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1. Introduction

Gulf War illness (GWI) is characterized symptomatically in veterans who served in the 1990-1991 Gulf War by a constellation of health symptoms that typically include some combination of persistent headache, widespread pain, fatigue, gastrointestinal problems and alterations in cognitive function. Diagnostic tests and broadly effective treatments have not been identified for GWI. Although there are multiple indications of significant central nervous system differences between GWI cases and controls, there is still no comprehensive understanding of the spectrum of alterations in cerebral neurobiology/neurophysiology and how they result in GWI symptoms. One understudied area of research is the role of the corticostriatal circuit. Multiple studies have demonstrated preliminary indications of neuronal dysfunction in this circuit (1, 2) but these are limited in scope and in the characterization of the symptoms. This project will leverage existing brain imaging data from a well-characterized sample of 1990-91 Gulf War veterans to assess brain structures and processes of high interest for understanding GWI (CDMRP funded, PI: L. Steele), but not previously studied in ill Gulf War veterans. Our aims are to assess the integrity of the substantia nigra, basal ganglia and cortex as markers of integrity of the nigro-striatal dopaminergic pathway using high resolution diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) in 80 veterans with GWI and 50 healthy Gulf War veteran controls and to characterize the etiological and clinical correlates of alterations in brainstem and basal ganglia integrity. If successful, this study will form the foundation for novel approaches to clinical intervention to include specific targeting of the dopaminergic system.

2. Keywords

Gulf war illness; Corticostriatal circuit; Nigro-striatal circuit; Dopamine; Diffusion tensor imaging; Magnetic resonance imaging

3. Accomplishments

As administrative background, the grant described in this progress report supports the secondary analyses of data collected under the CDMRP funded grant “Assessment of diverse biological indicators in Gulf War Illness: Are they replicable? Are they related?” (W81XWH-11-1-0812; PI: Lea Steele). W81XWH-11-1-0812 “Assessment of diverse biological indicators in Gulf War Illness: Are they replicable? Are they related?” will be referred to as the “parent” grant throughout. In the last 12 months, the PI of the parent grant accepted employment at Baylor College of Medicine (BCM) in Houston Texas and has just recently completed the transfer of the parent grant to BCM. The PI of the present award (W81XWH-14-1-0622) has also changed employment and is now also on faculty at BCM and is in the process of completing paperwork to move this award to BCM.

The parent study has not yet begun data collection due to a number of significant delays involving access to DMDC data as well as three changes in the secondary site for imaging data and most recently a change in prime institution. As such, and as has been communicated to program staff, we have not begun this secondary analysis grant. The transfer of the parent grant PI to BCM and resulting transition of the parent grant to BCM has necessitated changes in regulatory applications for the parent and resulting changes in the present grant.

This annual review reflects these delays in the parent grant. Again, no funds on this secondary grant have been used or will be used until all new regulatory has been completed for the parent grant. At present, the parent grant has received institutional approval from BCM for human subjects research and is pending a minor revision at HRPO. For the current project, we have also submitted the protocol to the local IRB and have received approval (11-01-2016). Once CDMRP and USAMRAA notify HRPO of a change in institution we will also submit for HRPO approval.
What were the major goals of the project?

Major goals of the project are identified as the major tasks as described in the approved statement of work. For this contract, there are 7 major goals which fall into the general categories of regulatory, quality assurance of data quality, quality assurance of staff for the required imaging analysis, and development and validation of methods. These are outlined below as well as a statement of degree of completion.

Please note that a new SOW reflecting a change in institution has been requested by the CO. Until that SOW has been approved we have included progress on the original, funded, SOW. The new SOW will be included in the first progress report required after the grant transfer has been completed. Until that time, we have included the steps taken thus far at BCM in preparation for the transfer.

Task 1. Human Subjects Initial Approval and Review (months 1-4):

This task has 4 specific steps. These included a revision to the parent grant IRB at Baylor University to provide the research participants the ability to specifically allow secondary analysis of the imaging data. Following this approval, the secondary site (for the parent) was also revised and approved. HRPO also approved the revisions necessary to the parent grant to allow secondary data analysis. Following these approvals, the IRB for this project was submitted to the prime institution and following approval, submitted to the secondary site. Shortly after these approvals the PI of the parent site indicated a plan to change employment. As such, this Task will now require the parent grant to obtain IRB approval at the new parent institution, and will require revisions to the IRBs for this project.

