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Conditions under which the effect of foreign electrolytes in electrochemical kinetics can be studied quantitatively are discussed. The influence of various salts of potassium and sodium (KF, KCl, KBr, KI, KNO₃, K₃Fe(CN)₆, NaCl, Na₂SO₄) on the rate of electron transfer in the reduction of iodate in alkaline solution on a mercury electrode is studied. The rate constant for electron transfer at the potential corresponding to the maximum of the electrocapillary curve (the difference of potential at the interface is very approximately equal to zero) is essentially proportional to the cation concentration. Comparison with data obtained on the effect of foreign salts on the rate of isotopic exchange is made, and Libby's interpretation is applied to the electrochemical reduction of iodate. It is shown that in general cation and anion may influence the rate of electron transfer depending on the electrode potential. The effect of foreign salt on the polarographic half-wave potential for irreversible electrode processes is discussed.

The influence of temperature on the reduction of iodate, Ni(II), Cr(III), and oxygen on a mercury cathode is studied experimentally. Variations of the rate constant for electron transfer with temperature result from the dependence of the free energy of activation on temperature and from several other factors (variations of the transfer coefficient; variations of the potential (vs. N.H.E.) at which the difference of potential at the interface is equal to zero; complications resulting from consecutive reactions and from variations in the composition of the solution).
Experimental rates were obtained by conventional polarography and voltammetry at constant current.

PART I - INFLUENCE OF FOREIGN SALTS

INTRODUCTION.

The rate of electrochemical reactions is influenced by the presence of foreign electrolytes\(^{1-3}\), i.e. electrolytes which are not involved in the stoichiometric equation of the electrode reaction. It is generally assumed that the nature of the anion is determinative, although the effect of cation has been reported\(^{3,4}\). The effect of foreign electrolytes has also been observed in polarography; in the case of reversible waves the shift in half-wave potential results from complexation and variations of activities\(^{5}\); however, these effects do not account for the shift in half-wave potentials in the case of

\(^{1}\) P. Lukovtsev, S. Levina, and A. Frunkin, Acta Physicochim. U.R.S.S., 11, 21 (1939)


\(^{3}\) J. Heyrovsky, Discussion Faraday Soc., 1, 212 (1947).


\(^{5}\) M. Tokuoka and J. Ruzicka, Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Communs., 8, 339 (1934)
irreversible waves\(n\). The present study will throw, it is hoped, some light on this subject and will show how the effect of foreign

---

(6a) J. J. Lingane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 61, 2099 (1939); (6b) D. D. De Ford and D. L. Andersen, *ibid.*, 72, 3918 (1950); (7) P. J. Elving et al., Anal. Chem., 23, 1218 (1951)

---

electrolytes in electrochemical kinetics can be measured and interpreted quantitatively.

Great care should be taken in the selection of a suitable electrode process in such a study, and the following conditions should preferably be fulfilled. (1) The substances involved in the electrode reaction should not form stable complexes with the electrolyte being studied. (2) The kinetic characteristics of the electrode process should not be affected by a change in the hydrogen ion activity, since the addition of foreign electrolyte obviously causes a change in the activity of this ion. (3) The electrode process should preferably be totally irreversible at the current densities being used; the influence of the backward reaction can then be neglected, and the interpretation of experimental data is simplified. Furthermore, the kinetics of the electrode reaction should essentially be controlled by a single rate determining step. (4) Mercury electrodes should be preferred to solid electrodes because of better reproducibility of data.

The previous requirements are fulfilled in the reduction of iodate on mercury in alkaline solution (pH 12 to 14). The kinetics of this reaction can be studied conveniently by voltammetry at constant current or by polarography. The latter method was selected here since this study offered an opportunity to apply
a treatment of irreversible waves recently developed by Koutecky.


EXPERIMENTAL.

