EVs, with distinct molecular cargo, thus pointing to the possibility that the various EV populations might play diverse roles in cancer and that this does not happen randomly. However, data attributing cancer specific intercellular functions to given populations of EVs are still limited. A deeper functional, biochemical and molecular characterization of the various EV classes might identify more selective clinical markers, and significantly advance our knowledge of the pathogenesis and disease progression of many cancer types.
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1. Introduction

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are structures of variable size (from 30 nm to a few µm), surrounded by a lipid bilayer, which are released from any type of cell into the extracellular space and are detectable in body fluids. EVs can exert pleiotropic biological functions, and can influence the microenvironment via the horizontal transfer of bioactive molecules, including proteins, lipids, DNA, and RNA [1,2]. EVs have been implicated in several physiological and pathological processes, such as inflammation, immune disorders, neurological diseases, and cancer [3–5]. One of the first lines of evidence that tumor cells shed membrane-vesicles was provided in 1978, when Friend and colleagues described them as “rare, pleomorphic membrane-lined particles ranging broadly in size between 400 and 1200 Å”, in cell
lines derived from patients with Hodgkin’s disease [6]. A year later an independent study identified plasma-derived vesicles released by murine leukemia cells [7]. At the beginning of the 1980s, the shedding of plasma membrane EVs from pig hepatocellular carcinoma and mouse breast carcinoma cells helped to demonstrate that tumor EVs are carriers of procoagulant activity and thus participate in cancer thrombogenicity by activating the clotting system [8]. However, only twenty years later it was formally proven that EVs are not artifacts and can affect tumor progression by promoting angiogenesis, tumor invasion, and immune escape [9,10]. Since then, the number of reports on cancer-derived EVs has surged, and it is now well established that EVs contain functional proteins, microRNA, DNA, and mutated transcripts with oncogenic properties. In particular, it has been proposed by several studies that EV DNA may serve as a biomarker for cancer detection [11,12] and targeted therapy [13]. In addition, this very active field of investigation recently culminated in the demonstration that EVs can condition the pre-metastatic niche in vivo, a report that unequivocally corroborates active participation of EVs in cancer lethality [14].

2. The Variegated World of Extracellular Vesicles

Tumor cells release several types of EVs, which differ in size, biogenesis, and molecular composition. Two main categories of EVs have been described: exosomes and ectosomes. In addition, recent data point to the existence of additional subpopulations of EVs, which may express quantitatively and/or qualitatively different types of molecular cargo [15–18]. Moreover, Nakano and colleagues have recently observed that different subtypes of glioblastoma may activate different pathways of EVs biogenesis, due to the activation of diverse intracellular signaling [19].

Establishing an “EV population fingerprint” is highly relevant for two main reasons. On one hand, the definition of specific biological roles associated with specific EV categories may significantly advance our knowledge about the pathogenesis and the progression of disease. On the other hand, a deeper functional, biochemical and molecular characterization of diverse populations of EVs might identify more selective clinical markers, finely defining specific steps of tumorigenesis and potential avenues for therapeutic intervention.

2.1. Exosomes

Exosomes are nano-sized EVs (30–100 nm) that originate from the late endosomal trafficking machinery, are gathered intracellularly into multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and ultimately released as a result of MVB fusion with the plasma membrane [20]. An analysis of the proteins most frequently identified in exosomes and deposited in the online EV databases ExoCarta, Vesiclepedia and EVPedia [21–25] highlights the presence of the tetraspanin family members CD9, CD63 and CD81, the small actin-binding protein Cofilin1, heat shock proteins such as Hsp70 and Hsp90, and enzymes involved in cell metabolism, including Enolase1, Aldolase A, phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) and lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA) [26]. While most of these proteins have been shown to play a role in cancer progression, their identification in exosomes is not specific for cancer. Additional proteins that have more recently emerged as specifically associated with exosomes, and often absent in EVs other than exosomes, are Tsg101 and Programmed Cell Death-6 Interacting Protein (PDCD6IP), also known as ALG-2 Interacting Protein or, more commonly, as ALIX. Interestingly, these proteins are part of the ESCRT complex (ESCRT I and ESCRT III, respectively) that has been recently shown to play a direct role in exosomes biogenesis, with specific components differently affecting vesicle shedding [27]. While silencing of Tsg101 induces a decrease in exosomes production, the absence of ALIX leads to a specific increase in the release of larger EVs [27], suggesting a role for both proteins in the biogenesis of exosomes. However, conclusive results on the absence of Tsg101 and ALIX in non MVB-derived EVs are still lacking, neither is it clearly known whether they can be identified in exosomes from all cell systems, including cancer. Moreover, despite findings demonstrating that Tsg101 and ALIX can interact, Tsg101 seems to play a direct role in cancer [28], whereas the function of ALIX is generally associated with programmed cell death [29]. Interestingly, both genes are mostly mutated, albeit at
extremely rare frequency, in human tumors, as demonstrated by data generated by the TCGA Research Network (Available online: http://cancergenome.nih.gov/) (Figure 1).

2.2. Ectosomes

Ectosomes, also reported as membrane particles, nanoparticles, matrix vesicles and microvesicles (MV), are cell surface-derived EVs typically larger than exosomes, originating from direct budding from the plasma membrane [30]. This category includes apoptotic bodies (ABs) and possibly large oncosomes (LO), which derive from apoptotic and non-apoptotic membrane blebbing processes, respectively [31–33]. Ectosome shedding can be induced by alterations in the asymmetric distribution of phospholipids in the plasma membrane, which results in the exposure of phosphatidylserine (PS) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) in the extracellular layer of the membrane. This information derives mostly from studies on MV [34,35] and the phenomenon of ectosome shedding is stimulated by increased levels of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) in different cell types including cancer [36]. Cancer-derived MV are enriched in the ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), which functions as a promoter of EV shedding from prostate and breast cancer cell lines [37]. Importantly, interrogation of publicly available prostate cancer expression datasets demonstrates that ARF6 mRNA levels are higher in prostate cancer compared with benign tissue [38].

**Figure 1.** Genomic alterations of Tsg101 and ALIX in cancer. Frequency of copy number alterations and mutations of Tsg101 (A); and ALIX (B) across several tumor types. The results shown here are based upon data generated by the TCGA Research Network (Available online: http://cancergenome.nih.gov/).
Cancer ectosomes, similarly to other EV populations, represent a valuable reservoir for molecules functionally involved in cancer progression and are representative of their cell of origin [37,39,40] hence they make appealing candidates for the identification of circulating biomarkers. Despite the similarities in the molecular composition of ectosomes and donor cells, it is becoming evident that the internal composition of ectosomes, as well as the composition of the ectosome membrane, are not a mere reflection of the cytosol and cell membrane of the cell of origin [37,39]. Unraveling the mechanisms of molecular sorting in ectosomes could shed light on “intercellular messaging” that might occur at high rates in cancer.

2.3. Apoptotic Bodies

Apoptotic bodies (ABs) are particles of relatively large size (1–4 µm), released by tumor cells and other cell types upon the trigger of the cellular collapse that results in karyorrhexis (nuclear fragmentation), increase in membrane permeability, and externalization of phosphatidylserine (PS) [41,42]. Apoptotic membrane blebbing is a well-studied phenomenon that occurs during the late stages of programmed cell death, and is the result of caspase-mediated cleavage and consequent activation of ROCK1 [43]. It has been reported that ABs contain nuclear material, which might be functional. However, the results suggesting that ABs, through the horizontal transfer of oncogenes from cancer to recipient cells, participate in cancer development [44] needs further investigation in vitro and possibly in vivo [45]. Importantly, although an exchange of cancer-derived DNA has been reported in prostate cancer cells [46], whether this mechanism has functional consequences is still unknown. Another role suggested for ABs is that they can act by “dispatching suicide notes” to the surrounding environment. In fact, in early phases of apoptosis, AB membranes display increased permeabilization, allowing them to release proteins into the microenvironment. This, in turn, prepares the surrounding cells for the catastrophic loss of membrane integrity that affects apoptotic cells during secondary necrosis [47].

