This project studied individual search behavior and coordination of large groups of individual agents, and how consensus is achieved in decision-making. Animal groups frequently display highly coordinated movements, and provide an excellent vehicle by which to understand general principles that underlie collective behavior. We studied collective movement in animal populations, and the relationship between individual decision rules and emergent collective patterns, especially when different individuals have conflicting information. We expanded classical search models, extending multi-armed bandit and other Bayesian approaches to information gathering.
This project studied individual search behavior and coordination of large groups of individual agents, and how consensus is achieved in decision-making. Animal groups frequently display highly coordinated movements, and provide an excellent vehicle by which to understand general principles that underlie collective behavior. We studied collective movement in animal populations, and the relationship between individual decision rules and emergent collective patterns, especially when different individuals have conflicting information. We expanded classical search models, extending multi-armed bandit and other Bayesian approaches to information gathering. We investigated the role of communication topology in generic models of group motion and decision-making, with particular reference to optimizing performance metrics such as speed, accuracy and robustness of decisions to intrinsic or extrinsic sources of error. Central was the degree to which collective optimization can be approximated in situations where individuals operate for individual benefit rather than group success. We employ a comprehensive approach using mathematical models of collective foraging (self-propelled interacting particles) within an evolutionary framework, and tools from dynamical systems theory, statistical mechanics, adaptive dynamics and state-of-the-art computational hardware and algorithms. We used large-scale individual-based simulation and mathematical analysis under biologically realistic assumptions, yet the results can help us elucidate fundamental biological principles that can be relevant to a wide range of scales and species from social bacteria to large mammals.
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### Inventions (DD882)
Research Accomplishments: Overview

This is the final report for this project, which has produced more than 50 publications on how consensus is achieved in large groups of independent agents, across taxonomic groups, from bacteria to humans. Individual reports for the various years are attached as appendices. We have studied, theoretically and empirically, the high degree of coordinated movements in many animal populations, and derived general principles underlying collective behavior. We have focused on scaling from individual interactions to collective behavior, and back, studying the role of communication topologies, and conflicts between individual actions and collective optimization. We feel that there is much to learn from animal groups more generally in how groups can coordinate decision-making.

In this project, we studied group decision-making in the face of conflicting information, and in particular the mechanisms by which collective behaviors emerge in nature. Of central interest is the degree to which collective optimization can be approximated in situations where individuals operate for individual benefit rather than group success. Mathematical and computational models are central to our investigations. In the early phases of this work, we studied how a group may be susceptible to manipulation by a strongly opinionated, or extremist, minority. Later work has explored this in greater detail, and the latest report below explores this in depth.

We also examined the dynamics of decision-making among finite alternatives in large networked groups using the replicator-mutator equations from evolutionary dynamics. Details may be found in the individual annual reports.

In the next phase, we studied information transfer and processing within groups, and the size and nature of the network from which individuals derive information. Again, this involved integration of empirical and theoretical studies on a number of groups. We also focused on the speed-accuracy tradeoff in gathering information, and on how individuals effectively mine environments for information. Thus we explored the integration of individual and social information, how this emerges in animal groups, and how it can inform optimal strategies of individual and collective search.

In the final phase, reported in the pages that follow, these various perspectives are integrated into coherent overall descriptions and theories.

Research Accomplishments: Final Period

1. Collective Foraging in Deep-Diving Whales (Matthieu Barbier)

Barbier developed a model of whale collective foraging: Agent-Based simulations and analytical tools have been used to study the importance of sonar directionality and organized motion in maximizing the detection and harvesting of prey in a fast-changing environment. An article in collaboration with postdoctoral researcher Frants Jensen, connecting this work to his empirical work on pilot whales and to the problem of the evolution of sonar directionality in various species is in progress.