Polarographic waves for iodate were obtained by standard polarographic procedures except that maximum currents during drop life instead of average currents were measured; the integration of current during drop life is not necessary, and the interpretation of data is simplified. A Sargent polarograph model XXI was used, and a fast recorder (Brown, 1 second full-scale deflection) was substituted for the recorder of the polarograph. The composition of the iodate solution was: 0.5 mM potassium iodate, 0.05 M sodium hydroxide, 0.002 per cent gelatin and a varying amount of electrolyte. The solution was contained in both compartments of a H-cell, no agar-agar plug being placed between the two arms of the cell. The compartment of the cell, in which the reference electrode is usually contained, was connected by a salt bridge (saturated potassium chloride) to a saturated calomel electrode. Diffusion of chloride in the arm of the cell with the dropping mercury electrode was thus avoided. The temperature of the solution was controlled at 30 ± 0.1°. Potentials of the dropping mercury electrode were corrected for the ohmic drop.

The rate constant for the reduction of iodate at a given potential was calculated by applying treatments of irreversible waves recently developed.

(9a) P. Delahay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 4944 (1951); (9b) P. Delahay and J. E. Strassner, ibid., 73, 5219 (1951); (9c) M. G. Evans and N. S. Hush, J. chim. phys., 19 C 159 (1952); (9d) P. Delahay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 1130 (1953).
It was found that the methods of Delahay and Koutecky yield approximately the same results. The former is based on the application of equation of linear diffusion, while the expansion of the mercury drop is taken into account in the latter treatment\(^\text{10}\). The Koutecky's treatment, which is fundamentally more rigorous than Delahay's approach, was applied here. Koutecky tabulated values of the parameter \(k_{f,h} \frac{t_p}{D}^{1/2} \) (\(k_{f,h}\), rate constant for electron transfer, \(t_p\), drop time, \(D\), diffusion coefficient of electrolyzed species) as a function of the ratio \(i/i_d\) of the maximum current \(i\) along the wave to the maximum diffusion current \(i_d\). Since \(t_p\) is known, and \(D\) can be computed from \(i_d\) by means of the Ilkovic equation (with the numerical coefficient 7.08), values of \(k_{f,h}\) can be calculated at various potentials along the iodate wave. Values of \(k_{f,h}\) obtained in this fashion are formal rate constants, i.e. \(k_{f,h}\) is the product of the rate constant by the activity coefficient of iodate ion.

**DESCRIPTION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.**

Plots of \(\log k_{f,h}\) against potential are shown in Fig. 1 for the reduction of iodate for a varying concentration of sodium sulfate. Note that the addition of sulfate causes a marked shift of the line \(\log k_{f,h} \text{ vs } E\) toward less cathodic potentials, and that the slope of this line remains unchanged. The linear dependence between \(\log k_{f,h}\) and \(E\) is to be expected from the equation

\[
\frac{k_{f,h}}{k_{f,h}^0} = \exp \left( - \frac{\alpha n_a FE}{RT} \right)
\]
where $k_{f,s}$ is the rate constant for the electrode reaction, $k_{f,s}^*$ the value of $k_{f,s}$ at the potential $E = 0$ (vs. NHE), $\alpha$ the transfer coefficient, $n_a$ the number of electrons in the rate determining step ($n_a = 1$ very often), and the other symbols are conventional. Equation (1) is applicable to electrode processes whose kinetics is controlled by a single rate determining step. When this is not the case, the logarithm of the apparent rate constant $k_{f,s}^*$ deduced from polarographic data is not a linear function of potential.

By applying (1) to the data of Fig. 1 and similar data obtained with various electrolytes we calculated the values of $k_{f,s}^*$ plotted in Fig. 2. The value of $\alpha n_a$ was 0.77 ± 0.02 in all cases. The ionic strength was used to characterize the ionic contents of the solution, but it should be emphasized that this procedure is somewhat arbitrary since the concentration of salt might be utilized just as well.

It is seen from Fig. 2 that the rate constant $k_{f,s}^*$ increases very markedly with ionic strength even at low ionic strengths. Such variations cannot be accounted for by the Debye-Hückel theory or modified forms of this theory. An interpretation based on variations in the liquid-liquid junction potential must also be rejected because of the order of magnitude of the change in $k_{f,s}^*$. Thus, a tenfold increase in $k_{f,s}^*$ would correspond to a variation of 0.076 volt in the junction potential (see equation (1), $\alpha n_a = 0.77$); this appears impossible. Another possible explanation would be that the isoelectric wave is shifted because of
variations in the hydrogen ion activity. This interpretation must also be ruled out because it is observed that $E_{1/2}$ is essentially independent of pH under the present experimental conditions. It must


therefore he concluded that the presence of ions, which are not involved directly in the electrode reaction, influences the rate of the activation step in the reduction of iodate.

