Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification								DATE	DATE February 2008		
	T ACTIVITY <b>T&amp;E Management Support</b>		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0605864F Space Test Program								
	Cost (\$ in Millions)	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total	
	Total Program Element (PE) Cost	48.801	47.129	48.072	49.070	50.145	51.250	52.376	Continuing	TBD	
2617	Free-Flyer Spacecraft Missions	48.801	47.129	48.072	49.070	50.145	51.250	52.376	Continuing	TBD	

#### (U) A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) The Space Test Program (STP) conducts space test missions for the purpose of accelerating DoD space technology transformation while lowering developmental risk. The program flies an optimally selected number of DoD sponsored experiments consistent with priority, opportunity, and funding. STP missions are the most cost-effective way to flight test new space system technologies, concepts and designs, providing an inexpensive way to:

- Support the space acquisition block development approach

- Demonstrate and develop responsive research and development (R&D) space capabilities

- Provide early operational capabilities to quickly react to new developments

- Perform operational risk reduction through direct flight test of prototype components

- Improve operational design by characterizing the space environment, event, or sensor physics proposed for an operational system/system upgrade

- Develop, test, and acquire advanced payload support hardware for small and medium expendable launch vehicles and manned spaceflight vehicles

(U) The Deputy Secretary of Defense issued a Space Test Program Management & Funding Policy in Jul 2002, reaffirming STP as the primary provider of spaceflight for the entire DoD space research community. The policy states in part that "the STP funding level must be sufficient to provide spaceflight for DoD Space Experiments Review Board (SERB) approved experiments in a timely manner. As a goal the Air Force funding level should provide for a Small-Launch-Vehicle-Class mission every 2 years and a Medium-Launch-Vehicle-Class mission every 4 years. This is in addition to funding required to support secondary payload and spacecraft missions on other organizations' spacecraft and launch vehicles." The Jul 2002 policy statement also reaffirms STP's role as the single manager for all DoD payloads on the Space Shuttle and the International Space Station. Air Force Space Command issued a policy in May 2004 that establishes STP as the sole gateway for all agencies requesting launch services as a piggyback payload or secondary satellite on a Combatant Command mission. STP maintains a SERB ranked list of these prospective payloads seeking assistance. This list contained 51 experiments in 2007. The 2007 SERB list was approved following the board meeting in Nov 07.

(U) STP has a continually evolving mission portfolio, whereby space experiments and technology payloads are selected for spaceflight from the most recent list approved by the SERB. STP is authorized to initiate new missions from the prioritized, SERB-approved list. STP may also support non-SERB customers, both DoD and other U.S. Government, on a cost-reimbursable basis. Selection of the most appropriate spaceflight mode for a payload is dependent on optimizing the combination of SERB list priority, timing and readiness of experiments, launch opportunity, and availability of funding. STP support for these payloads includes some or all of the following: mission planning and related support activities; acquisition of a dedicated satellite, launch vehicle, and/or associated integration hardware; integration onto a host satellite, launch vehicle, NASA shuttle, and/or the International Space Station; readiness reviews, launch support, and approximately one year of on-orbit operations. This flexible approach is essential in order to take advantage of 'target of opportunity' space hardware, including operational spacecraft, and ensures the maximum amount of DoD space research is accomplished with the resources available. These STP efforts led to the flight of 15 successful experiments in

R-1 Line Item No. 101 Page-1 of 4	Exhibit R-2 (PE 0605864F)
1125	
UNCLASSIFIED	

## UNCLASSIFIED

	Exhibit R-2, RDT&		DATE February 2008		
BUDGET ACTIVITY D6 RDT&E Management Support		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0605864F Space Test Program	•	-	
	FY07 and the planned launch of 17 experiments in FY08.				
	(U) STP is in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Supp	port, because it supports RDT&E satellite launches.			
U)	<b>B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b>				
		<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>	
J)	Previous President's Budget	46.135	47.430	58.36	
J)	Current PBR/President's Budget	48.801	47.129	48.072	
J)	Total Adjustments	2.666			
J)	Congressional Program Reductions				
	Congressional Rescissions		-0.301		
	Congressional Increases				
	Reprogrammings	3.924			
	SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.258			
J)	Significant Program Changes: \$3.9M reprogrammed in FY07 to cover costs associated wi FY09 funding reduced (~\$10M) due to higher Air Force pri	th rebuilding new solar array panels for the Communication/Naviga	tion Outage Forecasting Syst	em (C/NOFS).	
))	\$3.9M reprogrammed in FY07 to cover costs associated with		tion Outage Forecasting Syst	em (C/NOFS).	
)	\$3.9M reprogrammed in FY07 to cover costs associated with		tion Outage Forecasting Syst	æm (C/NOFS).	
D	\$3.9M reprogrammed in FY07 to cover costs associated with			it R-2 (PE 0605864	

