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Preface

This document presents the results of efforts by the Range Commanders Council Telemetry Group under RCC Task TG-147. This document (Volume VI of the RCC Document 118 series) describes procedures used for evaluating XML metadata documents, including TMATS, MDL, IHAL, and DDML documents. These documents contain specifications or descriptions of artifacts and systems of importance to the collection and management of telemetry data. The methods defined in this report provide a means of evaluating the suitability of such a metadata document under the TMATS, MDL, IHAL, or DDML definitions.

The reader of this report should be familiar with the extensible markup language (XML) and its supporting form for describing XML file schema. For a quick overview, refer to the RCC Document 125-15 XML Style Guide.

The RCC gives special acknowledgement for production of this document to the TG Telemetry Data Processing Committee. Please direct any questions to the committee point of contact or to the RCC Secretariat as shown below.

This report should be of interest primarily to users of XML metadata documents, and may be of interest to developers of those documents. The methods described here may be used to evaluate XML metadata documents for use in describing telemetry artifacts and systems. Alternatively, through the use of gold-standard metadata documents, these methods may be used to evaluate evolving conventions, standards, guidelines, and application rules for compatibility with prior capabilities.
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Acronyms

API  application programming interface
DDML  Data Display Markup Language
IHAL  Instrumentation Hardware Abstraction Language
MDL  Metadata Description Language
RCC  Range Commanders Council
TMATS  Telemetry Attributes Transfer Standard
XML  eXtensible Markup Language
XSD  XML schema definition
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1. Introduction

This document defines methods for evaluating Range Commanders Council (RCC) eXtensible Markup Language (XML) files with regard to XML-based metadata standards encoded in the XML schema definition (XSD) format and other standards and conventions. This method should be of interest primarily to parties having tools or applications that consume RCC metadata standard documents, and may be of interest to developers of tools or applications that produce RCC metadata standard documents. Evaluations defined in this method include formal schema definitions, conventional forms of modeling, established style guides, and ancillary application-specific usage rules.

The Telemetry Attributes Transfer Standard (TMATS) provides the common definition of information needed to fully describe data being transmitted from, or recorded on an item under test. A TMATS file serves as the medium of exchange between an information source (usually an instrumentation organization) and a user (usually a test range). For more details on TMATS/XML, see the TMATS Handbook.1

The Instrumentation Hardware Abstraction Language (IHAL) standard specifies an XML-based format for describing instrumentation hardware. The IHAL language is focused on the settings available on devices, referred to as “configurable attributes.” The primary purpose of IHAL is to describe these attributes both in terms their currently configuration and their potential configuration. For more details on IHAL, see the IHAL Handbook.2

The Data Display Markup Language (DDML) standard specifies an XML-based format intended to serve as the “inter-lingua” - the common form of communication - among data displays. The DDML standard encompasses a variety of vendor-specific data display formats, providing a unifying scheme of expression. The DDML standard supports reusable concepts and is extensible to accommodate future objects. For more details on DDML, see the DDML Handbook.3

The Metadata Description Language (MDL) standard provides a common exchange language that facilitates the interchange of configuration information between telemetry system components. The MDL standard provides the means for describing the configuration of the components in a telemetry system, as well as their logical and physical interrelationships. The MDL syntax defines vocabulary and sentence structure, while the MDL semantics provide meaning. For details on MDL, see the RCC Telemetry Network Standard.4

---


The XML standard is widely used for expressing complex data structures in a highly transportable manner. For information about XML, refer to RCC 125-15.5

The remainder of this document defines methods for evaluating RCC documents purporting to conform to an RCC standard (TMATS, MDL, IHAL, or DDML). The intent is that computer programs will be developed to automatically apply these methods. These methods go beyond the formal syntactic definition of those formats to allow evaluation against community conventions, style guides, and application-specific usage rules. The methods have been developed to conform to the RCC-118 family of documents (Test Methods).

2. **Context**

An RCC metadata document describes and defines artifacts and system configurations essential to the collection and management of telemetry data. The RCC has established standards for metadata documents for describing data being transmitted from or recorded on an item under test (TMATS); telemetry network configuration (MDL); instrumentation hardware (IHAL); and data display (DDML). This report describes test methods for the evaluation of RCC XML metadata documents. These documents are dynamic, adaptable, and often application-oriented. Accordingly, the described methods support formal schema definitions, community conventions, style guides, and application rules as sources of authority in evaluating metadata documents.

These methods are intended to be consistent with the needs of three distinct communities of users.

- Telemetry system developers and operators need to know that metadata documents describing the artifacts and systems with which they are working are complete, consistent, and appropriate for use.
- Telemetry artifact and system developers (for example, developers of data acquisition units) need to be able to assure their customers and users that the metadata descriptions accompanying their products are complete, consistent, and appropriate for use.
- Developers of formal schemata, community conventions, style guides, and application rules (for example, the RCC-TG data multiplex committee, formal and informal user groups, etc.) need to assure (or at the very least to understand) the compatibility of emerging and evolving standards with prior standards and metadata documents.