The values of $k_{f}^*$ plotted in Fig. 2 are calculated at $E = 0$ in the normal hydrogen electrode scale. Actually one should consider values of $k_{f}^*$ at the potential $E$ (vs N.H.E.) at which the difference of potential at the interface is equal to zero. Any change of this potential, which might result from a variation in the composition of solution, would then be automatically taken into account. Unfortunately this value of $E$ is not known with precision, although it is probably not very different from the potential at the maximum of the electrocapillary curve (E.C.M.). The charge of the electrode is essentially equal to zero at the E.C.M. potential, but the orientation of molecules of water results in a difference of potential. The shift in


potential resulting from dipole orientation probably does not exceed a few hundredths of a volt. Because of this uncertainty it is useful to calculate

...

$k^*$ on the basis of an arbitrary but highly reproducible zero of the scale of potentials, i.e. in the normal hydrogen electrode scale. However, results must be corrected to lend themselves to further interpretation. The corrected results are shown in Fig. 3 where values of log $k_{f,h}$ at the E.C.M. potential are plotted against the logarithm of the cation concentration. This choice of ordinate will become apparent from the following discussion. Values of the E.C.M. potential (expressed vs. S.C.E.) used in this correction are listed in Table I. The E.C.M. potential for the electrolyzed solution (iodate, sodium hydroxide, gelatin; see "Experimental") was $-0.500$ V vs. S.C.E. These potentials were determined by the mercury drop method.

(15) "Electrical Phenomena at Interfaces", J.A.V. Butler Editor, Methuen, London, 1951, p.32.

It is seen from Fig. 3 that, with the exception of iodide, the nature of the anion is of secondary importance in determining the variations of the rate constant at the E.C.M. potential. This observation can be explained as follows. Iodate ion under the experimental conditions prevailing in this study is reduced at rather negative potentials - around $-1$ volt versus the normal hydrogen electrode. Anions are repelled from the electrode at such negative potentials, as is indicated by the identical shape the electrocapillary curve exhibits for
these various ions. Hence, values of $k_{f,h}$ are approximately the same whether a univalent ion such as fluoride or a highly charged ion such as ferrocyanide is present. The case of iodide is an exception because this ion is strongly adsorbed on mercury even at potentials as negative as those at which iodate ion is reduced.

Another conclusion which can be drawn from Fig. 3 is that the rate constant $k_{f,h}$ at the E.C.M. potential is approximately proportional to the concentration of cation in the range of concentrations we explored. There is some scattering of data, but this is to be expected on account of experimental errors and the uncertainty about the potential at which the potential difference at the interface is equal to zero. Furthermore, it is not at all certain that a linear relationship should be obtained. At any rate the results of Fig. 3 and the above interpretation indicate that cations are not adsorbed on the mercury electrode to any appreciable extent, because if this were not so, the number of cations at the interface would not be a linear function of the bulk concentration of cation. Actually there is some evidence that cations of alkali metals are virtually not adsorbed since the electrocapillary curve at potentials markedly more negative than the E.C.M. potential remains unchanged when the nature of the cation is changed.

The foregoing observations seem to be related to similar observations on the effect of salts on the rate of isotopic exchange. Cases in which the rate of isotopic exchange is proportional to the concentration of anion have been reported; for example, in the exchange between Ce(III) and Ce(IV) in presence of fluoride ion. Libby has advanced a very interesting

---

explanation for this effect of foreign electrolytes. By transposing his explanation to the reduction of iodate ion, one concludes that the effect of cation results from the formation of a transitory collision complex between iodate ion and the cation; the cation is probably part of the bridge between the iodate ion and the electrode in the electron transfer process. The number of such complexes formed per unit of time is proportional to the concentration of cation, and consequently the rate of electron transfer is proportional to the cation concentration.

