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Methods: We completed a retrospective review of MEDVAC patient care records for United States military personnel that sustained traumatic amputations and NCTI during Operation Enduring Freedom between January 2011 and March 2014. We grouped patients as traumatic amputation and NCTI (Non-AMP/NCTI), traumatic amputation only (AMP), and neither AMP nor NCTI (Non-AMP/NCTI). Analysis was performed using chi-squared, Fisher’s exact tests, Cochran-Armitage Trend test, Shapiro-Wilk test, Wilcoxon and Kruskal-Wallis techniques and Cox proportional hazards regression modeling.

Results: We reviewed 1267 records, of which 669 had an ISS of 10 or greater and were included in the analysis. Fourteen percent sustained only amputation injuries (n=104, AMP only), 11% amputation and NCTI (n=72, AMP+NCTI), and 74% did not sustain either an amputation or NCTI (n=493, Non-AMP/NCTI). AMP+NCTI had the highest mortality (17%) with transport time greater than 60 minutes. While, the AMP+NCTI group had decreasing survival with longer transport times, AMP and Non-AMP/NCTI did not exhibit the same trend.

Conclusions: A decreased transport time from point of injury to medical treatment facility was associated with decreased mortality in patients who suffered a combination of amputation injury and NCTI. No significant association between transport time and outcomes was found in patients who did not sustain NCTI. Priority for rapid evacuation of combat casualties should be given to those with NCTI.
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common cause of potentially survivable death in both military and civilian trauma. The mortality of combat NCTI is over 85% and almost 90% of deaths occur before arrival to a MTF. Prehospital management of non-compressible torso injury (NCTI) therefore, presents the greatest opportunity to improve survival from combat trauma. Understanding the effect of prehospital transport time may assist in improving the management of this highly lethal injury pattern.

While previous research has demonstrated a direct relationship between transport time and combat mortality, the specific wartime injuries for which decreased evacuation time confers a benefit is not yet known. The primary objective in this study was to determine the impact of the duration of time from the initial request for medical evacuation to arrival at a MTF on morbidity and mortality through thirty days after injury, in those casualties with traumatic extremity amputation and non-compressible torso injury.

Methods

We obtained approval from the Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB) and conducted this study under the approved protocol. We completed a retrospective review of MEDEVAC patient care records (PCRs) for United States (US) military personnel that sustained traumatic amputations and NCTI in the Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) Theater of Operations between January 2011 and March 2014. We excluded PCRs of casualties that were documented to be non-survivors at the POI or were transported to an MTF solely to be pronounced dead.

To identify the patient population of interest we queried the Department of Defense Trauma Registry (DoDTR) with specified ICD-9/10 codes and Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS) codes. The retrieved list of patients was matched with our study electronic database containing data from abstracted PCRs. Patient data from the POI to the first MTF was abstracted from PCRs by trained research team members and entered into an electronic database (Microsoft Excel 2010, Redmond, WA). Data points included demographics, injury description, provider type, procedures, medications administered, clinical events, analyses administered, and in-hospital survival. Transport time was estimated by using the time stamp of the 9-Line call (request for medical evacuation) to time of arrival at the first MTF. Clinical events were identified from provider narrative and descriptions of events documented in the PCR. Missing or unavailable data were reconciled using the Theater Medical Data System (TMDS). We implemented a quality assurance (QA) process to ensure consistency among abstractors, to include secondary abstraction review of 100% of records.

In our study database, we included injury severity score (ISS) and maximum AIS for each of the six body regions provided by DoDTR. The dataset also included supplemental outcome data to include vital signs, complications, ventilator days, intensive care unit (ICU) days, hospital days, mortality, and disposition at discharge from each MTF and up to 30 days. For statistical analysis, we grouped patients as traumatic amputation and NCTI (AMP+NCTI), traumatic amputation only (AMP), and neither AMP nor NCTI (Non-AMP/NCTI). No patients in our study had NCTI without AMP. We also binned patients using transport time intervals: <30 minutes, 30-60 minutes, and >60 minutes. We evaluated categorical data using chi-squared and non-parametric tests were limited due to low mortality; and thus, we performed Cox proportional hazards regression modeling for time to discharge from ICU and hospital days. Analyses were conducted using JMP version 13 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

We reviewed 1267 PCRs, of which 669 had an ISS of 10 or greater and were included in the analysis. Fourteen percent sustained only amputation injuries (n=104, AMP only), 11% amputation and non-compressible torso injuries (n=72, AMP+NCTI), and 74% did not sustain either an amputation or non-compressible torso injury (n=493, Non-AMP/NCTI). Most injured patients were male (99%) with median age of 24 years old, and those proportions were not different among the groups (Table 1). With a median transport time of 26 minutes, there was no significant difference in elapsed time from POI to MTF among the study groups (p=0.7795).