Action plan, Task 1.
(1) Baylor College of Medicine approval for the parent study (Completed by the Parent grant PI)
(2) Approval of a revised IRB for this specific contract at the primary institution of record (Scott and White Memorial Hospital) (Please note that the IRB has been submitted and approved at BCM for this project)
(3) Approval of this contract IRB at the second study site (Baylor College of Medicine); and finally, (please note that as both the parent PI and the PI for this secondary analysis study are both at BCM, step 3 will no longer be included in a revised SOW)
(4) Submission to HRPO (please note that until CDMRP and USAMRAA notify HRPO of a change in institution, this cannot be completed).

Task 2. Quality assurance protocol and data collection (1-24):
No progress to date.

Task 3. Training of staff on image preprocessing (months 1-5)
No progress to date.

Task 4. Methods development and validation for Substantia Nigra characterization (training data analyst on region of interest placement) (Aim 1)
No progress to date.

Task 5. Methods development and validation for thalamic nuclei assessment (training data analyst on seed voxel placement) (Aim 2)
No progress to date.

Task 6. Methods development and validation for regions to be extracted via normalized masks (putamen, caudate, cortex) (Aim 3)
No progress to date.

Task 7. Data Analysis
No progress to date.
What was accomplished under these goals?
All approvals prior to HRPO were obtained at which point the parent grant PI notified the PI of this grant of a change in employment which will require additional IRB approvals prior to HRPO submission. Institutional IRB at the original awarded institution had been obtained; institutional approval at the new (soon to be awarded institution) has been obtained.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?
Using institutional support, the PI travelled to Boston to meet with a major Gulf War Illness consortium study staff. This meeting had the intention to ensure sufficient overlap in imaging methods to allow leveraging of imaging data to be collected for the consortium. The PI has now also served as chair of 3 GWI-related study sections (DOD, VA) and will chair another DOD panel in December.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
No data has been collected for the parent grant resulting in no data analysis for this project. As such, there are no results to disseminate.

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
1. Submit the IRB to HRPO for approval
2. Begin data collection and quality assurance data on the parent grant
3. Begin data analysis on this award
4. Additionally, the PI will continue to work with program staff to revise timelines and budgets to facilitate progress as soon as the IRBs are in place.

4. Impact
What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
Data analysis has not yet begun. As such, there is nothing to report.

What was the impact on other disciplines?
Data analysis has not yet begun. As such, there is nothing to report.

What was the impact on technology transfer?
Data analysis has not yet begun. As such, there is nothing to report.

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
Data analysis has not yet begun. As such, there is nothing to report.

5. Changes/Problems
Changes in approach and reasons for change
Nothing to report.
Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

This project is approximately 20 months behind schedule. These delays are due to delays in data collection for the parent grant and due to additional human subjects approvals associated with a change in employment for the PI of the parent grant and for the PI of this grant.

As described in Task 1, efforts will be focused on reducing the timeline for new regulatory approvals associated with changes in the parent grant. As soon as these approvals are in place and data analysis on the parent grant is begun we will work with program staff to revise the timeline and budget to speed completion of the goals and tasks associated with this project.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

No funds will be used until data collection for the parent grant has begun and revised timelines, budget period, and all other approvals from program staff are in place.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Nothing to report.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

Nothing to report.

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.

Not applicable as no vertebrate animals are included in the scope of work.

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

Not applicable as no biohazards and no select agents are included in the scope of work.

6. Products

Data analysis of imaging data collected in the parent grant have not begun. As such, there are no products to report.

7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations

What individuals have worked on the project?

No budgeted effort has been used for this project and will not until data collection for the parent grant has begun. As such, there is nothing to report.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

Nothing to report.

What other organizations were involved as partners?

This project was awarded to Scott and White Memorial Hospital as the prime and Baylor University as a subcontract. The Co-I, Lea Steele, at Baylor University has now moved to Baylor College of
Medicine and has transferred the parent grant. The PI of this grant has also moved to Baylor College of Medicine. Until this grant transfers to BCM, the organizations involved still include Scott and White (now, Baylor Scott and White) and BCM.

8. **Special Reporting Requirements**

   Nothing to report.

9. **Appendices**

   No appendices are included.
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