2. The Evolution of Distributed Sensing and Collective Computation in Animal Populations (George Hagstrom, Andrew Hein, Sarah Rosenthal, Andrew Berdahl, Colin Torney, and Iain Couzin)

The mechanism by which social interactions among organisms result in coordinated and dynamic collective motion has been subject to extensive exploration in recent years. While collective motion occurs at a macroscopic level, it is driven by real-time microscopic level movement decisions made by individuals. These individual movement rules can lead to rapid transitions in group properties, reminiscent of phase transitions in physical systems. Importantly, the relationship between such pattern formation and the evolutionary drivers of collective behavior are poorly understood. It is unclear what decision rules lead to efficient collective behavior, and precisely how such rules could evolve through natural selection on individual behavior. We have investigated these phenomena with a simple model of social decision-making, based on experimental studies of schooling fish, in which agents search their environment for a resource using a combination of social and environmental information. We developed high performance numerical simulations and theoretical calculations to facilitate both the discovery of the parameters of the model that yield optimal group performance, as well as evolutionary simulations to study the rules that emerge when each agent is rewarded based on its individual performance.

The numerical study revealed that evolved populations have the emergent ability to sense and climb dynamic resource gradients. This occurs even though individuals have no direct knowledge of the number, location, or size of the resource patches. The agents are able to achieve this when the parameters of the model permit the existence of two distinct stable states, a dense ‘liquid’ state and a dilute ‘gas’ state, and when the population can undergo a transition between states near the boundary of a resource path. Density differences between the two states allow agents to determine the location of resource patches from afar, making no use of gradient information. This study is the first we are aware of that shows that natural selection acting on selfish individuals can lead to groups with effective collective computation capabilities.

To support our numerical simulations, we developed a continuum description of the movements of the agents. We adapted
algorithm to include a sliding window where only observations in this recent time-window are used to estimate mean rewards. We assume that the order of the number of possible changes within time T is known. The algorithm we propose adapts the UCL mean rewards from arms are not stationary, and may abruptly change to an unknown value at some unknown time. We proved that our upper credible limit (UCL) algorithm achieves logarithmic cumulative expected regret, which is optimal performance for uninformative priors, and we showed how good priors and good assumptions maximizing accumulated reward. We have been working on a second manuscript. A manuscript resulting from this study to Physical Review Letters. We are continuing to develop this theoretical framework and working on a second manuscript.

3. Eulerian Descriptions of Topologically Interacting Agents (George Hagstrom, Simon A. Levin, and Glenn Flierl)

One of the fundamental problems in ecological systems is to understand how interactions on small scales lead to macroscopic dynamics on the large scales. Large scale patterns that result from the collective behavior of biological organisms support consumers and predators in both terrestrial and marine food webs, as well as enabling interspecies interactions such as reproduction. Eulerian models describe aggregations of animals in terms of local variables such as population densities and velocities, and these models have been used to understand the results of collective interactions of all types of physical objects, from molecules to animals. Early efforts to model collective behavior were based on metric (distance) based interaction rules that were more inspired by ease of use than biological relevance. Indeed, in the past few years scientists have realized that the actual interaction laws between agents cannot usually be described using metric interactions, and it has been hypothesized that other rules are more important.

We have been working to understand the implications of a topological interaction law (one in which a biological agents interacts with nearest neighbors) on collective behavior. Topological interactions have been suggested to be more realistic than metric ones, and there is experimental evidence that they describe starling flocks and other important model organisms. It has also been suggested that the topological interaction law is important for the robustness of collective behavior and that it facilitates easy collective decision-making. We have derived Eulerian models that arise from an individual based model with a topological interaction law, including a kinetic description and hierarchy of fluid equations. We have focused on analyzing advection-diffusion equations resulting from closures of hierarchies of equations. These efforts have shown a number of different things: some common features of metric models, such as collapse in non H-stable regimes, do not occur in topological models, and the effective interaction radius is dependent on the local density. We have studied both local and global properties of equilibria of these advection-diffusion equations, deriving criteria for both linear stability/instability and conditions for global attractiveness of the homogeneous equilibrium state. This work has been coupled with numerical studies of the corresponding individual based models, both to verify the accuracy of the closure scheme and to investigate important high-level properties, such as group size distributions and group transition graphs.