The predominant mechanism of injury was explosion (72%) followed by penetrating injuries (20%). AMP+NCTI patients were more severely injured (median ISS of 33), followed by AMP and Non-AMP/NCTI.

Evaluating study injury groups by transport time, median ISS was higher in AMP+NCTI at each time interval (Table 2). Non-AMP/NCTI group was least likely to have received tourniquets, blood products, IV fluids, or an airway procedure during prehospital transport. Likewise, Non-AMP/NCTI group had the least number of prehospital procedures performed (Table 3). When comparing by transport time groups, blood product administration was more likely in 30-60 minute (10%) group compared to <30 minutes (5%) or >60 minutes (4%), p=0.0539. We did not note any other incidence rate differences in prehospital procedures performed between the study transport time groups. AMP+NCTI had more days spent in the ICU and in the hospital (Figure 1). AMP+NCTI had the highest mortality (17%) with transport time greater than 60 minutes. While, the AMP+NCTI group had decreasing survival with longer transport times, AMP and Non-AMP/NCTI did not exhibit the same trend (Figure 2).

In proportional hazard models, the AMP and Non-AMP/NCTI groups combined were more likely to discharge from ICU faster (Risk Ratio 2.29, 1.79-2.95) compared to the AMP+NCTI group (p=0.0011). We had similar findings in models of time to hospital discharge. AMP and Non-AMP/NCTI combined were more likely to discharge from the hospital faster (Risk Ratio 2.5, 1.94-3.26) than the AMP+NCTI group (p<0.0011).

Adjusting for injury group and ISS, patients with transport time interval >60 min were more likely to discharge from the ICU faster (Risk Ratio 1.43, 1.03-1.99) compared to <30 min transports (p=0.0239). Additionally, while neither tourniquet alone nor blood alone decreased risks, patients that had any combination of tourniquet and blood product administration prehospital were more likely to discharge from ICU faster (Risk Ratio 2.71, 1.03-7.1; p=0.0425).

In time to hospital discharge models, after adjusting for injury group and ISS, patients with transport time interval 30-60 and >60 minutes were more likely to discharge from the hospital faster (Risk Ratio 1.34, 1.12-1.61; p=0.0016 and 1.62, 1.16-2.23; respectively) compared to <30 min transports (p=0.0053). Prehospital procedures did not reduce the risk of a longer hospital stay.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate a statistically significant association between shorter transport times and AMP+NCTI survival; however, transport time was not associated with outcomes in those patients with isolated extremity amputation. Our results may guide future evacuation prioritization based on those who stand to gain the greatest benefit from expeditions evacuation or from far forward prehospital interventions when rapid evacuation is not feasible.
Our study has several limitations. Most of our patients were evacuated within 1 hour. While shorter evacuation time was not associated with decrease mortality in the AMP group, these results cannot be generalised to prolonged transport times (>2 hours). Lengthy transport times may still impact patients without NCTI in resource limited areas of operation such as the Pacific or Africa. Most of our patients suffered blunt injuries and our results may not be generalisable to those suffering from gun-shot wounds, aircraft crashes, and other forms of combat trauma. However, given the effectiveness and the ease of use of explosives, this is likely to remain a common source of combat casualties.

Furthermore, studies evaluating transport time are observational and not randomised; therefore, the potential for selection bias exists. Particularly, patients with more severe injury may be evacuated more rapidly as fellow combatants and medical personnel act with greater urgency in caring for this subgroup. Thus, those with the greatest injury and highest risk of death may be transported more quickly than those with less severe injury (a basic premise of triage). However, there was no statistical difference in ISS between the transport times, making this bias unlikely. Lastly, this study is reflective of combat-injured military members and may have limited generalisability in the civilian trauma populations.