UNCLASSIFIED

## UNCLASSIFIED

Exh	DATE	DATE February 2008							
BUDGET ACTIVITY 06 RDT&E Management Support	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0605864F Space Test Program				PROJECT NUMBER AND TITLE 2617 Free-Flyer Spacecraft Missions				
Cost (\$ in Millions)	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010FY 2011EstimateEstimate		FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total
2617 Free-Flyer Spacecraft Missions	48.801	47.129	48.072	49.070	50.145	51.250		·	TB
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<ul> <li>risk. The program flies an optimally selecter cost-effective way to flight test new space as</li> <li>Support the space acquisition block develor</li> <li>Demonstrate and develop responsive resear</li> <li>Provide early operational capabilities to quite early operational capabilities to quite affective operational design by characterize.</li> <li>Develop, test, and acquire advanced paylor</li> <li>(U) The Deputy Secretary of Defense issued for the entire DoD space research communite Experiments Review Board (SERB) approximities on other organizations' spacecraft on the Space Shuttle and the International Space requesting launch services as a pig prospective payloads seeking assistance. The space is a space of the space is space for the space Shuttle and the International Space respective payloads seeking assistance. The space is a space of the space is a space of the space is a space of the space is space is a space of the space is space is space is a space of the space is space is space is a space of the space is space is</li></ul>	system technolo opment approad arch and develo uickly react to a h direct flight to zing the space e bad support hard d a Space Test ity. The policy s yed experiments ch-Vehicle-Clas and launch veh Space Station. A ggyback payloa	ogies, concepts ch ppment (R&D) new developme est of prototype nvironment, ev dware for small Program Mana states in part th is in a timely ma ss mission ever icles." The Jul Air Force Space d or secondary	and designs, particular space capabilit ents e components yent, or sensor p l and medium e gement & Fund and redium e gement & Fund anner. As a go ty 4 years. This l 2002 policy st e Command iss satellite on a C	roviding an ine ties physics propose expendable laur ding Policy in J nding level mus pal the Air Force s is in addition tatement also re sued a policy in Combatant Com	xpensive way to ed for an operat ach vehicles and ful 2002, reaffir st be sufficient to funding level to funding reque eaffirms STP's a May 2004 that mand mission.	ional system/s d manned space ming STP as to to provide spa should provid- ired to suppor role as the sing t establishes S' STP maintain	system upgrade ceflight vehicles the primary pro ceflight for Dol e for a Small-La t secondary pay gle manager for TP as the sole g ns a SERB rank	s vider of spacefl D Space aunch-Vehicle- yload and space all DoD payloa gateway for all ced list of these	ight Class craft
(U) STP has a continually evolving mission approved by the SERB. STP is authorized and other U.S. Government, on a cost-reiml combination of SERB list priority, timing a or all of the following: mission planning an integration onto a host satellite, launch vehi on-orbit operations. This flexible approach ensures the maximum amount of DoD space FY07 and the planned launch of 17 experim	to initiate new to bursable basis. nd readiness of d related suppo- icle, NASA shu n is essential in e research is ac	missions from Selection of th experiments, 1 rt activities; ac ttle, and/or the order to take a	the prioritized, ne most approp- aunch opportu- equisition of a c International S dvantage of 'ta	SERB-approve riate spacefligh nity, and availa dedicated satelli Space Station; r rget of opportu	ed list. STP ma t mode for a pa bility of fundin ite, launch vehi- eadiness review nity' space hard	y also support yload is deper g. STP suppo cle, and/or ass vs, launch sup ware, includir	non-SERB cus ndent on optimi rt for these pay cociated integrat port, and appro- ng operational s	stomers, both D zing the loads includes s tion hardware; ximately one ye pacecraft, and	some ear of
(U) STP is in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E M	Aanagement Su	pport, because	it supports RD	T&E satellite la	aunches.				
			R-1 Line Item	n No. 101					

 R-1 Line Item No. 101

 Project 2617
 Page-3 of 4
 Exhibit R-2a (PE 0605864F)

 1127

# UNCLASSIFIED

### UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E		stification			DA		
BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>30 RDT&amp;E Management Support</b>		PE NUMBER A	ND TITLE pace Test Prog	ram		February JMBER AND TITLE -Flyer Spacecra	
<ul> <li><b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Program (\$ in Millions)</b></li> <li>Provide program support for piggyback/secondary, Small Launch spaceflight missions</li> </ul>	Vehicle, Mediur	n Launch Vehicl	e, and manned	H	<u>FY 2007</u> 1.266	<u>FY 2008</u> 3.545	<u>FY 2009</u> 4.979
<ul> <li>Initiate, develop, and continue integration of payloads onto piggyl Launch Vehicle, and manned spaceflight missions to include acqu hardware</li> </ul>	•				28.333	20.623	12.866
<ul> <li>Initiate and continue purchase of launch vehicles and launch vehic Vehicle, Medium Launch Vehicle, and manned spaceflight mission</li> </ul>		ggyback/seconda	ary, Small Launch		5.130	10.496	15.492
U) Initiate, develop, and continue first year operations and operations Vehicle, Medium Launch Vehicle, and manned spaceflight mission	s planning for pig	gyback/seconda	ry, Small Launch		5.250	3.154	4.747
<ul><li>U) Conduct studies to explore future launch opportunities, risk reduct</li><li>U) Total Cost</li></ul>		d mission planni	ng		8.822 48.801	9.311 47.129	9.988 48.072
U) <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u>							
FY 2007FY 2008ActualEstimate	<u>FY 2009</u> <u>Estimate</u>	<u>FY 2010</u> <u>Estimate</u>	FY 2011 Estimate	<u>FY 2012</u> Estimate	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>Estimat</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
U) Related Procurement: Not Required							
U) <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> Not Required							
Project 2617		Item No. 101 ge-4 of 4				Exhibit R-2a (	PE 0605864F