2.4. Large Oncosomes

Large Oncosomes (LO) represent an additional class of tumor-derived EVs, so called because of an atypically large size and abundant oncogenic cargo [31,38]. Similarly to ectosomes, this EV population might originate directly from plasma membrane budding and, like MV, these particles express ARF6 [38]. LO formation is particularly evident in highly migratory, aggressive tumor cells with an amoeboid phenotype [31,38], and experiments in different cell lines indicate that LO can form as bioproducts of non-apoptotic membrane blebs used by amoeboid cells as propulsive forces to migrate [32]. Quantitative analyses of LO has shown that even if LO diameter can vary from 1 to 10 µm and larger, the mode of distribution of LO diameters, calculated on hundreds of cells, is 3–4 µm, and some of them can reach several µm in size, considerably larger than any type of EV described so far. LO have been identified in several cancer systems, and comparative experiments between tumor and benign cells indicate that they are specifically released by tumor cells, whereas their detection in benign cell systems is negligible. Consistently, LO-like features have been described in human prostate cancer sections in situ, but not detected in benign tissue [38]. LO shedding is common to several tumor types, including prostate, breast, bladder, lung cancer, and other tumors ([38] and unpublished observations) and is enhanced by silencing of the gene encoding the cytoskeletal regulator Diaphanous related formin-3 (DIAPH3), or by activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and overexpression of a membrane-targeted, constitutively active form of Akt1. Importantly, LO have been identified in the circulation of mice and patients with metastatic prostate cancer, suggesting that these EVs are potentially useful sources of clinical biomarkers [48].

EVs in the size range and appearance of LO have been recently described with different names including: (1) giant vesicles, identified in ERα-positive breast cancer cells and tumor tissues [49]; (2) migrasomes, large round structures containing numerous vesicles (pomegranate-like structures), which depart from retraction fibers of migratory benign cells [50]; and (3) tumor-derived MV
originating from amoeboid-like tumor cells, in which VAMP3 seems to regulate the delivery of MV cargo to regions of high plasma membrane blebbing. MV appear to be released through blebbing during migration [51]. Whether these three types of EVs are distinct from LO and use different mechanisms to play their extracellular functions is currently unknown.

Despite the effort to reach a consensus on vesicle nomenclature and classification, it is becoming evident that the biochemical composition and the biological function of different EV populations derived from the same cellular system overlap, at least in part [52]. Furthermore, current methods of isolation do not discriminate between exosomes and ectosomes because physical properties that can unambiguously distinguish between the two EV types have not been fully characterized and specific molecular markers are still lacking [37,53,54]. However, despite the current limitations, a recent study indicates that RNA profiles of exosomes, ectosomes, and ABs differ [55]. Therefore, regardless of the confusing and frequently inappropriate terminology used to define particular EV populations, it is clear that tumor cells release a spectrum of EVs that might all functionally participate in the biology of the disease. A partial snapshot of the vesicle types described above is presented in Table 1. A more comprehensive introduction into EVs, particularly exosomes, is provided in a focus edition by Kalra et al. [56].

### Table 1. Populations of Extracellular Vesicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vesicle Type</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Cargo</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exosomes</td>
<td>30–300 nm</td>
<td>MVB fusion with the plasma membrane</td>
<td>Tsg101 and ALIX dependent</td>
<td>Tsg101, ALIX, CD9, CD63, CD81</td>
<td>[27,57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectosomes</td>
<td>0.05–1 µm</td>
<td>Budding from the plasma membrane</td>
<td>ARF6, RhoA, PS exposure dependent</td>
<td>ARF6</td>
<td>[37,40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptotic Bodies</td>
<td>1–4 µm</td>
<td>Budding from the plasma membrane</td>
<td>Apoptosis-related pathway</td>
<td>Annexin V, Caspase 3</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Oncosomes</td>
<td>1–10 µm</td>
<td>Budding from the plasma membrane</td>
<td>EGFR, Akt1, Cav-1 and DIAPH3-loss dependent</td>
<td>ARF6, CK18, GAPDH</td>
<td>[31,38,48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant Vesicles</td>
<td>3–42 µm</td>
<td>Budding from the plasma membrane</td>
<td>17-β-estradiol dependent</td>
<td>Not Identified</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrasomes</td>
<td>0.5–3 µm</td>
<td>Budding from retraction fibers</td>
<td>Integrin and migration dependent</td>
<td>TSPAN4</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Cancer Extracellular Vesicles and the Tumor Microenvironment

The molecular mechanisms regulating the functional interaction between cancer cells and the microenvironment have been the subject of active investigation, and historically are considered to be mediated by small molecules, cytokines and growth factors. Today we know that cancer cells also communicate through EVs, thus transferring functional information from cell to cell at the paracrine level (Figure 2). Recent reports are leading to the conclusion that EV cargo influences the stroma by activating molecular pathways that differ, at least in part, from the ones modulated by soluble factors [58].
3.1. Cancer Cells and Cancer Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs)

Cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are one of the most abundant cell type in the tumor microenvironment. Upon exposure to cancer cells, CAFs alter their phenotypes [59]. In turn, when co-cultured or exposed to conditioned media from CAFs, cancer cells demonstrate increased aggressiveness, which might depend on CAF-induced stemness and metabolic reprogramming [60–63]. Both cancer cells and fibroblasts can produce different species of EVs, which seem to actively participate in the mutual interplay between these cells, and this is crucial to tumor progression [58,64–67].

A recent study demonstrated that tumor-derived exosomes can modify the stroma to promote tumor growth by supporting angiogenesis and accelerating tumor cell proliferation [38]. Furthermore, the TGF-β associated with tumor exosomes can trigger fibroblast differentiation into a myofibroblast or CAF phenotype, with increased levels of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA). The uptake of tumor-derived exosomes by fibroblasts also results in the deposition of a hyaluronic acid pericellular coat leading to increased contractile activity [64]. Similarly, treatment with ovarian and breast cancer cell-derived exosomes induces, in adipose tissue derived stem cells, the typical characteristics of tumor-associated myofibroblasts [68,69]. Additionally, the Extracellular Matrix Metalloproteinase Inducer (EMMPRIN) [65] contained in exosomes and released by lung carcinoma cells is able to enhance the expression of Matrix Metalloproteases (MMPs) in fibroblasts, with dramatic repercussions on tumor progression and metastasis.

Increasing attention has been placed to the role of cancer-derived ectosomes on the tumor microenvironment. Tumor ectosomes have been reported as carriers of cathepsins [70], MMPs, uPA and have been studied as promoters of the proteolytic cascade required by cancer cells to degrade the extracellular matrix and invade the surrounding environment [71]. Antonyak and colleagues demonstrated that ectosome-like MV, derived from different human cancer cells (breast carcinoma and glioma cells), can transform normal fibroblasts and enhance their survival abilities by transferring tissue transglutaminase (tTG), an enzyme that can cross-link Fibronectin (FN) on the ectosomes, enhancing the role of FN in potentiating activation of integrins and their downstream effectors, focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and ERK [72]. Additionally, LO can functionally influence the stroma, inducing

---

**Figure 2.** Extracellular Vesicle (EV)-mediated interaction between cancer cells and different components of the tumor microenvironment (Cancer Associated Fibroblasts—CAF, extracellular matrix—ECM, Tumor cells, Endothelial cells, Immune system cells).
Akt1 signaling pathway activation, expression of genes implicated in prostate cancer metastasis and functional changes in cancer-associated fibroblasts [38,73].