Cations are generally separated from the electrode surface by molecules of water, and consequently the distribution of potential is not markedly affected by the presence of cations. As a result, the transfer coefficient is independent of the concentration of cation in the case of the reduction of iodate. This is precisely what is observed since the product \( \alpha n \) corresponding all the data of Fig. 3 was 0.77 ± 0.02.

In conclusion, either anions or cations or both types of ions may modify the rate of electron transfer in electrode processes. Thus, at potentials markedly more negative than the L.C.V. potential the cation is of primary importance, while the anion is important at less cathodic potentials. It is to be expected that the influence of the cation on the rate of electron transfer increases when the charge of the ion increases. This is indeed the case. It was observed by Tokuoka and Ruzicka that the tangent potential (15°) for the reduction of nitrate is shifted toward less cathodic potentials by approximately 0.4 volt in presence of divalent ions (Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺) and by 0.9 volt for trivalent ion (La(III), Ce(III)). A quantitative study of the effect of the valence of the ion is now being made.
APPLICATION TO POLAROGRAPHY.

It is customary in polarography to characterize electrode processes by their half-wave potential. Such a procedure, which is justified in the case of reversible processes, does not lend itself to a rational interpretation of irreversible processes. One deduces from Keutecky's work that \( \frac{k_{f, h}}{t_p^{1/2}} \) \( D^{1/2} = 0.76 \) at the half-wave potential, or in view of equation (1), that \( E_{1/2} \) is

\[
E_{1/2} = \frac{RT}{\alpha n_a F} \ln \frac{k^0_{f, h} t_{p}^{1/2}}{0.76 D^{1/2}} \tag{2}
\]

Variations in the concentration of foreign electrolyte affects the factors \( k_{f, h} \) and \( D \) in equation (2). In addition the transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) might also be influenced, and the drop time \( t_p \) might vary (variation in interfacial tension). Finally the potential (vs. N.H.E.) at which the potential difference at the interface is equal to zero varies in many cases with the salt contents. As a result, the variations of \( E_{1/2} \) with salt concentration are so complex that not much progress can be expected from the sole consideration of half-wave potentials in the case of irreversible electrode processes.

PART II. INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE

Studies on the influence of temperature in electrochemical kinetics have dealt almost entirely with hydrogen and oxygen evolution, although a few other

electrode processes have also been studied. Two quantities, the transfer coefficient and the heat of activation, are generally reported. Some problems pertaining to the determination of the heat of activation have been discussed by Agar. The present study deals with the determination of the above two quantities and their use in the elucidation of the mechanism of electrode reactions.

**Experimental.**

Rate constants were obtained by voltammetry at constant current, and the experimental method previously described was applied. The calomel electrode, with respect to which the potential of the working electrode was measured, was at the same temperature as the solution being electrolyzed. A proper correction was made to refer the potential of the working electrode to the N.H.E. The concentration of oxygen, in the study of the reduction.
of this substance, was determined by the Winkler method. The working electrode was a mercury pool.

**DESCRIPTION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.**

Potential–time curves for totally irreversible processes obey the equation

\[ E = \frac{RT}{\alpha n_a F} \ln \frac{n F k^* C^*}{i} + \frac{RT}{\alpha n_a F} \ln \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \right] \]  

(3)

where \( C^* \) is the bulk concentration of reducible species in mol. cm.\(^{-3} \), \( i \) the current density, \( t \) the time at which \( E \) is calculated, and \( \tau \) the transition time. It follows from (3) that a plot of \( \log \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \right] \) against \( E \) yields a straight line whose reciprocal slope is \( 2.3 \frac{RT}{\alpha n_a F} \). Hence, \( \alpha n_a \) can be obtained from such a plot, and \( k^*_f \) can be calculated from the value of \( E \) at time \( t = 0 \) (equation (3)). Examples of logarithmic plots are given in Fig. 4 for the reduction of oxygen. Note the shift toward less cathodic potentials as the temperature is raised. Values of \( \alpha n_a \) and \( \log k^*_f \) deduced from the data of Fig. 4 and similar data for three other processes are plotted in Fig. 5 and 6.