4. Physical Limits on Bacterial Navigation in Dynamic Environments (Andrew Hein, Simon Levin)

Andrew Hein led a project in which we (Hein, Douglas R. Brumley, Francesco Carrara, Roman Stocker, and Simon A. Levin) developed mathematical tools to model bacterial navigation in complex environments. Many biological and artificial sensory systems have limited accuracy; the physics of environmental cues create noise that result in a lower bound on the minimum signal a sensory system can resolve. We showed that there is a lower bound on the chemical signals that bacterial cells can measure, and that this lower bound can be used to make predictions about how bacteria navigate noisy, dynamic environments. The mathematical tools we have developed are general and apply to both biological and artificial systems. We have submitted a manuscript resulting from this study to Physical Review Letters. We are continuing to develop this theoretical framework and working on a second manuscript.

5. Decision-making Under Uncertainty (Naomi Leonard)

In Srivastava, Reverdy, and Leonard (Proc. Conf. Decision and Control, December 2014), we have extended our work on modeling and analysis of individual decision-making under uncertainty in foraging to environments that are abruptly changing due to the arrival of unknown spatial events. In our earlier work (Reverdy et al., Proc. IEEE, 2014), we presented a formal model of Bayesian inference and decision-making in explore-exploit tasks using the context of multi-armed bandit (MAB) problems, where the decision-maker must choose among multiple options with uncertain (Gaussian) rewards with the goal of maximizing accumulated reward. We proved that our upper credible limit (UCL) algorithm achieves logarithmic cumulative expected regret, which is optimal performance for uninformative priors, and we showed how good priors and good assumptions on the correlation structure among arms can greatly enhance decision-making performance, even over short time horizons.

In the new work, we studied the exploration-exploitation tradeoff in MAB problems with change points. In such problems, the mean rewards from arms are not stationary, and may abruptly change to an unknown value at some unknown time. We assume that the order of the number of possible changes within time T is known. The algorithm we propose adapts the UCL algorithm to include a sliding window where only observations in this recent time-window are used to estimate mean rewards.
and select arms. The width of the time-window can be chosen to achieve provably efficient performance. We also extend the algorithm to restrict the number of transitions among arms by incorporating a block allocation strategy.

In Reverdy and Leonard (Proc. Conf. Decision and Control, December 2014), we have proposed a satisficing objective for the MAB problem, where the objective is to achieve performance above a given threshold. This is a relaxation of the maximizing objective and allows for less risky decision-making in the face of uncertainty. This is evident in the algorithm we derive. We find a bound performance for this algorithm.

6. Coordination and Communication (Couzin)

Coordination among social animals requires rapid and efficient transfer of information among individuals, which may depend crucially on the underlying structure of the communication network. Establishing the decision-making circuits and networks that give rise to individual behavior has been a central goal of neuroscience. However, the analogous problem of determining the structure of the communication network among organisms that gives rise to coordinated collective behavior, such as is exhibited by schooling fish and flocking birds, has remained almost entirely neglected. We studied collective evasion maneuvers, manifested through rapid waves, or cascades, of behavioral change (a ubiquitous behavior among taxa) in schooling fish (Notemigonus crysoleucas). We automatically tracked the positions and body postures, calculated visual fields of all individuals in schools of \( \sim 150 \) fish, and employed statistical and machine-learning methodologies to establish how these organisms map complex, high-dimensional, sensory input to a relatively low-dimensional behavioral output (escape response) during collective evasion. We found that individuals use simple, robust measures to assess behavioral changes in neighbors, and that the resulting networks by which behavior propagates throughout groups are complex, being weighted, directed, and heterogeneous. By studying these interaction networks, we revealed the (complex, fractional) nature of social contagion and established that, in contrast to the conventional view of spreading processes that individuals with relatively few, but strongly connected, neighbors are both most socially influential and most susceptible to social influence. Furthermore, we demonstrated that we can predict complex cascades of behavioral change at their moment of initiation, before they actually occur. Consequently, despite the intrinsic stochasticity of individual behavior, establishing the hidden communication networks in large self-organized groups facilitates a quantitative understanding of behavioral contagion (Rosenthal et al., 2015).