Evidence that exosomes derived from fibroblasts exert a conditioning activity on cancer cells is still very limited. One of the very first studies on the topic, showing that CAF-derived exosomes stimulate breast cancer cell motility, protrusive activity and metastasis, was published in 2012 [66]. The salient discovery of this seminal study is that CAF-derived exosomes can be taken up by breast cancer cells and then loaded with Wnt11 produced by the recipient cells. This results in CAF-derived exosomes, in which CD81 and Wnt11 colocalize in trans, which can activate planar cell polarity (PCP) signaling in an autocrine manner in cancer cells, thus promoting migration. A more recent report demonstrates that exosomes produced by dermal fibroblasts, in which the tissue inhibitor of MMPs (TIMP) gene family has been knocked down with consequent acquisition of a CAF phenotype, are enriched in Disintegrinand metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10 (ADAM10), and can enhance breast cancer cell motility by activating RhoA and Notch signaling [67]. Notably, mass spectrometry analysis of fibroblast-derived exosomes indicates that these EVs stimulate migration and invasion of target cells and can also play a role in metabolic reprogramming and extracellular acidification [66,67]. All together, these findings indicate that EVs can be exchanged reciprocally between cancer cells and CAFs, and that most of the biological changes that occur in either compartment as a result of cancer progression, including stemness, invasion, extracellular acidification, and metabolism reprogramming are mediated by specialized EVs. However, comparative functional analysis of the functional influence exerted by different populations of EVs on the stroma are still almost completely missing.

3.2. Immune System Regulation by Cancer Extracellular Vesicles

The uptake of tumor derived EVs by immune cells seems to have functional consequences on the immune microenvironment, which can result in either escaping the immune response or in activating immune suppression. Whether different populations of EVs elicit distinct types of immune responses, and the molecular mechanisms underlying the transferring to and the modulation of the immune microenvironment by receptors, proteins, RNA, and DNA carried in EVs, are all still largely unknown. Nonetheless, studying how immune cells are educated by tumor-derived EVs, thus facilitating tumor cell escape strategies, is crucial in order to develop cancer vaccines and new cancer treatments.

One way tumor EVs educate the immune microenvironment is by transfer of information to monocytes. Baj-Krzywirzeka and colleagues [74] characterized a number of cell surface markers and mRNA transcripts in EVs from pancreatic adenocarcinoma (HPC-4), colorectal carcinoma (DeTa), and lung carcinoma (A549) cells and they found that IL8, VEGF, HGF, and CD44 mRNA were expressed at high levels in EVs, suggesting a function for these messengers in monocyte re-education. Moreover, the interaction of EV cell surface proteins with monocytes alters CCR6 and CD44v7/8 expression and activates Akt, resulting in increased chemo-taxis and cell survival. All these events may result in the recruitment of monocytes into the tumor tissue, where they can differentiate into tumor-associated macrophages and support tumor initiation, local progression, and distant metastasis [75].

Frequent targets of tumor-derived exosomes are CD8+ T lymphocytes. Wieckowski and colleagues [76] demonstrated that MV from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (PCI-13) carry the cancer testis antigen MAGE 3/6, and FasL that, once transferred to CD8+ T cells, induce their cell death. Another study demonstrated that EVs can be collected from the sera of patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML), which contains higher levels of membrane associated TGFβ-1 and FasL than control sera [77] and that the treatment of Natural Killer cells with AML patients’ exosomes results in TGFβ-1-mediated cytotoxicity of the target cells. On the other hand, tumor exosomes have also the ability to induce immune suppression by activating CD4+/CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (Treg) via TGFβ-1.

The induction of an efficient adaptive immune response against the tumor requires dendritic cell cross-processing and presentation of tumor antigens to T cells. Rughetti and colleagues characterized a population of EVs containing the tumor associated MUC1, a glycoprotein that can bind the MHC
groove and induce activation of CD8+ T cells, which can influence its processing, in dendritic cells. Importantly, dendritic cells can also internalize MUC1-positive EVs obtained from ascites of patients with stage III or IV ovarian cancer [78]. It is evident that, by eliminating the lymphocytes that have the ability to recognize and kill tumor cells, or by activating the regulatory suppressive branch of the adaptive response, cancer can escape immune attack and enhance its own survival [79].

3.3. Cancer Extracellular Vesicles and the Endothelium

The genesis of new blood vessels, known as angiogenesis, is an important step in cancer progression. Establishing the tumor vascular network is essential for cancer cell proliferation because tumor cells depend on blood for the supply of oxygen and nutrients and for the removal of waste products. The vascular supply becomes even more crucial to the cells during metastatic invasion, and facilitates the entrance of tumor cells in the bloodstream to colonize distant organs in the body [80,81].

Importantly, tumor exosomes contain key pro-angiogenic factors directly linked to endothelial cell migration and the induction of new blood vessel formation, such as Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), overexpressed in the majority of tumors [82,83], Epidermal Growth Factor like domains (EDIL-3/DeI1) in bladder cancer cell-derived exosomes [84] and Annexin A2 (ANXA2), one of the most abundant proteins of glioblastoma cell-derived exosomes [85]. Kucharzewska and colleagues showed that exosomes derived from glioblastoma cells in hypoxic conditions are potent inducers of angiogenesis through phenotypic modulation of endothelial cells [86]. Furthermore, it has been shown that exosomes derived from renal cancer cells induce up-regulation of VEGF mRNA and protein levels in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), thus promoting angiogenesis [87].

Recent studies have demonstrated that tumor exosomes contribute to angiogenesis by transferring miRNAs [88]. Taverna and colleagues reported that exosomes derived from chronic myeloid leukemia are enriched with miR-126, which can be delivered to endothelial cells and down-regulate its molecular targets, CXCL12 and VCAM1. This results in enhanced migration of the cancer cells toward the endothelial cells in a co-culture model [89]. The release of exosomes enriched with miR-92a from leukemic cells induces down regulation of integrin α5 in endothelial cells and increases angiogenesis [90]. Additionally, exosomes derived from lung adenocarcinoma, enriched with miR-210, promote tube formation in HUVECs by down-regulating its target Ephrin A3, a known inhibitor of angiogenesis [91].

Recently, a functional role for large oncosomes (LO) in endothelial cell signaling and activities has been described: LO have been observed to induce migration of mouse dermal endothelial (MDEC) and tumor endothelial cells (TEC), suggesting that these EVs might disrupt the integrity of endothelial cell junctions and thereby increase blood vessel permeability in vivo [38]. However, additional studies to test whether the results on endothelial cell migration can be reproduced by using canonical angiogenesis assays in vitro are needed to fully understand the biological consequences of the cross-talk between LO and the endothelium. Further experiments are also necessary to determine if these atypically large EVs can be internalized into endothelial cells. Finally, although it has been demonstrated that LO extracted from the plasma of mice with prostate cancer can stimulate migration of endothelial cells, the capability of these EVs to cause endothelial leakage in animal models has yet to be tested. Additionally, the molecular mechanisms underscoring this and other biological functions of LO are still largely unknown [38].