3. **XML Instance Document Syntax Testing**

3.1 **Purpose of Testing Syntax**

This test determines that an XML instance document is syntactically correct; that is, that the document conforms to an XSD that represents the standard. Failure to pass this test will mean that consumers of the XML document will likely not be able to process it.

---

3.2 Test Environment for Syntax

Figure 1 illustrates the environment for testing syntax.

![Figure 1](image)

Fig. 1. XML Instance Document Syntax Test Environment

The environment includes the following.

- The XSD for the standard;\(^6\)
- The XML instance document;
- A suitable programming environment (C, C++, Java, etc.);
- An XML parsing library that conforms to the Simple API for XML\(^7\) or the Document Object Model\(^8\) for the selected programming environment. Some popular implementations are the Apache Xerces™ project\(^9\), the Java Architecture for XML Binding\(^10\), and xmllint\(^11\).

3.3 Method for Testing Syntax

The test method is as follows.

1. Initialize the programming environment.
2. Write test application code to use the XML parsing library according to the instructions or the application programming interface (API) of the library. This application uses the XML parsing library to validate that an XML instance document conforms to the XSD. The output is a test report that describes the errors or warnings returned by the XML parsing library validation.
3. Compile and build the test application.

---

\(^6\) In this test and the tests that follow, it is assumed that the XSD is an accurate representation of the standard and is syntactically valid. Testing whether or not the XSD accurately represents the standard is outside the scope of this document.


\(^8\) [https://www.w3.org/DOM/](https://www.w3.org/DOM/), last accessed August 3, 2017.


4. Run the test application to load the XML instance document and the XSD encoding of the standard into the XML parsing library per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
5. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.

4. **Style Guide Testing**

4.1 **Purpose of Testing Compliance to Style Guide**

This test determines that an XSD or XML instance document complies with the RCC XML Style Guide. Failure to pass this test will mean that the documents being tested may be difficult for designers and developers to read and interpret; such documents will be more difficult and more expensive to maintain. This test applies to XML instance documents or XSDs.

4.2 **Environment for Testing Compliance**

Figure 2 illustrates the environment for testing an XML instance document’s compliance with the style guide.

![Style Guide Test Environment Diagram](image)

Figure 2. Style Guide Test Environment

The environment for this test includes the following.

- The XSD for the standard or the XML instance document to test.
- A suitable programming environment (C, C++, Java, etc.).
- A rule engine for executing the style guide rules that is compatible with the programming environment. Preferably, this will be an open-source rule engine or a rule engine that can be obtained by the government and other stakeholders at no cost.
- An encoding of the style guide rules that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine.
  - At the time of this writing, a recommended encoding of these rules does not exist.
  - Encodings shall be selected that can be interpreted by the rule engine – custom or proprietary encodings are strongly discouraged.
  - In the future, the relevant communities will recommend a rule encoding and rules engine for this test.
4.3 **Method for Testing Compliance**

The test method is as follows.

1. Initialize the programming environment.
2. Write test application code to use the rule engine according to the instructions or API of the engine. This application loads the XML instance document or XSD and the style guide rule encodings into the rule engine’s internal objects and then invokes the appropriate rule engine API to validate the XML instance document or XSD against the encoded style guide rules. The output is a test report that describes the errors or warnings returned by the rule engine validation.
3. Compile and build the test application.
4. Run the test application to load the XML instance document or XSD and the encodings of the style guide rules into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
5. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document or XSD against the encodings of the style guide rules.
6. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.

5. **Community Conventions Testing**

5.1 **Purpose of Testing against Community Conventions**

This test determines that an XML instance document complies with conventions established by a community of interest. A community can be a standards committee, range, test program, etc. Community conventions can be naming schemes for measurements, property lengths, non-syntactic rules documented in the standard, etc. Failure to pass this test will mean that the documents being tested may be difficult for users to understand, may consume excessive memory, may violate rules and constraints established by the community, and may not be understood by software or in applications sponsored by that community. Such documents will fall short of achieving the intended use. This test applies to XML instance documents.

An example of community conventions is contained in the TMATS document. Property lengths - for example, the data source ID parameter - are limited to no more than 32 characters. There are also non-syntactic rules, for example, that the property *tape width* is allowed when the *media type* is *analog* or *cassette*.

5.2 **Environment for Testing against Conventions**

*Figure 3* illustrates the environment for testing an instance document against community conventions.

---

The environment for this test includes the following.

- The XML instance document to test.
- A suitable programming environment (C, C++, Java, etc.).
- A rule engine for executing the community conventions that is compatible with the programming environment. Preferably, this will be an open-source rule engine or a rule engine that can be obtained by the government and other stakeholders at no cost.
- An encoding of the community conventions that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine.
  - At the time of this writing, a recommended encoding of these rules does not exist.
  - Encodings shall be selected that can be interpreted by the rule engine – custom or proprietary encodings are strongly discouraged.
  - In the future, the relevant communities will recommend a rule encoding and rules engine for this test.

5.3 **Method for Testing against Conventions**

The test method is as follows.