Again considering the network of interactions among organisms we analyzed high temporal and spatial resolution global positioning system (GPS) data of a study of collective motion in baboons (Strandburg-Peshkin et al., 2015). In this study, conducted under natural field conditions in Kenya, we obtained the positions of almost all adults in a wild troop, every second, for approximately three weeks. Baboons are highly social organisms and maintained a high degree of cohesion, thus making movement decisions collectively throughout this period (such as when, and where to move). In contrast to the fish schools studied in Rosenthal et al., 2015, baboons are famous for living in complex, socially-stratified societies with a prominent, linear dominance hierarchy. Determining how collective decision-making operates in species where long-term social bonds and dominance hierarchies introduce asymmetries in individual influence remains a core challenge for understanding the evolution of social complexity, and could inform engineered systems. Because troops are heterogeneous and members differ significantly in both their needs and capabilities, conflicts of interest over movement decisions are inevitable.

We developed an automated procedure for extracting movement ‘initiation attempts’ based on the relative movements of pairs of individuals. Using this procedure, we extracted sequences of movements in which one individual moved away from another, and was either followed (defined as a “pull”) or was not followed and subsequently returned (defined as an “anchor”). Multiple individuals can attempt to initiate movement at the same time, and furthermore they can do so in different directions. Firstly we found that neither sex nor dominance status played a role in whether individuals were followed, and thus that collective movement decisions in baboons result from a shared, democratic process. Secondly, theoretical studies of collective decision-making predict that when two subsets of individuals have conflicting directional preferences, two movement regimes will emerge: If the difference between preferred directions (angle of disagreement) is relatively small, individuals in groups are expected to “compromise” by moving in the average of these proposed directions. However, above a critical angle, individuals “choose” adopting one travel direction or the other (Couzin et al., 2005; see Figure 1, A). Consistent with this theory, baboon followers clearly exhibited these two regimes. In the simplest case of two concurrent initiators, the fine-scale movements of baboons demonstrated that followers compromised (exhibit directional averaging) when the angle of disagreement was small, but when angles exceeded approximately 90 degrees, followers consistently chose one direction or the other (Figure 1 B).

When initiators propose strongly conflicting directions, how do followers choose which direction to move in? As predicted by Couzin et al., 2005, we found that when choosing between competing groups of initiators, baboons employ a simple majority rule; they are more likely to follow the subgroup containing the greatest number of initiators. As the asymmetry in initiator group size increases, individuals become more and more likely to follow the majority (theoretical predictions shown in Figure 1C, and experimental data from wild baboons in Figure 1D).

Please see .PDF copy of paper provided as attachment.
Figure 1. Comparison of theoretical predictions (A and C) and experimental data (B and D) for the direction taken by individuals as a function of the angular difference between potential leaders for conditions when there are an equal number in each opposing subset (A and B) and when the lower subset (set to 0 degrees) is in the majority (C and D). Modified from Couzin et al., 2005 (A and C) and Strandburg-Peshkin et al., 2015 (B and D).
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Research Accomplishments: Overview

This is the final report for this project, which has produced more than 50 publications on how consensus is achieved in large groups of independent agents, across taxonomic groups, from bacteria to humans. Individual reports for the various years are attached as appendices. We have studied, theoretically and empirically, the high degree of coordinated movements in many animal populations, and derived general principles underlying collective behavior. We have focused on scaling from individual interactions to collective behavior, and back, studying the role of communication topologies, and conflicts between individual actions and collective optimization. We feel that there is much to learn from animal groups more generally in how groups can coordinate decision-making.

In this project, we studied group decision-making in the face of conflicting information, and in particular the mechanisms by which collective behaviors emerge in nature. Of central interest is the degree to which collective optimization can be approximated in situations where individuals operate for individual benefit rather than group success. Mathematical and computational models are central to our investigations. In the early phases of this work, we studied how a group may be susceptible to manipulation by a strongly opinionated, or extremist, minority. Later work has explored this in greater detail, and the latest report below explores this in depth.

We also examined the dynamics of decision-making among finite alternatives in large networked groups using the replicator-mutator equations from evolutionary dynamics. Details may be found in the individual annual reports.