While different EV populations have been studied and demonstrated to be involved in angiogenesis, whether they cooperate or not, and to what extent each population intervenes in specific phases of angiogenesis and metastasis is still a topic of investigation.

3.4. Extracellular Vesicles and the Transfer of Biological Information between Cancer Cells

EV-mediated intercellular communication is not limited to the interactions between tumor cells and the microenvironment described above, but rather occurs within the composite puzzle of heterogeneous cells that populate the tumor. Among the different roles EVs can play in extracellular
In cancer, one fascinating hypothesis is that EVs might function by horizontal transfer of genes with pre-existent mutations. While the intercellular transfer of oncogenic DNA has often been attributed to the uptake of apoptotic bodies (ABs) [44], reports indicate that EV populations corresponding to exosome and MV subtypes can mediate single- and double-stranded DNA transfer respectively [92,93]. In particular, H-ras-transformed rat epithelial cells can shed EVs containing chromatin-associated double-stranded DNA fragments covering the entire host genome, which can transfer full-length H-ras to recipient cells, stimulating their proliferation and inducing phenotype changes [92]. Another emerging important function seems to be related to the transfer and propagation of drug resistance. Almost unavoidably, chemotherapy results in changes in the biology of cancer cells, which consequently leads to chemoresistance. The traditional view believes that cells with endogenously acquired chemoresistance will survive and propagate upon treatment. In addition, a new hypothesis suggests that chemoresistance can also be transmitted horizontally from one cell to another through the exchange of EVs. Indeed, Zhang and colleagues recently described EV-mediated transfer of resistance to Paclitaxel in ovarian cancer [94]. P-glycoprotein (P-gP), encoded by the multidrug resistance gene-1 (MDR-1), which is associated with resistance to a variety of anticancer agents, is delivered through EVs from resistant to sensitive cells that then acquire the resistant phenotype. Using a different mechanism, exosomes can indirectly modulate P-gP by transferring to recipient cells TrpC5, a Ca\(^{2+}\) permeable channel [95]. This leads to increased concentrations of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and consequent increase of P-gP levels, and intercellular transfer of drug resistance. This seems to occur between different cell types, including cancer and endothelial cells [96]. Intriguingly, an additional novel molecular mechanism by which sensitive cells become chemoresistant via exosome-mediated horizontal communication is mediated by miRNA and other non-coding RNAs [97]. For example, miR-222, which is cargo of breast cancer exosomes can target PTEN, thus activating the PI3K pathway, stimulating cell proliferation and promoting chemoresistance to Adryamycin and Docetaxel in cells sensitive to these drugs. However, the specific mechanism by which the sensitive cells became resistant is not known [98]. EVs can also play a protective role in chemoresistance. This is the case for a study demonstrating that EVs can be directly involved in the sequestration, transport and expulsion of Cisplatin from cancer cells [99], suggesting that, by using a sophisticated disposal mechanism, cancer cells might escape drug treatments.

In the future, it would be useful to investigate the extent to which specific types of EVs are implicated in drug chemoresistance and if the transfer of entire genes can modify the genomic make up of the recipient cells thus modulating response to the drugs intrinsically, before initiating drug treatment [100]. Further information on various aspects of EVs is provided in the other reviews of this focus edition [56,101–104].

4. Extracellular Vesicle Associated Cargo with Particular Emphasis on MicroRNA

The molecular content of EVs seems to be highly dependent on the source and conditions of the system at the time of vesicle biogenesis. For example, the abundance of the RNA and protein content of endothelial cell-derived exosomes is altered after exposure of the endothelial cells to different types of stress, including hypoxia, treatment with TNF\(\alpha\), or exposure to high concentrations of glucose and mannose [105]. Moreover, the overexpression of the ErbB2/HER2 receptor tyrosine kinase can alter the proteome of two different populations of EVs derived from mammary luminal epithelial cell lines in comparison with parental cells, suggesting complementary roles for certain biological pathways, and possibly a different response to HER2 upregulation in different types of EVs [106]. A plethora of studies arose on proteomic analyses of EVs from different organ systems [107,108], as it has been discussed by recent reviews [109].

Particular attention has recently been paid to the study of microRNAs (miRNAs) as key regulatory molecules in several diseases, including cancer. In bodily fluids, miRNAs have been identified either in complex with the argonaute RISC catalytic factor AGO2 [110,111] or within EVs [39,112]. Kosaka and colleagues demonstrated that incorporation of miRNA into intraluminal vesicles of MVBs is
controlled by neutral sphingomyelinase 2 (nSMase2), a regulator of ceramide biosynthesis [113]. Exosome shedding is potentiated by nSMase2, and its inhibition reduces the release of exosomes containing miRNA. This result has been confirmed in another study, demonstrating that RAB27A and RAB27B, two small GTPases that regulate secretory pathways, increase miRNA export from bladder carcinoma cells [114]. Vallarroya-Beltri and colleagues demonstrated that the miRNA sequence motif is important for miRNA loading into exosomes. This conclusion derives from mutagenesis experiments demonstrating that the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2B1 (hnRNP2B1) binds miRNAs directly through the recognition of their mature sequence, and controls their sorting in EVs. In exosomes, hnRNP2B1 is sumoylated, and this post-translational modification facilitates its binding to miRNAs [115]. The EV-loading efficiency seems to depend not only on the RNA sequence but also on the cell type and physiological state. As a consequence, some small RNAs appear to be preferentially exported into EVs, while others are retained within the cell [116]. Whether these crucial mechanistic insights are applicable to cancer EVs remains to be proven.

Numerous studies have reported enrichment of miRNAs in EVs released by different types of cancer cells and present in biological fluids from cancer patients, resulting in the identification of promising markers of disease prognosis [117]. These EV miRNAs also play a functional role that is becoming increasingly recognized. A recent study suggests that decreased export of tumor suppressor miRNAs might be a mechanism of pro-metastatic function exerted by EVs in vivo [114]. One example is represented by miR-200, highly expressed in EVs from metastatic breast cancer cell lines, and responsible for enhanced metastatic abilities of less aggressive cells upon intercellular transfer [118]. Remarkably, Melo and colleagues have recently demonstrated the presence, in cancer but not in normal cell-derived exosomes, of AGO2 that, together with the RISC-Loading Complex (RLC), display cell-independent capacity to process pre-miRNAs in mature transcripts [119]. This study provides evidence that cancer exosomes, including those derived from breast cancer patient sera, can confer tumorigenic behavior to epithelial cells in a Dicer-dependent manner, and strongly reinforces the rationale for the development of exosome based therapies.

Surprisingly, the first quantitative and stoichiometric evaluation of exosome-derived miRNA demonstrates the presence of less than one miRNA copy per exosomes [120]. The authors propose two alternative models, a low-occupancy/low-miRNA concentration model, where a small number of exosomes carries a low concentration of miRNA, and a low-occupancy/high-miRNA concentration model, where rare exosomes carry many copies of a given miRNA. This study highlights the necessity to expand our current methodologies and approaches to more deeply investigate the functional role of EV miRNA cargo along with its potential use as a biomarker. It also corroborates the hypothesis that large EVs might contain higher amounts of miRNA [73]. Quantification of miRNA in EVs is an exciting topic in cancer research also because the amount of extracellular miRNA seems to be upregulated in the plasma of patients bearing tumors [121,122].