(22) S. Glasstone, "An Introduction to Electrochemistry", Van Nostrand, New York, N.Y., 1942, p. 232. There is some uncertainty about the temperature correction, but this is unimportant here.

It is seen from Fig. 5 that the product $\alpha n_a$ varies slowly with temperature for processes 1, 3 and 4 while there is a pronounced variation of $\alpha n_a$ for process 2. Possible explanations for the variations of $\alpha n_a$ are as follows:

1. All the processes studied here, except the reduction of chromium (III), involve the transfer of several electrons. The processes were analyzed on the assumption that the kinetics of the over-all reaction involves only one rate determining step. This assumption may not be entirely valid in some cases, as for example in the reduction of Ni(II). In this process it is probable that the electrochemical reaction actually involves two steps which can be characterized at a given potential by two rate constants $k_1$ and $k_2$. At low temperatures one may assume that $k_1$ is much smaller than $k_2$; the kinetics of the electrode process is then entirely controlled by the first step. As the temperature is increased, $k_1$ increases more rapidly than $k_2$, and the kinetics of both steps must be considered. As a result, there is an abnormal variation of the transfer coefficient. This interpretation is substantiated by the abnormal variations of $\log k_{f, 2, 0}$ with $1/T$ (Fig. 6, curve 2).

2. It is probable that in some cases the variation of the product $\alpha n_a$ is due to a variation in the transfer coefficient $\alpha$. There is no fundamental reason for assuming that the transfer coefficient is temperature independent.

3. Finally, the formation of complex ions and the subsequent variation in the composition of the solution might influence the value of $\alpha n_a$ for substances such as chromium (III).

It is apparent from the foregoing observations that the effect of temperature on electrode processes is complex. In addition to variations of rate constant arising from the dependence of the free energy of activation on
temperature, there are several complications: (1) Variations of the transfer coefficient; (2) Variations of the potential at which the difference of potential at the interface is equal to zero; (3) Occurrence of stepwise processes; (4) Changes in the composition of the solution. As a result, heats of activation for electrode processes may embody the simultaneous variations of several factors.
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**TABLE I**

**POTENTIAL AT THE ELECTROCAPILLARY MAXIMUM (V. vs. S.C.E.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCENTRATION</th>
<th>mol. liter⁻¹</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Br</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K I</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₄Fe(CN)₆</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaClO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig. 1**
Plot of \( \log k'_{f_h} \) against potential for the reduction of iodate in presence of sodium sulfate. Line 1, no sodium sulfate; line 2, 0.1 M sodium sulfate; line 3, 0.75 M; line 4, 1.5 M.

**Fig. 2**
Plot of \( \log k' \) against logarithm of ionic strength for the reduction of iodate.

**Fig. 3**
Plot of \( \log k_{f_h} \) against logarithm of cation concentration for the reduction of iodate. Values of \( k_{f_h} \) calculated at the potential corresponding to the maximum of the electrocapillary curve. Points for potassium iodide are shifted downward by three units.

**Fig. 4**
Plot of \( \log \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t}{t_c} \right)^{1/2} \right] \) versus potential for the irreversible reduction of oxygen at different temperatures in acetate buffer of pH 4.6.

**Fig. 5**
Variations of \( \kappa_n \) with temperature. Curve 1, 1 mM iodate in 1 M sodium hydride; curve 2, 1 mM nickel (II) in 1 M potassium chloride; curve 3, 7 mM chromium (III) in 0.5 M potassium nitrate; curve 4, oxygen saturated in acetate buffer, pH 4.6.

**Fig. 6**
Variations of \( \log k'_{f_h} \) with reciprocal of absolute temperature. Same notations as in Fig. 5.
\[ \log k_{f,h} \] vs \[ \log \text{cation concentration} \]

**FIG. 3**
FIG. 5
FIG. 6

- Log $k_{f, h}^o$ vs. $10^3/T$°K

- Lines 1, 2, 3, and 4 represent different experimental data sets.