In the next phase, we studied information transfer and processing within groups, and the size and nature of the network from which individuals derive information. Again, this involved integration of empirical and theoretical studies on a number of groups. We also focused on the speed-accuracy tradeoff in gathering information, and on how individuals effectively mine environments for information. Thus we explored the integration of individual and social information, how this emerges in animal groups, and how it can inform optimal strategies of individual and collective search.

In the final phase, reported in the pages that follow, these various perspectives are integrated into coherent overall descriptions and theories.

Research Accomplishments: Final Period

1. Collective Foraging in Deep-Diving Whales (Matthieu Barbier)  
Barbier developed a model of whale collective foraging: Agent-Based simulations and analytical tools have been used to study the importance of sonar directionality and organized motion in maximizing the detection and harvesting of prey in a fast-changing environment. An article in collaboration with postdoctoral researcher Frants Jensen, connecting this work to his empirical work on pilot whales and to the problem of the evolution of sonar directionality in various species is in progress.

2. The Evolution of Distributed Sensing and Collective Computation in Animal Populations (George Hagstrom, Andrew Hein, Sarah Rosenthal, Andrew Berdahl, Colin Torney, and Iain Couzin)  
The mechanism by which social interactions among organisms result in coordinated and dynamic collective motion has been subject to extensive exploration in recent years. While collective motion occurs at a macroscopic level, it is driven by real-time microscopic level movement decisions made by individuals. These individual movement rules can lead to rapid transitions in group properties,
reminiscent of phase transitions in physical systems. Importantly, the relationship between such pattern formation and the evolutionary drivers of collective behavior are poorly understood. It is unclear what decision rules lead to efficient collective behavior, and precisely how such rules could evolve through natural selection on individual behavior. We have investigated these phenomena with a simple model of social decision-making, based on experimental studies of schooling fish, in which agents search their environment for a resource using a combination of social and environmental information. We developed high performance numerical simulations and theoretical calculations to facilitate both the discovery of the parameters of the model that yield optimal group performance, as well as evolutionary simulations to study the rules that emerge when each agent is rewarded based on its individual performance.

The numerical study revealed that evolved populations have the emergent ability to sense and climb dynamic resource gradients. This occurs even though individuals have no direct knowledge of the number, location, or size of the resource patches. The agents are able to achieve this when the parameters of the model permit the existence of two distinct stable states, a dense ‘liquid’ state and a dilute ‘gas’ state, and when the population can undergo a transition between states near the boundary of a resource path. Density differences between the two states allow agents to determine the location of resource patches from afar, making no use of gradient information. This study is the first we are aware of that shows that natural selection acting on selfish individuals can lead to groups with effective collective computation capabilities.

To support our numerical simulations, we developed a continuum description of the movements of the agents. We adapted closure techniques used in fluid dynamics and other branches of physics to the topological interaction laws obeyed by agents in our simulation, and derived an advection-diffusion equation for the density of agents. This enabled us to find a mathematical formula for the onset of phase transitions between the dense and dilute states, which helped us verify the observation that evolved populations took advantage of phase transitions to locate and exploit research patches. We were able to model this exploitation process and demonstrate that social agents are able to colonize patches at an exponential rate, while asocial agents are only able to colonize them at a linear rate. The principles gleaned from this work will improve understanding of optimization of behavior in multi-agent systems and have the potential to inform design of control protocols for autonomous vehicles and optimization routines to be used in online optimization tasks. A manuscript from this work is complete and we plan to submit it to the journal eLIFE.

3. Eulerian Descriptions of Topologically Interacting Agents (George Hagstrom, Simon A. Levin, and Glenn Flierl)

One of the fundamental problems in ecological systems is to understand how interactions on small scales lead to macroscopic dynamics on the large scales. Large scale patterns that result from the collective behavior of biological organisms support consumers and predators in both terrestrial and marine food webs, as well as enabling interspecies interactions such as reproduction. Eulerian models describe aggregations of animals in terms of local variables such as population densities and velocities, and these models have been used to understand the results of collective interactions of all types of physical objects, from molecules to animals. Early efforts to model collective behavior were based on metric (distance) based interaction rules that were more inspired by ease of use than biological relevance. Indeed, in the past few years scientists have realized that the actual interaction laws between agents cannot usually be described using metric interactions, and it has been hypothesized that other rules are more important.