5. Conclusions

EVs are involved in several, if not all aspects, of tumor development and progression due to their apparently fundamental role in packaging and delivering molecular messages intercellularly. The potential implications, both diagnostic and therapeutic, of a deeper EV characterization, are widespread. Despite the current limitations, our abilities to specifically characterize EV populations are constantly improving. Furthermore, different EVs might all coexist at the same time within the tumor microenvironment and possibly cooperate or antagonize each other in the intercellular exchange of messages. In line with this concept, it might be helpful to functionally study different EV populations in a comparative manner or in combination. Finally, additional studies are necessary to clarify whether EV release is primarily a mechanism for spreading cancer-associated activities within the tumor microenvironment and in the circulation, if it is a mechanism of defense adopted by cancer cells to survive during disease progression, or both.
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MYC Mediates Large Oncosome-Induced Fibroblast Reprogramming in Prostate Cancer
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Abstract

Communication between cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment results in the modulation of complex signaling networks that facilitate tumor progression. Here, we describe a new mechanism of intercellular communication originating from large oncosomes (LO), which are cancer cell–derived, atypically large (1–10 μm) extracellular vesicles (EV). We demonstrate that, in the context of prostate cancer, LO harbor sustained AKT1 kinase activity, nominating them as active signaling platforms. Active AKT1 was detected in circulating EV from the plasma of metastatic prostate cancer patients and was LO specific. LO internalization induced reprogramming of human normal prostate fibroblasts as reflected by high levels of α-SMA, IL6, and MMP9. In turn, LO-reprogrammed normal prostate fibroblasts stimulated endothelial tube formation in vitro and promoted tumor growth in mice. Activation of stromal MYC was critical for this reprogramming and for the sustained cellular responses elicited by LO, both in vitro and in vivo in an AKT1-dependent manner. Inhibition of LO internalization prevented activation of MYC and impaired the tumor-supporting properties of fibroblasts. Overall, our data show that prostate cancer–derived LO powerfully promote establishment of a tumor-supportive environment by inducing a novel reprogramming of the stroma. This mechanism offers potential alternative options for patient treatment.

Introduction

During the development of prostate cancer, the host microenvironment co-evolves with the tumor in establishing a positive feedback loop that plays a key role in disease onset and progression (1, 2). Extracellular vesicles (EV) are membrane-enclosed particles that contribute to tumor progression by establishing a tumor-supportive environment. Exosomes (Exo) are nano-sized EVs that have been implicated in angiogenesis, tolerogenic immune response, fibroblast activation, and preparation of the metastatic niche (3–6).

Highly migratory prostate cancer cells exhibit a pattern of motility characterized by dynamic formation of nonapoptotic membrane blebs. Pinching off of these blebs results in the release of abnormally large EVs (1–10 μm), which are referred to as “large oncosomes” (LO; refs. 7, 8). LO formation and release is enhanced by loss of the cytoskeletal regulator diaphanous related formin-3 (DIAF3), which induces a transition from a mesenchymal to a more rapid, invasive, and metastatic “amoeboid” phenotype (9). Increased LO shedding is also observed in association with enforced expression of a membrane-bound myristoylated form of the serine-threonine protein kinase AKT1 (MryAKT1), which is constitutively active, in LNCaP cells (7, 10).

LO released from amoeboid cancer cells are abundant in tumor tissues and plasma of patients and mice with metastatic prostate cancer, and are not detected in benign samples (10–12). LO are also bioactive, as demonstrated by their capacity to degrade extracellular matrices in vitro (10). However, whether these vesicles play specific functional roles in the tumor microenvironment is completely unknown. LO harbor distinct protein cargo in comparison with Exo, suggesting that LO might activate specific molecular pathways (11).

Here, we focused on defining whether and how LO facilitate the propagation of oncogenic signaling originating from the tumor cells and affecting the stroma. We demonstrate that LO are the EV population that harbors functionally active AKT1 and that these particles can be internalized by stromal cells, even given their large size in comparison with Exo. Internalization seems to occur via phagocytosis and is necessary for the consequent biological and functional activation of the stromal cells. This allows us to model the establishment of a tumor-supportive environment by inducing a novel reprogramming of the stroma. This mechanism offers potential alternative options for patient treatment.
materials and methods

cell culture and reagents

LNCaPMyrAKT1 cells were obtained and cultured as previously described (13). WPMY-1, PC3, 22Rv1 cells, and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from the ATCC and cultured as previously described (7, 10). The normal associated human prostatic fibroblasts (NAF) were generated from surgical explants of patients diagnosed with benign prostatic hyperplasia (14). All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling, in vitro growth, and histology, and they were negative mycoplasma upon periodical testing (Lonza). Primary wild-type mouse fibroblasts were expanded and transduced with a commercially available c-MYC lentiviral vector. A detailed description of the reagents can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods section.

patient specimens

Human studies were approved by a Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Institutional Review Board protocol (no. 00030191). In compliance with the declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided informed consent for blood donation to be used for research purposes. Patient samples were obtained from the Urologic Oncology Program and the Cedars-Sinai BioBank.

EV isolation

EVs were isolated from platelet-poor plasma specimens or cell culture supernatants (obtained after 24 hours in serum-free media) as previously described (11). For functional studies, the EVs were used at a working concentration of 20 μg/mL, unless otherwise specified. This dose corresponds to the use of LO from 30 donor prostate cancer cells to treat 1 recipient cell, a result that is indicative of high biological potency.

immunoblot analysis

Samples were lysed with RIPA cell lysis buffer supplemented with Octyl β-D-glucopyranoside (OGG), protease, and phosphatase inhibitors. Note that 10 μg per lane were loaded, and samples were blotted for indicated antibodies.

Tunable resistive pulse sensing measurements

EV preparations were submitted to tunable resistive pulse sensing (TRPS) analysis using a qNano instrument (IZON Science) as described previously (15).

PKH26 staining

For LO uptake experiments, LO were fluorescently labeled using the lipophilic membrane dye PKH26. LO were incubated with the dye for 3 minutes at room temperature, and the reaction was blocked by BSA 1%. LO were then washed in 5 mL of PBS to remove any unbound dye, concentrated by filtration as previously described (12), and collected in PBS.

Flow cytometry

For LO uptake detection, target cells were incubated with PKH26-labeled LO (from ~3.3 × 10⁷ LNCaPMyrAKT1 cells) for 1 hour at 37°C or at 4°C. Cells were washed several times, trypsinized to remove surface-associated LO, and then analyzed using a Becton Dickinson LSR II, or analyzed and sorted using a Becton Dickinson FACSAria III. In experiments with pharmacologic inhibitors, the indicated compounds were added simultaneously with PKH26-labeled LO. Data analysis was performed using FLOWJo software (TreeStar). Relative fluorescent intensity (RFI) was calculated as the ratio between the mean fluorescence intensity of the treated cells and control cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Confocal microscopy

WPMY-1 cells, after internalization of PKH26-labeled LO or treated with vehicle control, were sorted and plated on coverslip, fixed, and permeabilized in 4% paraformaldehyde and then imaged by confocal microscopy. In select experiments, cells were incubated with a FITC-conjugated HA antibody. Images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP spectral confocal microscope with white light laser (10).

RNA interference

Cells were transfected with specific siRNA oligos to transiently inhibit expression of DNM2 or MYC. The oligos were diluted in Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a final concentration of 40 nmol/L and 100 nmol/L for 72 hours (DNM2; ref. 16) or 24 hours (MYC), in accordance to the manufacturer’s instruction. The cells, tested for silencing efficiency, were used in select experiments.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit and then quantified using NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Scientific). Five hundred nanogram of total RNA were retro-transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using the iScript Kit. The primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1. qRT-PCR was run on an ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The relative levels of each mRNA were calculated using the ΔΔCt, and either GAPDH or β-actin levels were used for normalizing data.