1. Initialize the programming environment.
2. Write test application code to use the rule engine according to the instructions or API of the engine. This application loads the XML instance document and the community convention rule encodings into the rule engine’s internal objects and then invokes the appropriate rule engine API to validate the XML instance document against the encoded community convention rules. The output is a test report that describes the errors or warnings returned by the rule engine validation.
3. Compile and build the test application.
4. Run the test application to load the XML instance document and the encodings of the community conventions into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
5. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document against the encodings of the community conventions.
6. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.
6. Local Application Testing

6.1 Purpose of Testing against Local Requirements

This test determines that an XML instance document satisfies requirements for a local application. A local application is, for example, a specific range event or series of range events. Requirements within the context of a specific event may include hardware compatibility constraints, physical constraints, business rules, configuration rules, etc. Failure to pass this test will mean that the document is not compatible with the expectations of the event and should not be used to support the event. This test applies to XML instance documents.

6.2 Environment for Testing against Local Requirements

Figure 4 illustrates the environment for testing an instance document against requirements specific to local applications.

![Figure 4. Local Application Test Environment](image)

The environment for this test includes the following.

- The XML instance document to test.
- A suitable programming environment (C, C++, Java, etc.).
- A rule engine for executing the requirements that is compatible with the programming environment. Preferably, this will be an open-source rule engine or a rule engine that can be obtained by the government and other stakeholders at no cost.
- An encoding of the requirements that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine.
  - At the time of this writing, a recommended encoding of these rules does not exist.
  - Encodings shall be selected that can be interpreted by the rule engine – custom or proprietary encodings are strongly discouraged.
  - In the future, the relevant communities will recommend a rule encoding and rules engine for this test.

6.3 Method for Testing against Local Requirements

The test method is as follows.

1. Initialize the programming environment.
2. Write test application code to use the rule engine according to the instructions or API of
the engine. This application loads the XML instance document and the requirements rule
encodings into the rule engine’s internal objects and then invokes the appropriate rule
engine API to validate the XML instance document against the encoded requirements
rules. The output is a test report that describes the errors or warnings returned by the rule
engine validation.
3. Compile and build the test application.
4. Run the test application to load the XML instance document and the encodings of the
requirements into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant
configuration parameters).
5. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document against the encodings of
the requirements.
6. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.

7. Regression Testing

7.1 Purpose of Regression Testing

This test determines that an XSD, style guide encoding, community conventions
encoding, or requirements encoding does not introduce regression errors into the application of a
standard to a specific test or suite of tests. Regression in this context means the corpus of pre-
existing rules or expectations; regression testing evaluates new rules or definitions to see if they
preserve prior expectations. This test also determines that an XSD, style guide encoding,
community conventions encoding, or requirements encoding is compatible with all the
programming environments, XML parsing libraries, and rule engines that the community has
determined should be supported. Failure to pass this test will mean that changes to the
environment have introduced errors that may be backward-incompatible with prior instances of
the XSD, community conventions encoding, or requirements encoding. This test applies to XSDs
or XML instance documents.

7.2 Environment for Performing Regression Tests

Figure 5 illustrates the environment for performing regression tests.
The environment for this test includes the following.

- The XSD or XML instance document to test.
- All programming environments (C, C++, Java, etc.) that the community has determined need to be supported.
- All XML parsing libraries supported by the community that conform to the Simple API for XML or the Document Object Model that is compatible with the programming environment.
- A set of gold-standard encodings:\(^{13}\)
  - style guide rules that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine;
  - community conventions that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine;
  - requirements that can be read and executed by the selected rule engine in the previous bullet;
  - XML instance documents.
- Rule engine(s)\(^{14}\) for:
  - executing the style guide rules that are compatible with the programming environment;
  - executing the community conventions that are compatible with the programming environment;

\(^{13}\) By gold standard we mean rules that exercise functionality of the community; ideally with full coverage.

\(^{14}\) The rule engine may or may not be the same for all types of validation.
executing the requirements that are compatible with the programming environment.

7.3 Method for Performing Regression Tests

The test method is as follows for each compatible combination of programming environment, XML parsing library, style guide rule engine, community conventions rule engine, and requirements rule engine. This test method uses the gold-standard XML instance document and encodings to verify that the rules engines and other tools work together.

1. Initialize the programming environment.
2. Write test application code to use the rule engine(s) and XML parsing library according to the instructions or API of the engine. This test application includes the functionality of the test applications described in sections 3.3, 4.3, 5.3, and 6.3.
3. Compile and build the test application.
4. Run the test application to load each XML instance document and the XSD encoding of the standard into the XML parsing library per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
5. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.
6. Run the test application to load each XML instance document and XSD and the encodings of the style guide rules into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
7. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document and XSD against the encodings of the style guide rules.
8. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.
9. Run the test application to load the XML instance documents and the encodings of the community conventions into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
10. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document against the encodings of the community conventions.
11. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.
12. Run the test application to load the XML instance documents and the encodings of the requirements into the rule engine per the instructions of the library (including relevant configuration parameters).
13. Run the test application to evaluate the XML instance document against the encodings of the requirements.
14. Observe the output of the test application to identify errors and warnings.
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