We have been working to understand the implications of a topological interaction law (one in which a biological agents interacts with nearest neighbors) on collective behavior. Topological interactions have been suggested to be more realistic than metric ones, and there is experimental evidence that they describe starling flocks and other important model organisms. It has also been suggested that the topological interaction law is important for the robustness of collective behavior and that it facilitates easy collective decision-making. We have derived Eulerian models that arise from an individual based model
with a topological interaction law, including a kinetic description and hierarchy of fluid equations. We have focused on analyzing advection-diffusion equations resulting from closures of hierarchies of equations. These efforts have shown a number of different things: some common features of metric models, such as collapse in non H-stable regimes, do not occur in topological models, and the effective interaction radius is dependent on the local density. We have studied both local and global properties of equilibria of these advection-diffusion equations, deriving criteria for both linear stability/instability and conditions for global attractiveness of the homogeneous equilibrium state. This work has been coupled with numerical studies of the corresponding individual based models, both to verify the accuracy of the closure scheme and to investigate important high-level properties, such as group size distributions and group transition graphs.

4. Physical Limits on Bacterial Navigation in Dynamic Environments (Andrew Hein, Simon Levin)
Andrew Hein led a project in which we (Hein, Douglas R. Brumley, Francesco Carrara, Roman Stocker, and Simon A. Levin) developed mathematical tools to model bacterial navigation in complex environments. Many biological and artificial sensory systems have limited accuracy; the physics of environmental cues create noise that result in a lower bound on the minimum signal a sensory system can resolve. We showed that there is a lower bound on the chemical signals that bacterial cells can measure, and that this lower bound can be used to make predictions about how bacteria navigate noisy, dynamic environments. The mathematical tools we have developed are general and apply to both biological and artificial systems. We have submitted a manuscript resulting from this study to *Physical Review Letters*. We are continuing to develop this theoretical framework and working on a second manuscript.

5. Decision-making under Uncertainty (Naomi Leonard)
In Srivastava, Reverdy, and Leonard (Proc. Conf. Decision and Control, December 2014), we have extended our work on modeling and analysis of individual decision-making under uncertainty in foraging to environments that are abruptly changing due to the arrival of unknown spatial events. In our earlier work (Reverdy et al., Proc. IEEE, 2014), we presented a formal model of Bayesian inference and decision-making in explore-exploit tasks using the context of multi-armed bandit (MAB) problems, where the decision-maker must choose among multiple options with uncertain (Gaussian) rewards with the goal of maximizing accumulated reward. We proved that our upper credible limit (UCL) algorithm achieves logarithmic cumulative expected regret, which is optimal performance for uninformative priors, and we showed how good priors and good assumptions on the correlation structure among arms can greatly enhance decision-making performance, even over short time horizons.

In the new work, we studied the exploration-exploitation tradeoff in MAB problems with change points. In such problems, the mean rewards from arms are not stationary, and may abruptly change to an unknown value at some unknown time. We assume that the order of the number of possible changes within time T is known. The algorithm we propose adapts the UCL algorithm to include a sliding window where only observations in this recent time-window are used to estimate mean rewards and select arms. The width of the time-window can be chosen to achieve provably efficient performance. We also extend the algorithm to restrict the number of transitions among arms by incorporating a block allocation strategy.

In Reverdy and Leonard (Proc. Conf. Decision and Control, December 2014), we have proposed a satisficing objective for the MAB problem, where the objective is to achieve performance above a given threshold. This is a relaxation of the maximizing objective and allows for less risky decision-making in the face of uncertainty. This is evident in the algorithm we derive. We find a bound performance for this algorithm.