Future research should evaluate the impact of rapid access to blood products, forward deployment of advanced medical providers and surgical capabilities, utilization of advanced en route care capabilities, and prehospital medical devices on the treatment of NCTI. The impact of transport time should also be evaluated in circumstances where these resources are available, as they may change the significance of evacuation time. As the military engages in operations resulting in significantly extended evacuation times of hours to days (i.e. Africa and the Pacific Ocean), military researchers and leaders will need to determine the effects of prolonged transport time on patient outcomes. Finally, studies evaluating the potential use of unmanned aerial vehicles or other tools to ensure rapid evacuation of combat casualties in resource limited environment should be conducted.

Given that our study found short evacuation times appear to confer the greatest benefit in those patients suffering from NCTI + AMP and other studies have found NCTI to be a leading cause of preventable combat mortality, \(a \leq b \leq c\) when feasible, evacuation times of NCTI should remain under 30 minutes. In these circumstances where transport of NCTI patients from the point of injury to a Role 2/3 facility is not possible; rapid access to blood products, forward deployed advanced medical providers and advanced en route care capabilities, and/or prehospital medical devices and/or procedures for the control of NCTI may decrease mortality.\(^{12,13}\)

**Conclusion**

A decreased transport time from the point of injury to the medical treatment facility was associated with decreased mortality in those patients who suffered a combination of an amputation injury and non-compressible torso injury. No significant association between transport time and outcomes was found in patients who did not sustain a non-compressible torso injury. Priority for rapid evacuation of combat casualties should be given to those with non-compressible torso injury.
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Table 1. Descriptive summary of study population: US casualties transported from point-of-injury to MTF via MEDEVAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>AMP-NCTI</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>Non-AMP-NCTI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Injury to MTF</strong></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blunt</strong></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Penetrating</strong></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. ISS by injury type and transport time groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport Time</th>
<th>AMP+NCTI</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>Non-AMP/NCTI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 min</td>
<td>33 [24.41], 18 [14.41], 17 [12.38]</td>
<td>(27)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60 min</td>
<td>33 [24.43], 19 [14.27], 17 [11.22]</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td>(312)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>29 [28.37], 21 [17.27], 14 [11.22]</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP+NCTI, traumatic amputation and non-compressible torso injury; AMP, traumatic amputation only; Non-AMP/NCTI, neither traumatic amputation nor non-compressible torso injury; IQR, interquartile range.

Table 3. Prehospital interventions performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport Time</th>
<th>AMP+NCTI</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>Non-AMP/NCTI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tourniquets</td>
<td>51 [47.55], 100 [93.10], 91 [84.95]</td>
<td>(3426/669)</td>
<td>(72/77)</td>
<td>(95/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>54 [50.38], 62 [54.72], 72 [63.80]</td>
<td>(360/669)</td>
<td>(47/77)</td>
<td>(75/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluids</td>
<td>54 [50.38], 65 [54.72], 72 [63.80]</td>
<td>(360/669)</td>
<td>(47/77)</td>
<td>(75/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>6, 6-10, 17 [10.27]</td>
<td>(536/669)</td>
<td>(27/77)</td>
<td>(27/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest Needle</td>
<td>2, 3-6, 3, 1-10</td>
<td>(278/669)</td>
<td>(27/77)</td>
<td>(12/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Airway</td>
<td>58, 55.62, 76, 62.46</td>
<td>(390/669)</td>
<td>(36/72)</td>
<td>(75/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest Seal</td>
<td>5, 4-7, 109, 95-100</td>
<td>(256/669)</td>
<td>(27/77)</td>
<td>(27/104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Interventions</td>
<td>2 [1-4], 4 [1-4]</td>
<td>(1-4)</td>
<td>(2-4)</td>
<td>(1-2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Hospital stay outcomes following prehospital transport of study groups

- Median ICU Days:
  - AMP+NCTI: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.1184
  - AMP: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.3497
  - None: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.0677

- Median Hospital Days:
  - AMP+NCTI: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.2412
  - AMP: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.3704
  - None: <30 min vs 30-60 min vs >60 min, p=0.0036

Figure 2. Study group percent survival by transport time

- AMP+NCTI, traumatic amputation and non-compressible torso injury; AMP, traumatic amputation only; Non-AMP/NCTI, neither traumatic amputation nor non-compressible torso injury.
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