Tube-branching assay

A total of 20,000 HUVEC cells/well were plated on Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel coated wells (96-well plate; ref. 17) with (1) SF DMEM in the presence or absence of LO and Exo, (2) Dynasore-OH (Dyn) (20 μmol/L) in SFM, with LO or full media (FM; EGM-2, 2% serum), and (3) conditioned media (CM) from NAF previously exposed to LO and Exo. To obtain the CM, NAF were washed 3 times and then cultured in fresh SFM for 24 hours after treatment with LO (6 hours in SFM). The CM was cleared of cell debris prior to being placed on endothelial cells. After 6 hours of incubation at 37°C, images were recorded with an inverted Leica microscope equipped with an Olympus camera. Results were quantified by measuring the number of tubes per field (at least 4 fields) by phase-contrast microscopy and Image J.
Note that 10 μg of nuclear extracts were assayed for the activity of 16 TPs using a Stem Cell TF Activation Profiling Plate Array I (Signosis) following the manufacturer’s instruction.

Luciferase reporter assay

To perform the luciferase reporter assay, the pBV-Luc wt MB51-4 vector containing the MYC-regulated cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) promoter element (18) or the pBV-Luc empty vector was transfected into primary NAF with the pRL-SV40 vector expressing renilla luciferase as internal control. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the media were changed, and the cells were exposed to LO or vehicle for 6 hours. In select experiments, cells were pretreated with either Dyn for 30 minutes or p-AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 for 12 hours before LO treatment. In the case of Dyn, after treating the cells with LO +/- Dyn, they were cultured in fresh media for 6 hours before measuring MYC activity. The luciferase activity was determined with the Dual-Lucifere Assay System following the manufacturer’s instructions. The relative luciferase activity was calculated as ratio of reporter activity to renilla luciferase activity.

RNA sequencing

NAF were exposed to LO or vehicle for 6 hours prior to RNA extraction as described above. RNA concentration and quality were tested respectively with NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific) and 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). One microgram of total RNA per sample was used for library construction with the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit. Libraries were thus multiplexed and sequenced across 4 lanes of a NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina) using 75 single-end sequencing. On average, about 20 million reads were generated from each sample.

Data processing and master regulator analysis

Raw reads obtained from RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) were aligned to the custom human GRCh38 transcriptome reference (http://www.genodegenes.org) using Bowtie (version 1.1.1; ref. 19) and BSEM (version 1.2.20; ref. 20) with default parameters. The data were filtered in low or unexpressed genes and ribosomal RNAs, normalized, and then subjected to differential expression analysis in limma-voom. Data files from the RNA-seq analysis were deposited in the gene expression omnibus data bank under the accession code GSE87563. Master regulator analysis (MRA) was performed as previously described, using TF-target interaction information collected from public databases (21).

Mouse studies

All mouse studies were performed in accordance to the institutional guidelines (animal protocol #5911). Animals were monitored for abnormal tissue growth and euthanized if excessive health deterioration was observed. Subcapsule breast grafting was done in C57BL/6 male mice as previously reported (22). Alternatively, tumor cells were recombined with or without fibroblasts (4:1) and injected subcutaneously athymic nude mice. Pretreatment with LO was performed at a concentration of 100 μg/mL. Dyn and the MYC-i (10058-F4) were used at a concentration of 20 μmol/L. Tumor size was measured twice a week and calculated as: \[ \frac{1}{2} \times \text{width}^2 \times \text{length} \]. Tumor tissues were either stained with hematoxylin and eosin or immunostained with Ki67 antibody using established protocols (23).

Immunoprecipitation

Protein lysates were incubated with IgG or HA antibodies (4°C for 2 hours) followed by overnight incubation (4°C) with protein G agarose beads. The immunoprecipitate was analyzed through gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting or used for the kinase activity assay.

Kinase activity assay

To analyze kinase activity, a nonradioactive AKT Kinase Kit (Cell Signaling Technology) was used following the manufacturer’s instruction. GSK3β phosphorylation was detected by using the phospho-GSK3β (Ser21/9) antibody.

Statistical analysis

Plots show an average of at least three independent biological replicates. Experimental groups were compared using a two-tailed, unpaired, Student t test.

Results

EV-bound AKT1 is selectively present in LO

A recent report identified AKT1 and other kinases in blood EVs from patients with different epithelial tumor types (24). Because AKT1 is frequently activated in patients with metastatic prostate cancer as a result of genomic aberrations in the PI3K pathway, and the above report did not separate large from small EVs, we analyzed the distribution of EV-bound AKT1 in LO and Exo. Immunoblotting for AKT1 phosphorylated on Ser473 (a marker of kinase activation) was performed in LO and Exo obtained from the plasma of patients (n = 12) with metastatic prostate cancer. We used a protocol based on differential centrifugation to separate LO from Exo, followed by flotation (upward displacement) to exclude proteins and other EV-attached molecules (Fig. 1A; ref. 11). We found that LO harbor p-AKT1Ser473 at significantly higher levels than Exo (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Fig. S1A), despite high similarities in the total protein amount in most of the samples (Supplementary Fig. S1B). To further characterize the active AKT1 content in EVs, we isolated LO and Exo from LNCaPMyrAKT1 cells. TRPS analysis identified particles with a diameter ranging from 1.5 to 6.0 μm, in the LO fraction, and from 80 to 180 nm, in the Exo fraction (Fig. 1C). High levels of p-AKT1Ser473 were readily detectable in LO, whereas they were significantly lower in Exo (Fig. 1D). p-AKT1Ser473 was also detected in LO from PC3 and 22Rv1 prostate cancer cells, which express the active protein endogenously (Fig. 1E). These results reveal that active AKT1 is primarily localized in LO, when compared with Exo, in both cell line–derived and patient plasma samples, suggesting that LO might serve as mobile platforms for active kinases, and that the enzyme travels in the circulation protected in EVs.

LO are internalized by heterologous cells

EV uptake typically represents an important step for intercellular communication. However, very little is currently known about the mechanisms that cells adopt to internalize EVs larger than Exo (25). We exposed immortalized WPMY-1 myoblasts to LO labeled with the fluorescent dye PKH26 and quantitatively analyzed LO uptake by flow cytometry. LO uptake by
target cells was quantitatively analyzed by flow cytometry (FACS; Fig. 2A). Confocal imaging of FACS-sorted LO-positive cells showed intact PKH26-labeled LO in the peripheral and perinuclear area (Fig. 2B). Increased PKH26 signal correlated with an increasing number of vesicles (Fig. 2C). In addition, we found colocalization of PKH26 with MyrAKT1 (Fig. 2D; Supplementary Fig. S2A), as detected with an HA-FITC antibody that binds with high specificity to the HA tag of the MyrAKT1 construct (10).