6. Coordination and Communication (Couzin)
Coordination among social animals requires rapid and efficient transfer of information among individuals, which may depend crucially on the underlying structure of the communication network. Establishing the
decision-making circuits and networks that give rise to individual behavior has been a central goal of neuroscience. However, the analogous problem of determining the structure of the communication network among organisms that gives rise to coordinated collective behavior, such as is exhibited by schooling fish and flocking birds, has remained almost entirely neglected. We studied collective evasion maneuvers, manifested through rapid waves, or cascades, of behavioral change (a ubiquitous behavior among taxa) in schooling fish (Notemigonus crysoleucas). We automatically tracked the positions and body postures, calculated visual fields of all individuals in schools of ~150 fish, and employed statistical and machine-learning methodologies to establish how these organisms map complex, high-dimensional, sensory input to a relatively low-dimensional behavioral output (escape response) during collective evasion. We found that individuals use simple, robust measures to assess behavioral changes in neighbors, and that the resulting networks by which behavior propagates throughout groups are complex, being weighted, directed, and heterogeneous. By studying these interaction networks, we revealed the (complex, fractional) nature of social contagion and established that, in contrast to the conventional view of spreading processes that individuals with relatively few, but strongly connected, neighbors are both most socially influential and most susceptible to social influence. Furthermore, we demonstrated that we can predict complex cascades of behavioral change at their moment of initiation, before they actually occur. Consequently, despite the intrinsic stochasticity of individual behavior, establishing the hidden communication networks in large self-organized groups facilitates a quantitative understanding of behavioral contagion (Rosenthal et al., 2015).

Again considering the network of interactions among organisms we analyzed high temporal and spatial resolution global positioning system (GPS) data of a study of collective motion in baboons (Strandburg-Peshkin et al., 2015). In this study, conducted under natural field conditions in Kenya, we obtained the positions of almost all adults in a wild troop, every second, for approximately three weeks. Baboons are highly social organisms and maintained a high degree of cohesion, thus making movement decisions collectively throughout this period (such as when, and where to move). In contrast to the fish schools studied in Rosenthal et al., 2015, baboons are famous for living in complex, socially-stratified societies with a prominent, linear dominance hierarchy. Determining how collective decision-making operates in species where long-term social bonds and dominance hierarchies introduce asymmetries in individual influence remains a core challenge for understanding the evolution of social complexity, and could inform engineered systems. Because troops are heterogeneous and members differ significantly in both their needs and capabilities, conflicts of interest over movement decisions are inevitable.

We developed an automated procedure for extracting movement ‘initiation attempts’ based on the relative movements of pairs of individuals. Using this procedure, we extracted sequences of movements in which one individual moved away from another, and was either followed (defined as a “pull”) or was not followed and subsequently returned (defined as an “anchor”). Multiple individuals can attempt to initiate movement at the same time, and furthermore they can do so in different directions. Firstly we found that neither sex nor dominance status played a role in whether individuals were followed, and thus that collective movement decisions in baboons result from a shared, democratic process. Secondly, theoretical studies of collective decision-making predict that when two subsets of individuals have conflicting directional preferences, two movement regimes will emerge: If the difference between preferred directions (angle of disagreement) is relatively small, individuals in groups are expected to “compromise” by moving in the average of these proposed directions. However, above a critical angle, individuals “choose” adopting one travel direction or the other (Couzin et al., 2005; see Figure 1, A). Consistent with this theory, baboon followers clearly exhibited these two regimes. In the simplest case of two concurrent initiators, the fine-scale movements of baboons demonstrated that followers compromised (exhibit directional averaging) when the angle of disagreement was small, but when angles exceeded approximately 90 degrees, followers consistently chose one direction or the other (Figure 1 B).

When initiators propose strongly conflicting directions, how do followers choose which direction to move in? As predicted by Couzin et al., 2005, we found that when choosing between competing groups of
initiators, baboons employ a simple majority rule; they are more likely to follow the subgroup containing the greatest number of initiators. As the asymmetry in initiator group size increases, individuals become more and more likely to follow the majority (theoretical predictions shown in Figure 1C, and experimental data from wild baboons in Figure 1D).

**Figure 1.** Comparison of theoretical predictions (A and C) and experimental data (B and D) for the direction taken by individuals as a function of the angular difference between potential leaders for conditions when there are an equal number in each opposing subset (A and B) and when the lower subset (set to 0 degrees) is in the majority (C and D). Modified from Couzin et al., 2005 (A and C) and Strandburg-Peshkin et al., 2015 (B and D).
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