**Figure 1.**
LO are EVs that harbor active AKT1.
A, Schematic representation of the protocol used for purification of LO and Exo starting from CM and patient plasma. B, Protein lysates from LO and Exo purified from the plasma (500 μL) of patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer (n = 12) were blotted with a p-AKT1Ser473 antibody. p-AKT1Ser473 band intensity was normalized to protein content for each patient. Circulating LO carry significantly higher levels of active AKT1 than Exo (***, P < 0.002). C, TRPS (qNano) analysis of LO (left) and Exo (right) using NP2000 and NP100 membrane pores, respectively. D, LO and Exo were purified from LNCaPMyrAKT1 cell media by gradient centrifugation (iodixanol), and protein lysates (10 μg) from the indicated fractions (1.10 and 1.15 g/mL density of the 10k and 100k pellets) were blotted with the indicated antibodies, including LO marker CK18 and Exo marker CD81. E, Equal amounts of protein from PC3- and 22Rv1-derived LO were blotted with the indicated antibodies.
which is expressed in the donor cells but absent in the target cells. These results suggest that the particles were intact LO rather than empty circular membrane structures capturing the lipid dye. We then determined whether cells other than myofibroblasts could also internalize LO. We tested NAF, HUVEC, CD8+ lymphocytes, and DU145 and LNCaP cancer cell lines. NAF are primary cells generated from prostatic tissues not associated with prostate cancer. LO uptake varied among these cells and was almost completely impaired in CD8+ lymphocytes (Fig. 2E), implying a selective mechanism of uptake. These observations suggest that LO enter target cells by a mechanism that might involve defined interactions between LO and the recipient cells.

The biological effects of LO can be inhibited by blocking LO uptake

To further rule out the possibility that LO uptake occurs by a passive fusion of EV and cell membranes, we incubated target cells with LO at 4°C. This strategy has been previously used to inhibit ATP-dependent processes that are involved in EV endocytosis but not fusion (26). This approach efficiently prevented LO uptake (Fig. 2F), suggesting an active endocytic process. Due to their large size, we considered both phagocytosis and macropinocytosis as possible mechanisms. The P38 inhibitor, Wortmannin (WTN), and the actin polymerization inhibitor, cytochalasin-D (CYT-D; refs. 27, 28), typically used to block both phagocytosis and macropinocytosis (29), significantly perturbed LO uptake (Supplementary Fig. S2B and S2C). To determine the relative contribution of these two processes, we used Dyn (30) and 5-(N-ethyl-N-isopropyl) amiloride (EIPA), respectively. The primary and most ubiquitous target of Dyn is dynamin 2 (DNM2), which plays a role in the first stages of phagocytosis, including actin polymerization and augmenting of the membrane surface for particle engulfment (31, 32). EIPA, which inhibits the Na+/H+ antiporter (33), is typically used to block macropinocytosis. LO uptake was significantly inhibited by Dyn but not by EIPA (Fig. 2G; Supplementary Fig. S2D and S2E), suggesting that it occurs through a phagocytosis-like mechanism. The involvement of DNM2 in LO phagocytosis was further confirmed by a significant reduction in LO uptake upon specific silencing of DNM2 (Fig. 2H; Supplementary Fig. S2F). To determine whether the internalization is important for LO function, we employed tube formation assays, which have been previously used to show bioactivity of Exo (34) but have never been used to test LO function. Notably, LO stimulated a significant increase of the tube-branching ability of HUVEC (Fig. 2I; Supplementary Fig. S2G). This effect was greater than that elicited by Exo and was obtained with amounts of LO (5–20 μg/mL) that are lower than those typically used for functional EV experiments (20–200 μg/mL; Supplementary Fig. S2H; refs. 6, 35). Dyn treatment of HUVEC cells prevented LO-induced tube branching, but did not prevent the branching induced by full media, which contains abundant soluble molecules that stimulate angiogenesis (Fig. 2I; Supplementary Fig. S2I). Collectively, these results indicate that LO enter the target cells through a phagocytosis-like mechanism, and that this is necessary for LO-mediated biological functions.

LO internalization induces a distinct fibroblast phenotype

Because it is known that tumor-activated fibroblasts release factors that can influence tube formation (36), and having observed a potent induction of tube branching in response to LO used directly to condition endothelial cells, we tested whether this effect in endothelial cells could be elicited by the secretions of fibroblasts that had internalized LO. CM from NAF pretreated with LO induced a more significant increase in tube branching than Exo (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S3A). To understand the molecular basis underlying the LO-induced result on NAF, we tested changes in expression of factors that are upregulated in fibroblasts activated by cancer cells (6, 14). LO treatment resulted in enhanced expression of IL6, matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9; Fig. 3B), and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA; Fig. 3C). Conversely, TGFB1, MMP1, and thrombospondin 1 (TSP1), which also have been recognized as markers of an activated, myofibroblast-like phenotype (6, 14, 36–38), were not altered (data not shown), suggesting that LO induce a distinct reprogramming of the fibroblasts, which results in a proangiogenic phenotype.

LO activate the TF MYC in NAF

The activation might be an important mechanism underlying the responses of target cells to EVs (39). However, how frequently this happens and whether this phenomenon is specific for a given subpopulation of EVs, or for a given TF, has not yet been investigated. We thus tested if LO treatment perturbed TF activity, with the underlying hypothesis that this could be the mechanism modulating the effects described above. Nuclear extracts of fibroblasts exposed to LO or vehicle were tested for functional binding of TFs to DNA. We employed an activity array for TFs with a known role in somatic cell reprogramming (including EGR1, Nanog, SOX2, ETS, KLF4, MEF2, MYC, Pax6, TCF/LEF). Two independent trials revealed reproducible enhancement of MYC binding to DNA in response to LO (Supplementary Fig. S3B). To further validate this result, we measured MYC activity by examining the stimulation of MYC-dependent transcription. Significant activation of MYC-regulated CDK4 promoter was observed upon treatment with LO, but not with the same amount of Exo (Fig. 3D, P < 0.05). This estimation was based on protein concentration (20 μg/mL), normalized to the number of cells. However, we reasoned that the array was composed of very few TFs, and

Figure 2.
Internalization of LO in target cells is functionally important. A, WPMY-1 fibroblasts were exposed to PKH26-labeled LO from LNCaP/MyrAKT1 cells or vehicle for 1 hour. The shift of the red line to the right, which is quantifiable, indicates LO internalization by the target cells, and it is expressed as percentage of cells internalizing LO. B, Cells negative and positive for PKH26 were FACS-sorted and imaged by confocal microscopy demonstrating the presence of abundant vesicular structures in the LO size range. Control cells are visible in the bottom-left plot. C, WPMY-1 cells were incubated with increasing doses of PKH26-labeled LO and then analyzed by FACS. Uptake rates, expressed as RFL, correlate well with LO doses. D, PKH26-positive WPMY-1 cells were sorted and stained with a HA-FITC antibody against the HA-tag on the MyrAKT1 construct. The two signals colocalize in internalized EVs. E, FACS analysis demonstrates variable uptake rate in the indicated cell lines exposed to PKH26-labeled LO. F, Treatment of WPMY-1 cells with LO at 4°C inhibits the uptake. G, LO uptake by WPMY-1 cells was significantly inhibited by Dyn (20 μM/L) but not by EIPA (50 μM/L). As expected, uptake was inhibited at 4°C. H, Transient silencing of DNM2 (siDNM2) in WPMY-1 cells resulted in a significant reduction of LO uptake. I, HUVEC were seeded on Matrigel-coated wells and exposed to Exo or LO (20 μg/mL). The number of branched tubes was significantly altered by both LO and Exo. J, Dyn treatment prevented the LO-induced tube formation. Bar plots show the average of three biological replicates (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.002; ****, P < 0.0002; and ***, P < 0.000001).
a large-scale approach might be useful to unambiguously define the TF pathways involved in LO-mediated activation. RNA-seq was carried out in NAF exposed to LO or vehicle to obtain an in-depth analysis of the transcriptome of these cells in response to LO. This analysis, performed in biological duplicate, identified 207 differentially expressed genes (DEG, FDR < 0.1, fold change > 1.5) in response to LO. MRA was then applied to the DEG set using TF-target interaction information collected from public databases. This allowed us to infer functional interactions between TFs and their target genes following a strategy we previously employed to identify important transcriptional regulators (21). Sixteen of a total of 274 activated TFs emerged as strong putative TFs (empirical test P value < 0.01 and hypergeometric test P value < 0.01). The number of putative TFs that were activated by LO is relatively small (~6%), suggesting that modulation of gene expression is selective. MYC emerged as a highly activated TF in response to LO (Fig. 3E; Supplementary Fig. S3E). Notably, human RNA expression data demonstrated that LDH positively correlates with MYC in prostate cancer tissues with high stromal content (>70%; Supplementary Fig. S3D). These results support an LO-dependent modulation of MYC activity in fibroblasts. LO-induced NAF activation is mediated by MYC

The above results prompted us to test whether MYC plays a functional role in LO-mediated fibroblast reprogramming. Both genetic silencing of MYC using MYC inhibition by the low molecular weight compound 10058-F4 (40) and two independent siRNAs (Supplementary Fig. S3E) in NAF were sufficient to block the LO-induced α-SMA increase and the ability of these cells to stimulate branching morphogenesis (Fig. 3 F and I; Supplementary Fig. S3E–S3I). The LO-induced MYC activity was blocked by the MYC inhibitor confirming the specific effect of the compound (Supplementary Fig. S3J). A tumor supportive role for stromal MYC was also independently demonstrated by animal experiments in which overexpression of MYC in wild-type mouse primary prostatic fibroblasts induced hyperplasia of the adjacent normal prostatic epithelium in tissue recombinants grafted into the subrenal capsule of syngeneic C57BL/6 mice (Supplementary Fig. S3K). Interestingly, the LO-induced MYC activation was reduced in NAF by blocking LO uptake with Dyn (Fig. 3F), further confirming the effect of this compound on tube branching described above (Fig. 2I). To investigate the contribution of LO-stroma interactions in vivo, DU145 cells, alone or recombined with NAF, were injected subcutaneously in nude mice, and tumor growth was measured for up to 35 days. Recombination of tumor cells with NAF led to an approximately 1.5-fold increase of the mean tumor volume compared with the tumor cells alone. Notably, ex vivo pretreatment of NAF for 3 days with LO isolated from LNCaP(Myc) significantly enhanced tumor growth (~3-fold higher than tumor cells alone). This effect was completely prevented by blocking LO uptake with Dyn and reduced by treatment with the MYC inhibitor (Fig. 3K and L). Treatment with the MYC inhibitor alone also prevented the NAF-supported tumor growth (data not shown). Together, these data provide evidence of an important functional role for MYC in fibroblast reprogramming and modulation of tumor growth mediated by AKT1-loaded LO.

MYC activation in the stroma is dependent on AKT1 kinase

Because most of the results described so far were elicited by LO originating from cells expressing a constitutively active AKT1, and because we found high levels of p-AKT1(Y473) in LO, we wondered whether this kinase was functionally active in the particles. We first demonstrated that MyrAKT1 can be readily immunoprecipitated in LO (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Then, the AKT1 immunoprecipitation products from the two LO populations, cultured in cell- and serum-free culture conditions for up to 72 hours, were submitted to a AKT1 kinase activity assay, which demonstrated abundant phosphorylation of the AKT1 target glycogen synthase kinase 3α/β (GSK3α/β) in LO, but not in Exo (Fig. 4A). In support of the hypothesis that LO might function as mobile platforms for active kinase, LO induced upregulation of p-AKT1(Y473) in NAF (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Fig. S4B). We then tested whether AKT1 activity is necessary for the LO-mediated effects on the stroma. MYC activity (Fig. 4C), α-SMA levels (Fig. 4D), and tube branching (Fig. 4E; Supplementary Fig. S4C and S4D) were reduced in NAF exposed to LO in the presence of the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (41) in comparison with vehicle treatment. The result on tube branching was reproduced with LO derived from an unrelated prostate cancer cell line that endogenously expresses an active AKT1 (Fig. 4E). Collectively, these data suggest that...
LO-induced fibroblast reprogramming is dependent on AKT1 kinase activity.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrate that AKT1 is a LO-resident protein that maintains its activity inside these vesicles and can be detected in LO isolated from plasma of patients with metastatic prostate cancer. This result supports the novel observation that EVs are a heterogeneous category of particles (11, 42). This might be clinically significant because it implies that different EV populations can harbor distinct molecules that can be interrogated as biomarkers.

Although previous studies on Exo have identified TGFβ1 as a key player in modulating the response of the stroma (6, 35), LO

Figure 4.

LO-induced NAF reprogramming is mediated by AKT1 activation. **A,** Protein lysates from LO or Exo were used to immunoprecipitate MyrAKT1 using an HA antibody and IP product subjected to AKT1 kinase activity assay using GSK3α/β-recombinant protein as a substrate. Both the IP product and the input (straight protein lysates) were then blotted with a p-GSK3α/βSer21/9 antibody, which recognizes the wild-type (wt) protein as well as the recombinant protein, demonstrating the presence of active AKT1 in LO but not in Exo. **B,** NAF exposed to LO or Exo for the indicated times were immunoblotted with p-AKT1Ser473 and AKT1 antibodies. The box plot shows the average of the p-AKT1Ser473 band intensity, normalized over β-actin, from three different experiments. **C,** Luciferase activity of the MYC-regulated promoter in response to LO inhibited by the AKT1 inhibitor AZD5363 (AKT1-i; 1 μmol/L). Bar plot shows the average of three biological replicates (*, P < 0.05). **D,** Immunoblot assay showing that AKT1 inhibition prevents LO-dependent induction of α-SMA. **E,** Tube branching in response to LO is reduced by AKT1-i. Bar plots show the average of three biological replicates (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.02). **F,** Our working model suggests that AKT1, which is activated upon LO treatment, can phosphorylate, thus inactivating it, the MYC inhibitor GSK3α/β. Active MYC induces a reprogramming of NAF characterized by upregulation of α-SMA, LDH, and FGF2, and this process can be inhibited by preventing LO internalization.
treatment of fibroblasts promotes MYC-dependent reprogramming characterized by upregulation of molecules involved in stroma activation, angiogenesis, and metabolism, and prevented by inhibiting LO internalization and/or AKT1 activity. While the effect of EVs on the endothelium has been previously revealed (3, 4), our study shows an alternative mechanism that alters the effect of EVs on the endothelium has been previously revealed.

To our knowledge, this result has not been previously demonstrated for other EVs. This has important implications, considering that EVs harbor a variety of molecules and blocking the uptake of the whole vesicle instead of inhibiting one or two specific molecules might be a more efficient strategy to prevent dissemination of oncogenic signals (49).

In conclusion, this is the first study demonstrating the role of LO in educating the fibroblasts to a tumor-supportive function. We identified a novel AKT1/MYC signaling axis that originates from the tumor and reverberates to the stroma as a specific mediator of LO biological effects. However, the complexity of LO cargo and the resulting molecular effects elicited in target cells suggest that other players contribute to the phenotypic changes elicited by LO. Additional studies will further elucidate the function of LO in the modulation of the tumor microenvironment and identify additional nodes that could be targeted to prevent tumor progression and metastasis.
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